

118TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

S. 4367

AN ACT

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

1 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

2 (a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the
 3 “Thomas R. Carper Water Resources Development Act of
 4 2024”.

5 (b) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—The table of contents for
 6 this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
- Sec. 2. Definition of Secretary.

TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

- Sec. 101. Notice to Congress regarding WRDA implementation.
- Sec. 102. Prior guidance.
- Sec. 103. Ability to pay.
- Sec. 104. Federal interest determinations.
- Sec. 105. Annual report to Congress.
- Sec. 106. Processing timelines.
- Sec. 107. Services of volunteers.
- Sec. 108. Support of Army civil works missions.
- Sec. 109. Inland waterway projects.
- Sec. 110. Leveraging Federal infrastructure for increased water supply.
- Sec. 111. Outreach and access.
- Sec. 112. Model development.
- Sec. 113. Planning assistance for States.
- Sec. 114. Corps of Engineers Levee Owners Advisory Board.
- Sec. 115. Silver Jackets program.
- Sec. 116. Tribal partnership program.
- Sec. 117. Tribal project implementation pilot program.
- Sec. 118. Eligibility for inter-Tribal consortiums.
- Sec. 119. Sense of Congress relating to the management of recreation facilities.
- Sec. 120. Expedited consideration.

TITLE II—STUDIES AND REPORTS

- Sec. 201. Authorization of proposed feasibility studies.
- Sec. 202. Vertical integration and acceleration of studies.
- Sec. 203. Expedited completion.
- Sec. 204. Expedited completion of other feasibility studies.
- Sec. 205. Alexandria to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana, feasibility study.
- Sec. 206. Craig Harbor, Alaska.
- Sec. 207. Sussex County, Delaware.
- Sec. 208. Forecast-informed reservoir operations in the Colorado River Basin.
- Sec. 209. Beaver Lake, Arkansas, reallocation study.
- Sec. 210. Gathright Dam, Virginia, study.
- Sec. 211. Delaware Inland Bays Watershed Study.
- Sec. 212. Upper Susquehanna River Basin comprehensive flood damage reduction feasibility study.
- Sec. 213. Kanawha River Basin.
- Sec. 214. Authorization of feasibility studies for projects from CAP authorities.

- Sec. 215. Port Fourchon Belle Pass channel, Louisiana.
- Sec. 216. Studies for modification of project purposes in the Colorado River Basin in Arizona.
- Sec. 217. Non-Federal interest preparation of water reallocation studies, North Dakota.
- Sec. 218. Technical correction, Walla Walla River.
- Sec. 219. Watershed and river basin assessments.
- Sec. 220. Independent peer review.
- Sec. 221. Ice jam prevention and mitigation.
- Sec. 222. Report on hurricane and storm damage risk reduction design guidelines.
- Sec. 223. Briefing on status of certain activities on the Missouri River.
- Sec. 224. Report on material contaminated by a hazardous substance and the civil works program.
- Sec. 225. Report on efforts to monitor, control, and eradicate invasive species.
- Sec. 226. J. Strom Thurmond Lake, Georgia.
- Sec. 227. Study on land valuation procedures for the Tribal Partnership Program.
- Sec. 228. Report to Congress on levee safety guidelines.
- Sec. 229. Public-private partnership user's guide.
- Sec. 230. Review of authorities and programs for alternative project delivery.
- Sec. 231. Report to Congress on emergency response expenditures.
- Sec. 232. Excess land report for certain projects in North Dakota.
- Sec. 233. GAO studies.
- Sec. 234. Prior reports.
- Sec. 235. Briefing on status of Cape Cod Canal Bridges, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 236. Virginia Peninsula coastal storm risk management, Virginia.
- Sec. 237. Allegheny River, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 238. New York and New Jersey Harbor and Tributaries Focus Area Feasibility Study.
- Sec. 239. Matagorda Ship Channel, Texas.
- Sec. 240. Matagorda Ship Channel Improvement Project, Texas.
- Sec. 241. Assessment of impacts from changing construction responsibilities.
- Sec. 242. Deadline for previously required list of covered projects.
- Sec. 243. Cooperation authority.

TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS, MODIFICATIONS, AND RELATED PROVISIONS

- Sec. 301. Deauthorizations.
- Sec. 302. Environmental infrastructure.
- Sec. 303. Pennsylvania environmental infrastructure.
- Sec. 304. Acequias irrigation systems.
- Sec. 305. Oregon environmental infrastructure.
- Sec. 306. Kentucky and West Virginia environmental infrastructure.
- Sec. 307. Lake Champlain Watershed, Vermont and New York.
- Sec. 308. Ohio and North Dakota.
- Sec. 309. Southern West Virginia.
- Sec. 310. Northern West Virginia.
- Sec. 311. Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.
- Sec. 312. Western rural water.
- Sec. 313. Continuing authorities programs.
- Sec. 314. Small project assistance.
- Sec. 315. Great Lakes and Mississippi River Interbasin project, Brandon Road, Will County, Illinois.

- Sec. 316. Mamaroneck-Sheldrake Rivers, New York.
- Sec. 317. Lowell Creek Tunnel, Alaska.
- Sec. 318. Selma flood risk management and bank stabilization.
- Sec. 319. Illinois River basin restoration.
- Sec. 320. Hawaii environmental restoration.
- Sec. 321. Connecticut River Basin invasive species partnerships.
- Sec. 322. Expenses for control of aquatic plant growths and invasive species.
- Sec. 323. Corps of Engineers Asian carp prevention pilot program.
- Sec. 324. Extension for certain invasive species programs.
- Sec. 325. Storm damage prevention and reduction, coastal erosion, riverine erosion, and ice and glacial damage, Alaska.
- Sec. 326. Rehabilitation of Corps of Engineers constructed dams.
- Sec. 327. Ediz Hook Beach Erosion Control Project, Port Angeles, Washington.
- Sec. 328. Sense of Congress relating to certain Louisiana hurricane and coastal storm damage risk reduction projects.
- Sec. 329. Chesapeake Bay Oyster Recovery Program.
- Sec. 330. Bosque wildlife restoration project.
- Sec. 331. Expansion of temporary relocation assistance pilot program.
- Sec. 332. Wilson Lock floating guide wall.
- Sec. 333. Delaware Inland Bays and Delaware Bay Coast Coastal Storm Risk Management Study.
- Sec. 334. Upper Mississippi River Plan.
- Sec. 335. Rehabilitation of pump stations.
- Sec. 336. Navigation along the Tennessee–Tombigbee Waterway.
- Sec. 337. Garrison Dam, North Dakota.
- Sec. 338. Sense of Congress relating to Missouri River priorities.
- Sec. 339. Soil moisture and snowpack monitoring.
- Sec. 340. Contracts for water supply.
- Sec. 341. Rend Lake, Carlyle Lake, and Lake Shelbyville, Illinois.
- Sec. 342. Delaware Coastal System Program.
- Sec. 343. Maintenance of pile dike system.
- Sec. 344. Conveyances.
- Sec. 345. Emergency drought operations pilot program.
- Sec. 346. Rehabilitation of existing levees.
- Sec. 347. Non-Federal implementation pilot program.
- Sec. 348. Harmful algal bloom demonstration program.
- Sec. 349. Sense of Congress relating to Mobile Harbor, Alabama.
- Sec. 350. Sense of Congress relating to Port of Portland, Oregon.
- Sec. 351. Chattahoochee River Program.
- Sec. 352. Additional projects for underserved community harbors.
- Sec. 353. Winooski River tributary watershed.
- Sec. 354. Waco Lake, Texas.
- Sec. 355. Seminole Tribal claim extension.
- Sec. 356. Coastal erosion project, Barrow, Alaska.
- Sec. 357. Colebrook River Reservoir, Connecticut.
- Sec. 358. Sense of Congress relating to shallow draft dredging in the Chesapeake Bay.
- Sec. 359. Replacement of Cape Cod Canal bridges.
- Sec. 360. Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and Dam, Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- Sec. 361. Flexibilities for certain hurricane and storm damage risk reduction projects.

TITLE IV—PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS

Sec. 401. Project authorizations.

Sec. 402. Facility investment.

1 **SEC. 2. DEFINITION OF SECRETARY.**

2 In this Act, the term “Secretary” means the Sec-
3 retary of the Army.

4 **TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

5 **SEC. 101. NOTICE TO CONGRESS REGARDING WRDA IMPLE-**
6 **MENTATION.**

7 (a) PLAN OF IMPLEMENTATION.—

8 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after
9 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
10 shall develop a plan for implementing this Act and
11 the amendments made by this Act.

12 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—In developing the plan
13 under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall—

14 (A) identify each provision of this Act (or
15 an amendment made by this Act) that will re-
16 quire—

17 (i) the development and issuance of
18 guidance, including whether that guidance
19 will be significant guidance;

20 (ii) the development and issuance of a
21 rule; or

22 (iii) appropriations;

23 (B) develop timelines for the issuance of—

1 (i) any guidance described in subpara-
2 graph (A)(i); and

3 (ii) each rule described in subpara-
4 graph (A)(ii); and

5 (C) establish a process to disseminate in-
6 formation about this Act and the amendments
7 made by this Act to each District and Division
8 Office of the Corps of Engineers.

9 (3) TRANSMITTAL.—On completion of the plan
10 under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall transmit
11 the plan to—

12 (A) the Committee on Environment and
13 Public Works of the Senate; and

14 (B) the Committee on Transportation and
15 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

16 (b) IMPLEMENTATION OF PRIOR WATER RESOURCES
17 DEVELOPMENT LAWS.—

18 (1) DEFINITION OF PRIOR WATER RESOURCES
19 DEVELOPMENT LAW.—In this subsection, the term
20 “prior water resources development law” means each
21 of the following (including the amendments made by
22 any of the following):

23 (A) The Water Resources Development Act
24 of 2000 (Public Law 106–541; 114 Stat.
25 2572).

1 (B) The Water Resources Development
2 Act of 2007 (Public Law 110–114; 121 Stat.
3 1041).

4 (C) The Water Resources Reform and De-
5 velopment Act of 2014 (Public Law 113–121;
6 128 Stat. 1193).

7 (D) The Water Infrastructure Improve-
8 ments for the Nation Act (Public Law 114–
9 322; 130 Stat. 1628).

10 (E) The America’s Water Infrastructure
11 Act of 2018 (Public Law 115–270; 132 Stat.
12 3765).

13 (F) Division AA of the Consolidated Ap-
14 propriations Act, 2021 (Public Law 116–260;
15 134 Stat. 2615).

16 (G) Title LXXXI of division H of the
17 James M. Inhofe National Defense Authoriza-
18 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (Public Law
19 117–263; 136 Stat. 3691).

20 (2) NOTICE.—

21 (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days
22 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
23 retary shall submit to the Committee on Envi-
24 ronment and Public Works of the Senate and
25 the Committee on Transportation and Infra-

1 structure of the House of Representatives a
2 written notice of the status of efforts by the
3 Secretary to implement the prior water re-
4 sources development laws.

5 (B) CONTENTS.—

6 (i) IN GENERAL.—As part of the no-
7 tice under subparagraph (A), the Secretary
8 shall include a list describing each provi-
9 sion of a prior water resources develop-
10 ment law that has not been fully imple-
11 mented as of the date of submission of the
12 notice.

13 (ii) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.—For
14 each provision included on the list under
15 clause (i), the Secretary shall—

16 (I) establish a timeline for imple-
17 menting the provision;

18 (II) provide a description of the
19 status of the provision in the imple-
20 mentation process; and

21 (III) provide an explanation for
22 the delay in implementing the provi-
23 sion.

24 (3) BRIEFINGS.—

1 (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180
2 days after the date of enactment of this Act,
3 and every 90 days thereafter until the Chairs of
4 the Committee on Environment and Public
5 Works of the Senate and the Committee on
6 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
7 of Representatives determine that this Act, the
8 amendments made by this Act, and prior water
9 resources development laws are fully imple-
10 mented, the Secretary shall provide to relevant
11 congressional committees a briefing on the im-
12 plementation of this Act, the amendments made
13 by this Act, and prior water resources develop-
14 ment laws.

15 (B) INCLUSIONS.—A briefing under sub-
16 paragraph (A) shall include—

17 (i) updates to the implementation plan
18 under subsection (a); and

19 (ii) updates to the written notice
20 under paragraph (2).

21 (c) ADDITIONAL NOTICE PENDING ISSUANCE.—Not
22 later than 30 days before issuing any guidance, rule, no-
23 tice in the Federal Register, or other documentation re-
24 quired to implement this Act, an amendment made by this
25 Act, or a prior water resources development law (as de-

1 fined in subsection (b)(1)), the Secretary shall submit to
2 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
3 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
4 structure of the House of Representatives a written notice
5 regarding the pending issuance.

6 (d) WRDA IMPLEMENTATION TEAM.—

7 (1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

8 (A) PRIOR WATER RESOURCES DEVELOP-
9 MENT LAW.—The term “prior water resources
10 development law” has the meaning given the
11 term in subsection (b)(1).

12 (B) TEAM.—The term “team” means the
13 Water Resources Development Act implementa-
14 tion team established under paragraph (2).

15 (2) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary shall es-
16 tablish a Water Resources Development Act imple-
17 mentation team that shall consist of current employ-
18 ees of the Federal Government, including—

19 (A) not fewer than 2 employees in the Of-
20 fice of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for
21 Civil Works;

22 (B) not fewer than 2 employees at the
23 headquarters of the Corps of Engineers; and

24 (C) a representative of each district and
25 division of the Corps of Engineers.

1 (3) DUTIES.—The team shall be responsible for
2 assisting with the implementation of this Act, the
3 amendments made by this Act, and prior water re-
4 sources development laws, including—

5 (A) performing ongoing outreach to—

6 (i) Congress; and

7 (ii) employees and servicemembers
8 stationed in districts and divisions of the
9 Corps of Engineers to ensure that all
10 Corps of Engineers employees are aware of
11 and implementing provisions of this Act,
12 the amendments made by this Act, and
13 prior water resources development laws, in
14 a manner consistent with congressional in-
15 tent;

16 (B) identifying any issues with implemen-
17 tation of a provision of this Act, the amend-
18 ments made by this Act, and prior water re-
19 sources development laws at the district, divi-
20 sion, or national level;

21 (C) resolving the issues identified under
22 subparagraph (B), in consultation with Corps
23 of Engineers leadership and the Secretary; and

24 (D) ensuring that any interpretation devel-
25 oped as a result of the process under subpara-

1 graph (C) is consistent with congressional in-
2 tent for this Act, the amendments made by this
3 Act, and prior water resources development
4 laws.

5 **SEC. 102. PRIOR GUIDANCE.**

6 Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment
7 of this Act, the Secretary shall issue the guidance required
8 pursuant to each of the following provisions:

9 (1) Section 1043(b)(9) of the Water Resources
10 Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
11 2201 note; Public Law 113–121).

12 (2) Section 8136 of the Water Resources Devel-
13 opment Act of 2022 (10 U.S.C. 2667 note; Public
14 Law 117–263).

15 **SEC. 103. ABILITY TO PAY.**

16 (a) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary shall expedite
17 any guidance or rulemaking necessary to the implementa-
18 tion of section 103(m) of the Water Resources Develop-
19 ment Act 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m)) to address ability
20 to pay.

21 (b) ABILITY TO PAY.—Section 103(m) of the Water
22 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m))
23 is amended by adding the end the following:

24 “(5) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—

1 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall
2 annually submit to the Committee on Environ-
3 ment and Public Works of the Senate and the
4 Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
5 ture of the House of Representatives written
6 notification of determinations made by the Sec-
7 retary of the ability of non-Federal interests to
8 pay under this subsection.

9 “(B) CONTENTS.—In preparing the writ-
10 ten notification under subparagraph (A), the
11 Secretary shall include, for each determination
12 made by the Secretary—

13 “(i) the name of the non-Federal in-
14 terest that submitted to the Secretary a re-
15 quest for a determination under this sub-
16 section;

17 “(ii) the name and location of the
18 project; and

19 “(iii) the determination made by the
20 Secretary and the reasons for the deter-
21 mination, including the adjusted share of
22 the costs of the project of the non-Federal
23 interest, if applicable.”.

24 (c) TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—Section
25 203(d) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000

1 (33 U.S.C. 2269(d)) is amended by adding at the end the
2 following:

3 “(7) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—

4 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall
5 annually submit to the Committee on Environ-
6 ment and Public Works of the Senate and the
7 Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
8 ture of the House of Representatives written
9 notification of determinations made by the Sec-
10 retary of the ability of non-Federal interests to
11 pay under this subsection.

12 “(B) CONTENTS.—In preparing the writ-
13 ten notification under subparagraph (A), the
14 Secretary shall include, for each determination
15 made by the Secretary—

16 “(i) the name of the non-Federal in-
17 terest that submitted to the Secretary a re-
18 quest for a determination under paragraph
19 (1)(B)(ii);

20 “(ii) the name and location of the
21 project; and

22 “(iii) the determination made by the
23 Secretary and the reasons for the deter-
24 mination, including the adjusted share of

1 the costs of the project of the non-Federal
2 interest, if applicable.”.

3 **SEC. 104. FEDERAL INTEREST DETERMINATIONS.**

4 Section 905(b) of the Water Resources Development
5 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282(b)) is amended—

6 (1) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the
7 following:

8 “(1) IN GENERAL.—

9 “(A) IDENTIFICATION.—As part of the
10 submission of a work plan to Congress pursu-
11 ant to the joint explanatory statement for an
12 annual appropriations Act or as part of the
13 submission of a spend plan to Congress for a
14 supplemental appropriations Act under which
15 the Corps of Engineers receives funding, the
16 Secretary shall identify the studies in the
17 plan—

18 “(i) for which the Secretary plans to
19 prepare a feasibility report under sub-
20 section (a) that will benefit—

21 “(I) an economically disadvan-
22 taged community (as defined pursuant
23 to section 160 of the Water Resources
24 Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C.
25 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)); or

1 “(II) a community other than a
2 community described in subclause (I);
3 and

4 “(ii) that are designated as a new
5 start under the work plan.

6 “(B) DETERMINATION.—

7 “(i) IN GENERAL.—After identifying
8 the studies under subparagraph (A) and
9 subject to subparagraph (C), the Secretary
10 shall, with the consent of the applicable
11 non-Federal interest for the study, first de-
12 termine the Federal interest in carrying
13 out the study and the projects that may be
14 proposed in the study.

15 “(ii) FEASIBILITY COST SHARE
16 AGREEMENT.—The Secretary may make a
17 determination under clause (i) prior to the
18 execution of a feasibility cost share agree-
19 ment between the Secretary and the non-
20 Federal interest.

21 “(C) LIMITATION.—For each fiscal year,
22 the Secretary may not make a determination
23 under subparagraph (B) for more than 20 stud-
24 ies identified under subparagraph (A)(i)(II).

25 “(D) APPLICATION.—

1 “(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause
2 (ii) and with the consent of the non-Fed-
3 eral interest, the Secretary may use the
4 authority provided under this subsection
5 for a study in a work plan submitted to
6 Congress prior to the date of enactment of
7 the Thomas R. Carper Water Resources
8 Development Act of 2024 if the study oth-
9 erwise meets the requirements described in
10 subparagraph (A).

11 “(ii) LIMITATION.—Subparagraph (C)
12 shall apply to the use of authority under
13 clause (i).”;

14 (2) in paragraph (2)—

15 (A) in subparagraph (A), by striking
16 “and” at the end;

17 (B) in subparagraph (B), by striking the
18 period and inserting “; and”; and

19 (C) by adding at the end the following:

20 “(C) shall be paid from the funding pro-
21 vided for the study in the applicable work plan
22 described in that paragraph.”; and

23 (3) by adding at the end the following:

24 “(6) POST-DETERMINATION WORK.—A study
25 under this section shall continue after a determina-

1 tion under paragraph (1)(B)(i) without a new invest-
2 ment decision.”.

3 **SEC. 105. ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS.**

4 Section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and De-
5 velopment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) is amended—

6 (1) by redesignating subsection (g) as sub-
7 section (i); and

8 (2) by inserting after subsection (f) the fol-
9 lowing:

10 “(g) NON-FEDERAL INTEREST NOTIFICATION.—

11 “(1) IN GENERAL.—After the publication of the
12 annual report under subsection (f), if the proposal of
13 a non-Federal interest submitted under subsection
14 (b) was included by the Secretary in the appendix
15 under subsection (c)(4), the Secretary shall provide
16 written notification to the non-Federal interest of
17 such inclusion.

18 “(2) DEBRIEF.—

19 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30
20 days after the date on which a non-Federal in-
21 terest receives the written notification under
22 paragraph (1), the non-Federal interest shall
23 notify the Secretary that the non-Federal inter-
24 est is requesting a debrief under this para-
25 graph.

1 “(B) RESPONSE.—If a non-Federal inter-
2 est requests a debrief under this paragraph, the
3 Secretary shall provide the debrief to the non-
4 Federal interest by not later than 60 days after
5 the date on which the Secretary receives the re-
6 quest for the debrief.

7 “(C) INCLUSIONS.—The debrief provided
8 by the Secretary under this paragraph shall in-
9 clude—

10 “(i) an explanation of the reasons that
11 the proposal was included in the appendix
12 under subsection (c)(4); and

13 “(ii) a description of—

14 “(I) any revisions to the proposal
15 that may allow the proposal to be in-
16 cluded in a subsequent annual report,
17 to the maximum extent practicable;

18 “(II) other existing authorities of
19 the Secretary that may be used to ad-
20 dress the need that prompted the pro-
21 posal, if applicable; and

22 “(III) any other information that
23 the Secretary determines to be appro-
24 priate.

1 “(h) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—Not later
2 than 30 days after the publication of the annual report
3 under subsection (f), for each proposal included in that
4 annual report or appendix, the Secretary shall notify each
5 Member of Congress that represents the State in which
6 that proposal will be located that the proposal was in-
7 cluded the annual report or the appendix.”.

8 **SEC. 106. PROCESSING TIMELINES.**

9 Not later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal
10 year, the Secretary shall ensure that the public website
11 for the “permit finder” of the Corps of Engineers accu-
12 rately reflects the current status of projects for which a
13 permit was, or is being, processed using amounts accepted
14 under section 214 of the Water Resources Development
15 Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2352).

16 **SEC. 107. SERVICES OF VOLUNTEERS.**

17 The seventeenth paragraph under the heading “GEN-
18 ERAL PROVISIONS” under the heading “CORPS OF ENGI-
19 NEERS—CIVIL” under the heading “DEPARTMENT OF
20 THE ARMY” in chapter IV of title I of the Supplemental
21 Appropriations Act, 1983 (33 U.S.C. 569c), is amended—

22 (1) in the first sentence, by striking “The
23 United States Army Chief of Engineers” and insert-
24 ing the following:

1 “SERVICES OF VOLUNTEERS

2 “SEC. 141. (a) IN GENERAL.—The Chief of Engi-
3 neers”.

4 (2) in subsection (a) (as so designated), in the
5 second sentence, by striking “Such volunteers” and
6 inserting the following:

7 “(b) TREATMENT.—Volunteers under subsection
8 (a)”;

9 (3) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(c) RECOGNITION.—

11 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraphs (2)
12 and (3), the Chief of Engineers may recognize
13 through an award or other appropriate means the
14 service of volunteers under subsection (a).

15 “(2) PROCESS.—The Chief of Engineers shall
16 establish a process to carry out paragraph (1).

17 “(3) LIMITATION.—The Chief of Engineers
18 shall ensure that the recognition provided to a volun-
19 teer under paragraph (1) shall not be in the form
20 of a cash award.”.

21 **SEC. 108. SUPPORT OF ARMY CIVIL WORKS MISSIONS.**

22 Section 8159 of the Water Resources Development
23 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3740) is amended—

24 (1) in paragraph (3), by striking “and” at the
25 end; and

1 (2) by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the
2 following:

3 “(4) West Virginia University to conduct aca-
4 demic research on flood resilience planning and risk
5 management, water resource-related emergency
6 management, aquatic ecosystem restoration, water
7 quality, siting and risk management for open- and
8 closed-loop pumped hydropower energy storage, hy-
9 dropower, and water resource-related recreation and
10 management of resources for recreation in the State
11 of West Virginia;

12 “(5) Delaware State University to conduct aca-
13 demic research on water resource ecology, water
14 quality, aquatic ecosystem restoration, coastal res-
15 toration, and water resource-related emergency man-
16 agement in the State of Delaware, the Delaware
17 River Basin, and the Chesapeake Bay watershed;

18 “(6) the University of Notre Dame to conduct
19 academic research on hazard mitigation policies and
20 practices in coastal communities, including through
21 the incorporation of data analysis and the use of
22 risk-based analytical frameworks for reviewing flood
23 mitigation and hardening plans and for evaluating
24 the design of new infrastructure; and

1 “(7) Mississippi State University to conduct
2 academic research on technology to be used in water
3 resources development infrastructure, analyses of the
4 environment before and after a natural disaster, and
5 geospatial data collection.”.

6 **SEC. 109. INLAND WATERWAY PROJECTS.**

7 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 102(a) of the Water Re-
8 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2212(a)) is
9 amended—

10 (1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by
11 striking “65 percent of the costs” and inserting “75
12 percent of the costs”; and

13 (2) in the undesignated matter following para-
14 graph (3), in the second sentence, by striking “35
15 percent of such costs” and inserting “25 percent of
16 such costs”.

17 (b) APPLICATION.—The amendments made by sub-
18 section (a) shall apply beginning on October 1, 2024, to
19 any construction of a project for navigation on the inland
20 waterways that is new or ongoing on or after that date.

21 (c) EXCEPTION.—In the case of an inland waterways
22 project that receives funds under the heading “CONSTRUC-
23 TION” under the heading “CORPS OF ENGINEERS—
24 CIVIL” under the heading “DEPARTMENT OF THE
25 ARMY” in title III of division J of the Infrastructure In-

1 vestment and Jobs Act (135 Stat. 1359) that will not com-
 2 plete construction, replacement, rehabilitation, and expan-
 3 sion with such funds—

4 (1) section 102(a) of the Water Resources De-
 5 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2212(a)) shall
 6 not apply; and

7 (2) any remaining costs shall be paid only from
 8 amounts appropriated from the general fund of the
 9 Treasury.

10 **SEC. 110. LEVERAGING FEDERAL INFRASTRUCTURE FOR**
 11 **INCREASED WATER SUPPLY.**

12 Section 1118(i) of Water Resources Development Act
 13 of 2016 (43 U.S.C. 390b–2(i)) is amended by striking
 14 paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

15 “(2) CONTRIBUTED FUNDS FOR OTHER FED-
 16 ERAL RESERVOIR PROJECTS.—

17 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is au-
 18 thorized to receive and expend funds from a
 19 non-Federal interest or a Federal agency that
 20 owns a Federal reservoir project described in
 21 subparagraph (B) to formulate, review, or re-
 22 vise operational documents pursuant to a pro-
 23 posal submitted in accordance with subsection
 24 (a).

1 “(B) FEDERAL RESERVOIR PROJECTS DE-
2 SCRIBED.—A Federal reservoir project referred
3 to in subparagraph (A) is a reservoir for which
4 the Secretary is authorized to prescribe regula-
5 tions for the use of storage allocated for flood
6 control or navigation pursuant to section 7 of
7 the Act of December 22, 1944 (commonly
8 known as the ‘Flood Control Act of 1944’) (58
9 Stat. 890, chapter 665; 33 U.S.C. 709).”.

10 **SEC. 111. OUTREACH AND ACCESS.**

11 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 8117(b) of the Water Re-
12 sources Development Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2281b(b))
13 is amended—

14 (1) in paragraph (1)—

15 (A) in subparagraph (A)(iii), by striking
16 “and” at the end;

17 (B) in subparagraph (B), by striking the
18 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

19 (C) by adding at the end the following:

20 “(C) ensuring that a potential non-Federal
21 interest is aware of the roles, responsibilities,
22 and financial commitments associated with a
23 completed water resources development project
24 prior to initiating a feasibility study (as defined
25 in section 105(d) of the Water Resources Devel-

1 opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2215(d)), in-
2 cluding operations, maintenance, repair, re-
3 placement, and rehabilitation responsibilities.”;

4 (2) in paragraph (2)—

5 (A) in subparagraph (D), by striking
6 “and” at the end;

7 (B) in subparagraph (E), by striking the
8 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

9 (C) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(F) to the maximum extent practicable—

11 “(i) develop and continue to make
12 publicly available, through a publicly avail-
13 able existing website, information on the
14 projects and studies within the jurisdiction
15 of each district of the Corps of Engineers;
16 and

17 “(ii) ensure that the information de-
18 scribed in clause (i) is consistent and made
19 publicly available in the same manner
20 across all districts of the Corps of Engi-
21 neers.”;

22 (3) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as
23 paragraphs (4) and (5), respectively; and

24 (4) by inserting after paragraph (2) the fol-
25 lowing:

1 “(3) GUIDANCE.—The Secretary shall develop
2 and issue guidance to ensure that the points of con-
3 tacts established under paragraph (2)(B) are ade-
4 quately fulfilling their obligations under that para-
5 graph.”.

6 (b) BRIEFING.—Not later than 60 days after the date
7 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall provide to
8 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
9 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
10 structure of the House of Representatives a briefing on
11 the status of the implementation of section 8117 of the
12 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C.
13 2281b), including the amendments made to that section
14 by subsection (a), including—

15 (1) a plan for implementing any requirements
16 under that section; and

17 (2) any potential barriers to implementing that
18 section.

19 **SEC. 112. MODEL DEVELOPMENT.**

20 Section 8230 of the Water Resources Development
21 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3765) is amended by adding at
22 the end the following:

23 “(d) MODEL DEVELOPMENT.—

24 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may partner
25 with other Federal agencies, National Laboratories,

1 and institutions of higher education to develop, up-
2 date, and maintain hydrologic and climate-related
3 models for use in water resources planning, includ-
4 ing models to assess compound flooding that arises
5 when 2 or more flood drivers occur simultaneously
6 or in close succession, or are impacting the same re-
7 gion over time.

8 “(2) USE.—The Secretary may use models de-
9 veloped by the entities described in paragraph (1).”.

10 **SEC. 113. PLANNING ASSISTANCE FOR STATES.**

11 Section 22(a)(2)(B) of the Water Resources Develop-
12 ment Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–16(a)(2)(B)) is
13 amended by inserting “and title research for abandoned
14 structures” before the period at the end.

15 **SEC. 114. CORPS OF ENGINEERS LEVEE OWNERS ADVISORY**
16 **BOARD.**

17 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

18 (1) FEDERAL LEVEE SYSTEM OWNER-OPER-
19 ATOR.—The term “Federal levee system owner-oper-
20 ator” means a non-Federal interest that owns and
21 operates and maintains a levee system that was con-
22 structed by the Corps of Engineers.

23 (2) OWNERS BOARD.—The term “Owners
24 Board” means the Levee Owners Advisory Board es-
25 tablished under subsection (b).

1 (b) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 90 days after
2 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall es-
3 tablish a Levee Owners Advisory Board.

4 (c) MEMBERSHIP.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Owners Board—

6 (A) shall be composed of—

7 (i) 11 members, to be appointed by
8 the Secretary, who shall—

9 (I) represent various regions of
10 the country, including not less than 1
11 Federal levee system owner-operator
12 from each of the civil works divisions
13 of the Corps of Engineers; and

14 (II) have the requisite experien-
15 tial or technical knowledge to carry
16 out the duties of the Owners Board
17 described in subsection (d); and

18 (ii) a representative of the Corps of
19 Engineers, to be designated by the Sec-
20 retary, who shall serve as a nonvoting
21 member; and

22 (B) may include a representative des-
23 igned by the head of the Federal agency de-
24 scribed in section 9002(1) of the Water Re-
25 sources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.

1 3301(1)), who shall serve as a nonvoting mem-
2 ber.

3 (2) TERMS OF MEMBERS.—

4 (A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subpara-
5 graphs (B) and (C), a member of the Owners
6 Board shall be appointed for a term of 3 years.

7 (B) REAPPOINTMENT.—A member of the
8 Owners Board may be reappointed to the Own-
9 ers Board, as the Secretary determines to be
10 appropriate.

11 (C) VACANCIES.—A vacancy on the Own-
12 ers Board shall be filled in the same manner as
13 the original appointment was made.

14 (3) CHAIRPERSON.—The members of the Own-
15 ers Board shall appoint a chairperson from among
16 the members of the Owners Board.

17 (d) DUTIES.—

18 (1) RECOMMENDATIONS.—The Owners Board
19 shall provide advice and recommendations to the
20 Secretary and the Chief of Engineers on—

21 (A) the activities and actions, consistent
22 with applicable statutory authorities, that
23 should be undertaken by the Corps of Engi-
24 neers and Federal levee system owner-operators

1 to improve flood risk management throughout
2 the United States; and

3 (B) how to improve cooperation and com-
4 munication between the Corps of Engineers and
5 Federal levee system owner-operators.

6 (2) MEETINGS.—The Owners Board shall meet
7 not less frequently than semiannually.

8 (3) REPORT.—The Secretary, on behalf of the
9 Owners Board, shall—

10 (A) submit to the Committee on Environ-
11 ment and Public Works of the Senate and the
12 Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
13 ture of the House of Representatives a report
14 that includes the recommendations provided
15 under paragraph (1); and

16 (B) make those recommendations publicly
17 available, including on a publicly available exist-
18 ing website.

19 (e) INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT.—Any advice or rec-
20 ommendation made by the Owners Board pursuant to sub-
21 section (d)(1) shall reflect the independent judgment of
22 the Owners Board.

23 (f) ADMINISTRATION.—

1 (1) COMPENSATION.—Except as provided in
2 paragraph (2), the members of the Owners Board
3 shall serve without compensation.

4 (2) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—The members of the
5 Owners Board shall receive travel expenses, includ-
6 ing per diem in lieu of subsistence, in accordance
7 with applicable provisions under subchapter I of
8 chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code.

9 (3) TREATMENT.—The members of the Owners
10 Board shall not be considered to be Federal employ-
11 ees, and the meetings and reports of the Owners
12 Board shall not be considered a major Federal ac-
13 tion under the National Environmental Policy Act of
14 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

15 (g) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—The Owners Board shall not
16 supplant the Committee on Levee Safety established by
17 section 9003 of the Water Resources Development Act of
18 2007 (33 U.S.C. 3302).

19 **SEC. 115. SILVER JACKETS PROGRAM.**

20 The Secretary shall continue the Silver Jackets pro-
21 gram established by the Secretary pursuant to section 206
22 of the Flood Control Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 709a) and
23 section 204 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and
24 Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5134).

1 **SEC. 116. TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**

2 Section 203 of the Water Resources Development Act
3 of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269) is amended—

4 (1) in subsection (b)(2)—

5 (A) in subparagraph (C)(ii), by striking
6 “and” at the end;

7 (B) by redesignating subparagraph (D) as
8 subparagraph (E); and

9 (C) by inserting after subparagraph (C)
10 the following:

11 “(D) projects that improve emergency re-
12 sponse capabilities and provide increased access
13 to infrastructure that may be utilized in the
14 event of a severe weather event or other natural
15 disaster; and”; and

16 (2) by striking subsection (e) and inserting the
17 following:

18 “(e) PILOT PROGRAM.—

19 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry
20 out a pilot program under which the Secretary shall
21 carry out not more than 5 projects described in
22 paragraph (2).

23 “(2) PROJECTS DESCRIBED.—Notwithstanding
24 subsection (b)(1)(B), a project referred to in para-
25 graph (1) is a project—

1 “(A) that is otherwise eligible and meets
2 the requirements under this section; and

3 “(B) that is located—

4 “(i) along the Mid-Columbia River,
5 Washington, Taneum Creek, Washington,
6 or Similk Bay, Washington; or

7 “(ii) at Big Bend, Lake Oahe, Fort
8 Randall, or Gavins Point Reservoirs, South
9 Dakota.

10 “(3) REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary shall
11 carry out a project described in paragraph (2) in ac-
12 cordance with this section.

13 “(4) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this sub-
14 section authorizes—

15 “(A) a project for the removal of a dam
16 that otherwise is a project described in para-
17 graph (2);

18 “(B) the study of the removal of a dam; or

19 “(C) the study of any Federal dam, includ-
20 ing the study of power, flood control, or naviga-
21 tion replacement, or the implementation of any
22 functional alteration to that dam, that is lo-
23 cated along a body of water described in clause
24 (i) or (ii) of paragraph (2)(B).”.

1 **SEC. 117. TRIBAL PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PILOT PRO-**
2 **GRAM.**

3 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

4 (1) ELIGIBLE PROJECT.—The term “eligible
5 project” means a project or activity eligible to be
6 carried out under the Tribal partnership program
7 under section 203 of the Water Resources Develop-
8 ment Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269).

9 (2) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term “Indian Tribe”
10 has the meaning given the term in section 4 of the
11 Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance
12 Act (25 U.S.C. 5304).

13 (b) AUTHORIZATION.—Not later than 180 days after
14 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall es-
15 tablish and implement a pilot program under which Indian
16 Tribes may directly carry out eligible projects.

17 (c) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the pilot program
18 under this section are—

19 (1) to authorize Tribal contracting to advance
20 Tribal self-determination and provide economic op-
21 portunities for Indian Tribes; and

22 (2) to evaluate the technical, financial, and or-
23 ganizational efficiencies of Indian Tribes carrying
24 out the design, execution, management, and con-
25 struction of 1 or more eligible projects.

26 (d) ADMINISTRATION.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the pilot
2 program under this section, the Secretary shall—

3 (A) identify a total of not more than 5 eli-
4 gible projects that have been authorized for
5 construction;

6 (B) notify the Committee on Environment
7 and Public Works of the Senate and the Com-
8 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of
9 the House of Representatives on the identifica-
10 tion of each eligible project under the pilot pro-
11 gram under this section;

12 (C) in collaboration with the Indian Tribe,
13 develop a detailed project management plan for
14 each identified eligible project that outlines the
15 scope, budget, design, and construction resource
16 requirements necessary for the Indian Tribe to
17 execute the project or a separable element of
18 the eligible project;

19 (D) on the request of the Indian Tribe and
20 in accordance with subsection (f)(2), enter into
21 a project partnership agreement with the In-
22 dian Tribe for the Indian Tribe to provide full
23 project management control for construction of
24 the eligible project, or a separable element of

1 the eligible project, in accordance with plans
2 approved by the Secretary;

3 (E) following execution of the project part-
4 nership agreement, transfer to the Indian Tribe
5 to carry out construction of the eligible project,
6 or a separable element of the eligible project—

7 (i) if applicable, the balance of the un-
8 obligated amounts appropriated for the eli-
9 gible project, except that the Secretary
10 shall retain sufficient amounts for the
11 Corps of Engineers to carry out any re-
12 sponsibilities of the Corps of Engineers re-
13 lating to the eligible project and the pilot
14 program under this section; and

15 (ii) additional amounts, as determined
16 by the Secretary, from amounts made
17 available to carry out this section, except
18 that the total amount transferred to the
19 Indian Tribe shall not exceed the updated
20 estimate of the Federal share of the cost of
21 construction, including any required de-
22 sign; and

23 (F) regularly monitor and audit each eligi-
24 ble project being constructed by an Indian
25 Tribe under this section to ensure that the con-

1 struction activities are carried out in compli-
2 ance with the plans approved by the Secretary
3 and that the construction costs are reasonable.

4 (2) DETAILED PROJECT SCHEDULE.—Not later
5 than 180 days after entering into an agreement
6 under paragraph (1)(D), each Indian Tribe, to the
7 maximum extent practicable, shall submit to the
8 Secretary a detailed project schedule, based on esti-
9 mated funding levels, that lists all deadlines for each
10 milestone in the construction of the eligible project.

11 (3) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—On the request
12 of an Indian Tribe, the Secretary may provide tech-
13 nical assistance to the Indian Tribe, if the Indian
14 Tribe contracts with and compensates the Secretary
15 for the technical assistance relating to—

16 (A) any study, engineering activity, and
17 design activity for construction carried out by
18 the Indian Tribe under this section; and

19 (B) expeditiously obtaining any permits
20 necessary for the eligible project.

21 (e) COST SHARE.—Nothing in this section affects the
22 cost-sharing requirement applicable on the day before the
23 date of enactment of this Act to an eligible project carried
24 out under this section.

25 (f) IMPLEMENTATION GUIDANCE.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days
2 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
3 retary shall issue guidance for the implementation of
4 the pilot program under this section that, to the ex-
5 tent practicable, identifies—

6 (A) the metrics for measuring the success
7 of the pilot program;

8 (B) a process for identifying future eligible
9 projects to participate in the pilot program;

10 (C) measures to address the risks of an In-
11 dian Tribe constructing eligible projects under
12 the pilot program, including which entity bears
13 the risk for eligible projects that fail to meet
14 Corps of Engineers standards for design or
15 quality;

16 (D) the laws and regulations that an In-
17 dian Tribe must follow in carrying out an eligi-
18 ble project under the pilot program; and

19 (E) which entity bears the risk in the event
20 that an eligible project carried out under the
21 pilot program fails to be carried out in accord-
22 ance with the project authorization or this sec-
23 tion.

24 (2) NEW PROJECT PARTNERSHIP AGREE-
25 MENTS.—The Secretary may not enter into a project

1 partnership agreement under this section until the
2 date on which the Secretary issues the guidance
3 under paragraph (1).

4 (g) REPORT.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 3 years after
6 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
7 shall submit to the Committee on Environment and
8 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on
9 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
10 Representatives and make publicly available a report
11 detailing the results of the pilot program under this
12 section, including—

13 (A) a description of the progress of Indian
14 Tribes in meeting milestones in detailed project
15 schedules developed pursuant to subsection
16 (d)(2); and

17 (B) any recommendations of the Secretary
18 concerning whether the pilot program or any
19 component of the pilot program should be im-
20 plemented on a national basis.

21 (2) UPDATE.—Not later than 5 years after the
22 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
23 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
24 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
25 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of

1 Representatives an update to the report under para-
2 graph (1).

3 (3) FAILURE TO MEET DEADLINE.—If the Sec-
4 retary fails to submit a report by the required dead-
5 line under this subsection, the Secretary shall sub-
6 mit to the Committee on Environment and Public
7 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Trans-
8 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-
9 resentatives a detailed explanation of why the dead-
10 line was missed and a projected date for submission
11 of the report.

12 (h) ADMINISTRATION.—All laws and regulations that
13 would apply to the Secretary if the Secretary were car-
14 rying out the eligible project shall apply to an Indian Tribe
15 carrying out an eligible project under this section.

16 (i) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority to
17 commence an eligible project under this section terminates
18 on December 31, 2029.

19 (j) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—In addi-
20 tion to any amounts appropriated for a specific eligible
21 project, there is authorized to be appropriated to the Sec-
22 retary to carry out this section, including the costs of ad-
23 ministration of the Secretary, \$15,000,000 for each of fis-
24 cal years 2024 through 2029.

1 **SEC. 118. ELIGIBILITY FOR INTER-TRIBAL CONSORTIUMS.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 221(b)(1) of the Flood
3 Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(b)(1)) is
4 amended by inserting “and an inter-tribal consortium (as
5 defined in section 403 of the Indian Child Protection and
6 Family Violence Prevention Act (25 U.S.C. 3202))” after
7 “5304))”.

8 (b) TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—Section 203
9 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (33
10 U.S.C. 2269) is amended—

11 (1) in subsection (a)—

12 (A) by striking the subsection designation
13 and heading and all that follows through “the
14 term” and inserting the following:

15 “(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

16 “(1) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term”; and

17 (B) by adding at the end the following:

18 “(2) INTER-TRIBAL CONSORTIUM.—The term
19 ‘inter-tribal consortium’ has the meaning given the
20 term in section 403 of the Indian Child Protection
21 and Family Violence Prevention Act (25 U.S.C.
22 3202).

23 “(3) TRIBAL ORGANIZATION.—The term ‘Tribal
24 organization’ has the meaning given the term in sec-
25 tion 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Edu-
26 cation Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304).”; and

1 (2) in subsection (b)—

2 (A) in paragraph (1)—

3 (i) in the matter preceding subpara-
4 graph (A), by inserting “, inter-tribal con-
5 sortiums, Tribal organizations,” after “In-
6 dian tribes”; and

7 (ii) in subparagraph (A), by inserting
8 “, inter-tribal consortiums, or Tribal orga-
9 nizations” after “Indian tribes”;

10 (B) in paragraph (2)—

11 (i) in subparagraph (A), by striking
12 “flood hurricane” and inserting “flood or
13 hurricane”;

14 (ii) in subparagraph (C), in the mat-
15 ter preceding clause (i), by inserting “, an
16 inter-tribal consortium, or a Tribal organi-
17 zation” after “Indian tribe”; and

18 (iii) in subparagraph (E) (as redesign-
19 ated by section 116(1)(B)), by inserting
20 “, inter-tribal consortiums, Tribal organi-
21 zations,” after “Indian tribes”; and

22 (C) in paragraph (3)(A), by inserting “,
23 inter-tribal consortium, or Tribal organization”
24 after “Indian tribe” each place it appears.

1 **SEC. 119. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO THE MANAGE-**
2 **MENT OF RECREATION FACILITIES.**

3 It is the sense of Congress that—

4 (1) the Corps of Engineers should have greater
5 access to the revenue collected from the use of Corps
6 of Engineers-managed facilities with recreational
7 purposes;

8 (2) revenue collected from Corps of Engineers-
9 managed facilities with recreational purposes should
10 be available to the Corps of Engineers for necessary
11 operation, maintenance, and improvement activities
12 at the facility from which the revenue was derived;

13 (3) the districts of the Corps of Engineers
14 should be provided with more authority to partner
15 with non-Federal public entities and private non-
16 profit entities for the improvement and management
17 of Corps of Engineers-managed facilities with rec-
18 reational purposes; and

19 (4) legislation to address the issues described in
20 paragraphs (1) through (3) should be considered by
21 Congress.

22 **SEC. 120. EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION.**

23 Section 7004(b)(4) of the Water Resources Reform
24 and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1374; 132 Stat.
25 3784) is amended by striking “December 31, 2024” and
26 inserting “December 31, 2026”.

1 **TITLE II—STUDIES AND**
2 **REPORTS**

3 **SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF PROPOSED FEASIBILITY**
4 **STUDIES.**

5 (a) NEW PROJECTS.—The Secretary is authorized to
6 conduct a feasibility study for the following projects for
7 water resources development and conservation and other
8 purposes, as identified in the reports titled “Report to
9 Congress on Future Water Resources Development” sub-
10 mitted to Congress pursuant to section 7001 of the Water
11 Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33
12 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise reviewed by Congress:

13 (1) YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA.—Project for
14 flood risk management, Yavapai County, Arizona.

15 (2) EASTMAN LAKE, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
16 ecosystem restoration and water supply, including
17 for conservation and recharge, Eastman Lake,
18 Merced and Madera Counties, California.

19 (3) PINE FLAT DAM, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
20 ecosystem restoration, water supply, and recreation,
21 Pine Flat Dam, Fresno County, California.

22 (4) SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.—Project for flood
23 risk management, including sea level rise, San
24 Diego, California.

1 (5) SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
2 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,
3 including levee improvement, Sacramento River,
4 Sacramento, California.

5 (6) SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
6 flood risk management, City of San Mateo, Cali-
7 fornia.

8 (7) SACRAMENTO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
9 Project for flood risk management, ecosystem res-
10 toration, and water supply, Lower Cosumnes River,
11 Sacramento County, California.

12 (8) COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO.—Project
13 for ecosystem restoration and flood risk manage-
14 ment, Fountain Creek, Monument Creek, and T-
15 Gap Levee, Colorado Springs, Colorado.

16 (9) PLYMOUTH, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
17 ecosystem restoration, Plymouth, Connecticut.

18 (10) WINDHAM, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
19 ecosystem restoration and recreation, Windham,
20 Connecticut.

21 (11) ENFIELD, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
22 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,
23 including restoring freshwater brook floodplain, En-
24 field, Connecticut.

1 (12) NEWINGTON, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
2 flood risk management, Newington, Connecticut.

3 (13) HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
4 hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, Hart-
5 ford, Connecticut.

6 (14) FAIRFIELD, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
7 flood risk management, Rooster River, Fairfield,
8 Connecticut.

9 (15) MILTON, DELAWARE.—Project for flood
10 risk management, Milton, Delaware.

11 (16) WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.—Project for
12 coastal storm risk management, City of Wilmington,
13 Delaware.

14 (17) TYBEE ISLAND, GEORGIA.—Project for
15 flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-
16 agement, including the potential for beneficial use of
17 dredged material, Tybee Island, Georgia.

18 (18) HANAPEPE LEVEE, HAWAII.—Project for
19 ecosystem restoration, flood risk management, and
20 hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, includ-
21 ing Hanapepe Levee, Kauai County, Hawaii.

22 (19) KAUAI COUNTY, HAWAII.—Project for
23 flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-
24 agement, Kauai County, Hawaii.

1 (20) HAWAI‘I KAI, HAWAII.—Project for flood
2 risk management, Hawai‘i Kai, Hawaii.

3 (21) MAUI, HAWAII.—Project for flood risk
4 management and ecosystem restoration, Maui Coun-
5 ty, Hawaii.

6 (22) BUTTERFIELD CREEK, ILLINOIS.—Project
7 for flood risk management, Butterfield Creek, Illi-
8 nois, including the villages of Flossmoor, Matteson,
9 Park Forest, and Richton Park.

10 (23) ROCKY RIPPLE, INDIANA.—Project for
11 flood risk management, Rocky Ripple, Indiana.

12 (24) COFFEYVILLE, KANSAS.—Project for flood
13 risk management, Coffeyville, Kansas.

14 (25) FULTON COUNTY, KENTUCKY.—Project for
15 flood risk management, including bank stabilization,
16 Fulton County, Kentucky.

17 (26) CUMBERLAND RIVER, CRITTENDEN COUN-
18 TY, LYON COUNTY, AND LIVINGSTON COUNTY, KEN-
19 TUCKY.—Project for ecosystem restoration, includ-
20 ing bank stabilization, Cumberland River, Crittenden
21 County, Lyon County, and Livingston County, Ken-
22 tucky.

23 (27) SCOTT COUNTY, KENTUCKY.—Project for
24 ecosystem restoration, including water supply, Scott
25 County, Kentucky.

1 (28) BULLSKIN CREEK AND SHELBY COUNTY,
2 KENTUCKY.—Project for ecosystem restoration, in-
3 cluding bank stabilization, Bullskin Creek and
4 Shelby County, Kentucky.

5 (29) LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN BARRIER, LOU-
6 ISIANA.—Project for hurricane and storm damage
7 risk reduction, Orleans Parish, St. Tammany Par-
8 ish, and St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana.

9 (30) OCEAN CITY, MARYLAND.—Project for
10 flood risk management, Ocean City, Maryland.

11 (31) BEAVERDAM CREEK, MARYLAND.—Project
12 for flood risk management, Beaverdam Creek,
13 Prince George's County, Maryland.

14 (32) OAK BLUFFS, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project
15 for flood risk management, coastal storm risk man-
16 agement, recreation, and ecosystem restoration, in-
17 cluding shoreline stabilization along East Chop
18 Drive, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts.

19 (33) TISBURY, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for
20 coastal storm risk management, including shoreline
21 stabilization along Beach Road Causeway, Tisbury,
22 Massachusetts.

23 (34) OAK BLUFFS HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS.—
24 Project for coastal storm risk management and navi-

1 gation, Oak Bluffs Harbor north and south jetties,
2 Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts.

3 (35) CONNECTICUT RIVER, MASSACHUSETTS.—
4 Project for flood risk management along the Con-
5 necticut River, Massachusetts.

6 (36) MARYSVILLE, MICHIGAN.—Project for
7 coastal storm risk management, including shoreline
8 stabilization, City of Marysville, Michigan.

9 (37) CHEBOYGAN, MICHIGAN.—Project for flood
10 risk management, Little Black River, City of Che-
11 boygan, Michigan.

12 (38) KALAMAZOO, MICHIGAN.—Project for flood
13 risk management and ecosystem restoration, Kala-
14 mazoo River Watershed and tributaries, City of
15 Kalamazoo, Michigan.

16 (39) DEARBORN AND DEARBORN HEIGHTS,
17 MICHIGAN.—Project for flood risk management,
18 Dearborn and Dearborn Heights, Michigan.

19 (40) GRAND TRAVERSE BAY, MICHIGAN.—
20 Project for navigation, Grand Traverse Bay, Michi-
21 gan.

22 (41) GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—
23 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem
24 restoration, Grand Traverse County, Michigan.

1 (42) BRIGHTON MILL POND, MICHIGAN.—
2 Project for ecosystem restoration, Brighton Mill
3 Pond, Michigan.

4 (43) LUDINGTON, MICHIGAN.—Project for
5 coastal storm risk management, including feasibility
6 of emergency shoreline protection, Ludington, Michi-
7 gan.

8 (44) PAHRUMP, NEVADA.—Project for hurri-
9 cane and storm damage risk reduction and flood risk
10 management, Pahrump, Nevada.

11 (45) ALLEGHENY RIVER, NEW YORK.—Project
12 for navigation and ecosystem restoration, Allegheny
13 River, New York.

14 (46) TURTLE COVE, NEW YORK.—Project for
15 ecosystem restoration, Turtle Cove, Pelham Bay
16 Park, Bronx, New York.

17 (47) NILES, OHIO.—Project for flood risk man-
18 agement, ecosystem restoration, and recreation, City
19 of Niles, Ohio.

20 (48) GENEVA-ON-THE-LAKE, OHIO.—Project for
21 flood and coastal storm risk management, ecosystem
22 restoration, recreation, and shoreline erosion protec-
23 tion, Geneva-on-the-Lake, Ohio.

1 (49) LITTLE KILLBUCK CREEK, OHIO.—Project
2 for ecosystem restoration, including aquatic invasive
3 species management, Little Killbuck Creek, Ohio.

4 (50) DEFIANCE, OHIO.—Project for flood risk
5 management, ecosystem restoration, recreation, and
6 bank stabilization, Maumee, Auglaize, and Tiffin
7 Rivers, Defiance, Ohio.

8 (51) DILLON LAKE, MUSKINGUM COUNTY,
9 OHIO.—Project for ecosystem restoration, recreation,
10 and shoreline erosion protection, Dillon Lake,
11 Muskingum and Licking Counties, Ohio.

12 (52) JERUSALEM TOWNSHIP, OHIO.—Project
13 for flood and coastal storm risk management and
14 shoreline erosion protection, Jerusalem Township,
15 Ohio.

16 (53) NINE MILE CREEK, CLEVELAND, OHIO.—
17 Project for flood risk management, Nine Mile Creek,
18 Cleveland, Ohio.

19 (54) COLD CREEK, OHIO.—Project for eco-
20 system restoration, Cold Creek, Erie County, Ohio.

21 (55) ALLEGHENY RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA.—
22 Project for navigation and ecosystem restoration, Al-
23 legheny River, Pennsylvania.

24 (56) PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.—Project
25 for ecosystem restoration and recreation, including

1 shoreline stabilization, South Philadelphia Wetlands
2 Park, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

3 (57) GALVESTON BAY, TEXAS.—Project for
4 navigation, Galveston Bay, Texas.

5 (58) WINOOSKI, VERMONT.—Project for flood
6 risk management, Winooski River and tributaries,
7 Winooski, Vermont.

8 (59) MT. ST. HELENS, WASHINGTON.—Project
9 for navigation, Mt. St. Helens, Washington.

10 (60) GRAYS BAY, WASHINGTON.—Project for
11 navigation, flood risk management, and ecosystem
12 restoration, Grays Bay, Wahkiakum County, Wash-
13 ington.

14 (61) WIND, KLICKITAT, HOOD, DESCHUTES,
15 ROCK CREEK, AND JOHN DAY TRIBUTARIES, WASH-
16 INGTON.—Project for ecosystem restoration, Wind,
17 Klickitat, Hood, Deschutes, Rock Creek, and John
18 Day tributaries, Washington.

19 (62) LA CROSSE, WISCONSIN.—Project for flood
20 risk management, City of La Crosse, Wisconsin.

21 (b) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—The Secretary is au-
22 thorized to conduct a feasibility study for the following
23 project modifications:

24 (1) LUXAPALILA CREEK, ALABAMA.—Modifica-
25 tions to the project for flood risk management,

1 Luxapalila Creek, Alabama, authorized by section
2 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1958 (72 Stat.
3 307).

4 (2) OSCEOLA HARBOR, ARKANSAS.—Modifica-
5 tions to the project for navigation, Osceola Harbor,
6 Arkansas, authorized under section 107 of the River
7 and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577), to evalu-
8 ate the expansion of the harbor.

9 (3) SAVANNAH, GEORGIA.—Modifications to the
10 project for navigation, Savannah Harbor Expansion
11 Project, Georgia, authorized by section 7002(1) of
12 the Water Resources Reform and Development Act
13 of 2014 (128 Stat. 1364) and modified by section
14 1401(6) of the America’s Water Infrastructure Act
15 of 2018 (132 Stat. 3839).

16 (4) HAGAMAN CHUTE, LOUISIANA.—Modifica-
17 tions to the project for navigation, including sedi-
18 ment management, Hagaman Chute, Louisiana.

19 (5) CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LOUISIANA.—
20 Modifications to the project for navigation, Calcasieu
21 River and Pass, Louisiana, authorized by section
22 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (74 Stat.
23 481) and modified by section 3079 of the Water Re-
24 sources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1126),
25 including channel deepening and jetty improvements.

1 (6) MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,
2 OUACHITA RIVER, LOUISIANA.—Modifications to the
3 project for flood risk management, including bank
4 stabilization, Ouachita River, Monroe to Caldwell
5 Parish, Louisiana, authorized by the first section of
6 the Act of May 15, 1928 (45 Stat. 534, chapter
7 569).

8 (7) ST. MARYS RIVER, MICHIGAN.—Modifica-
9 tions to the project for navigation, St. Marys River
10 and tributaries, Michigan, for channel improve-
11 ments.

12 (8) MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, TRUMBULL COUN-
13 TY, OHIO.—Modifications to the project for flood
14 risk management and water supply, Mosquito Creek
15 Lake, Trumbull County, Ohio.

16 (9) LITTLE CONEMAUGH, STONYCREEK, AND
17 CONEMAUGH RIVERS, PENNSYLVANIA.—Modifica-
18 tions to the project for ecosystem restoration, recre-
19 ation, and flood risk management, Little
20 Conemaugh, Stonycreek, and Conemaugh rivers,
21 Pennsylvania, authorized by section 5 of the Act of
22 June 22, 1936 (commonly known as the “Flood
23 Control Act of 1936”) (49 Stat. 1586, chapter 688;
24 50 Stat. 879; chapter 877).

1 (10) CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—Modi-
2 fications to the project for navigation, Charleston
3 Harbor, South Carolina, authorized by section
4 1401(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of
5 2016 (130 Stat. 1709), including improvements to
6 address potential or actual changed conditions on
7 that portion of the project that serves the North
8 Charleston Terminal.

9 (11) ADDICKS AND BARKER RESERVOIRS,
10 TEXAS.—Modifications to the project for flood risk
11 management, Addicks and Barker Reservoirs, Texas.

12 (12) WESTSIDE CREEK, SAN ANTONIO CHAN-
13 NEL, TEXAS.—Modifications to the project for eco-
14 system restoration, Westside Creek, San Antonio
15 Channel, Texas, authorized by section 203 of the
16 Flood Control Act of 1954 (68 Stat. 1259) as part
17 of the comprehensive plan for flood protection on the
18 Guadalupe and San Antonio Rivers, Texas, and
19 modified by section 103 of the Water Resources De-
20 velopment Act of 1976 (90 Stat. 2921), section 335
21 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000
22 (114 Stat. 2611), and section 3154 of the Water Re-
23 sources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1148).

1 (13) MONONGAHELA RIVER, WEST VIRGINIA.—
2 Modifications to the project for recreation,
3 Monongahela River, West Virginia.

4 (c) SPECIAL RULE, ST. MARYS RIVER, MICHIGAN.—
5 The cost of the study under subsection (b)(7) shall be
6 shared in accordance with the cost share applicable to con-
7 struction of the project for navigation, Sault Sainte Marie,
8 Michigan, authorized by section 1149 of the Water Re-
9 sources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4254; 121
10 Stat. 1131).

11 **SEC. 202. VERTICAL INTEGRATION AND ACCELERATION OF**
12 **STUDIES.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1001 of the Water Re-
14 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
15 2282c) is amended—

16 (1) by redesignating subsections (d), (e), and
17 (f) as subsections (e), (f), and (g), respectively;

18 (2) by inserting after subsection (c) the fol-
19 lowing:

20 “(d) DELEGATION.—

21 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall dele-
22 gate the determination to grant an extension under
23 subsection (c) to the Commander of the relevant Di-
24 vision if—

1 “(A) the final feasibility report for the
2 study can be completed with an extension of not
3 more than 1 year beyond the time period de-
4 scribed in subsection (a)(1); or

5 “(B) the feasibility study requires an addi-
6 tional cost of not more than \$1,000,000 above
7 the amount described in subsection (a)(2).

8 “(2) GUIDANCE.—If the Secretary determines
9 that implementation guidance is necessary to imple-
10 ment this subsection, the Secretary shall issue such
11 implementation guidance not later than 180 days
12 after the date of enactment of the Thomas R. Car-
13 per Water Resources Development Act of 2024.”;
14 and

15 (3) by adding at the end the following:

16 “(h) DEFINITION OF DIVISION.—In this section, the
17 term ‘Division’ means each of the following Divisions of
18 the Corps of Engineers:

19 “(1) The Great Lakes and Ohio River Division.

20 “(2) The Mississippi Valley Division.

21 “(3) The North Atlantic Division.

22 “(4) The Northwestern Division.

23 “(5) The Pacific Ocean Division.

24 “(6) The South Atlantic Division.

25 “(7) The South Pacific Division.

1 “(8) The Southwestern Division.”;

2 (b) DEADLINE.—

3 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
4 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
5 retary shall develop and issue implementation guid-
6 ance that improves the implementation of section
7 1001 of the Water Resources Reform and Develop-
8 ment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c).

9 (2) STANDARDIZED FORM.—In carrying out
10 this subsection, the Secretary shall develop and pro-
11 vide to each Division (as defined in subsection (h) of
12 section 1001 of the Water Resources Reform and
13 Development of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c)) a stand-
14 arized form to assist the Divisions in preparing a
15 written request for an exception under subsection (c)
16 of that section.

17 (3) NOTIFICATION.—The Secretary shall sub-
18 mit a written copy of the implementation guidance
19 developed under paragraph (1) to the Committee on
20 Environment and Public Works of the Senate and
21 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure
22 of the House of Representatives not less than 30
23 days before the date on which the Secretary makes
24 that guidance publicly available.

1 **SEC. 203. EXPEDITED COMPLETION.**

2 (a) FEASIBILITY STUDIES.—The Secretary shall ex-
3 pedite the completion of a feasibility study or general re-
4 evaluation report (as applicable) for each of the following
5 projects, and if the Secretary determines that the project
6 is justified in a completed report, may proceed directly to
7 preconstruction planning, engineering, and design of the
8 project:

9 (1) Project for food risk management, Upper
10 Guyandotte River Basin, West Virginia.

11 (2) Project for flood risk management,
12 Kanawha River Basin, West Virginia, Virginia, and
13 North Carolina.

14 (3) Project for flood risk management, Cave
15 Buttes Dam, Phoenix, Arizona.

16 (4) Project for flood risk management,
17 McMicken Dam, Maricopa County, Arizona.

18 (5) Project for ecosystem restoration, Rio Sa-
19 lado, Phoenix, Arizona.

20 (6) Project for flood risk management, Lower
21 San Joaquin River, San Joaquin Valley, California.

22 (7) Project for flood risk management, Strat-
23 ford, Connecticut.

24 (8) Project for flood risk management, Waimea
25 River, Kauai County, Hawaii.

1 (9) Modifications to the project for flood risk
2 management, Cedar River, Cedar Rapids, Iowa, au-
3 thorized by section 8201(b)(6) of the Water Re-
4 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3750).

5 (10) Project for flood risk management, Rah-
6 way River, Rahway, New Jersey.

7 (11) Northeast Levee System portion of the
8 project for flood control and other purposes, Wil-
9 liamsport, Pennsylvania, authorized by section 5 of
10 the Act of June 22, 1936 (commonly known as the
11 “Flood Control Act of 1936”) (49 Stat. 1573, chap-
12 ter 688).

13 (12) Project for navigation, Menominee River,
14 Menominee, Wisconsin.

15 (13) General reevaluation report for the project
16 for flood risk management and other purposes, East
17 St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois.

18 (14) General reevaluation report for project for
19 flood risk management, Green Brook, New Jersey.

20 (15) Project for ecosystem restoration, Imperial
21 Streams Salton Sea, California.

22 (16) Modification of the project for navigation,
23 Honolulu Deep Draft Harbor, Hawaii.

24 (17) Project for shoreline damage mitigation,
25 Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana.

1 (18) Project for hurricane and coastal storm
2 risk management, Dare County Beaches, North
3 Carolina.

4 (19) Modification of the project for flood pro-
5 tection and recreation, Surry Mountain Lake, New
6 Hampshire, including for consideration of low flow
7 augmentation.

8 (20) Project for coastal storm risk manage-
9 ment, Virginia Beach and vicinity, Virginia.

10 (21) Project for secondary water source identi-
11 fication, Washington Metropolitan Area, Wash-
12 ington, DC, Maryland, and Virginia.

13 (b) STUDY REPORTS.—The Secretary shall expedite
14 the completion of a Chief's Report or Director's Report
15 (as applicable) for each of the following projects for the
16 project to be considered for authorization:

17 (1) Modification of the project for navigation,
18 Norfolk Harbors and Channels, Anchorage F seg-
19 ment, Norfolk, Virginia.

20 (2) Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,
21 Biscayne Bay Coastal Wetlands, Florida.

22 (3) Project for ecosystem restoration, Claiborne
23 and Millers Ferry Locks and Dam Fish Passage,
24 Lower Alabama River, Alabama.

1 (4) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
2 tion, Surf City, North Carolina.

3 (5) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
4 tion, Nassau County Back Bays, New York.

5 (6) Project for flood risk management, Tar
6 Pamlico, North Carolina.

7 (7) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central
8 and South Florida Comprehensive Everglades Res-
9 toration Program, Western Everglades Restoration
10 Project, Florida.

11 (8) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
12 tion, Ala Wai, Hawaii.

13 (9) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central
14 and South Florida Comprehensive Everglades Res-
15 toration Program, Lake Okeechobee Watershed Res-
16 toration, Florida.

17 (10) Project for flood and coastal storm dam-
18 age reduction, Miami-Dade County Back Bay, Flor-
19 ida.

20 (11) Project for navigation, Tampa Harbor,
21 Florida.

22 (12) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
23 tion, Amite River and tributaries, Louisiana.

1 (13) Project for flood and coastal storm risk
2 management, Puerto Rico Coastal Study, Puerto
3 Rico.

4 (14) Project for coastal storm risk manage-
5 ment, Baltimore, Maryland.

6 (15) Project for water supply reallocation,
7 Stockton Lake Reallocation Study, Missouri.

8 (16) Project for ecosystem restoration,
9 Hatchie–Loosahatchie Mississippi River, Tennessee
10 and Arkansas.

11 (17) Project for ecosystem restoration, Biscayne
12 Bay and Southern Everglades, Florida, authorized
13 by section 601 of the Water Resources Development
14 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680).

15 (c) PROJECTS.—The Secretary shall, to the max-
16 imum extent practicable, expedite completion of the fol-
17 lowing projects:

18 (1) Project for flood control, Lower Mud River,
19 Milton, West Virginia, authorized by section 580 of
20 the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110
21 Stat. 3790) and modified by section 340 of the
22 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114
23 Stat. 2612) and section 3170 of the Water Re-
24 sources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1154).

1 (2) Project for dam safety modifications,
2 Bluestone Dam, West Virginia, authorized pursuant
3 to section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (commonly
4 known as the “Flood Control Act of 1936”) (49
5 Stat. 1586, chapter 688).

6 (3) Project for flood risk management, Tulsa
7 and West–Tulsa Levee System, Tulsa County, Okla-
8 homa, authorized by section 401(2) of the Water Re-
9 sources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2735).

10 (4) Project for flood risk management, Little
11 Colorado River, Navajo County, Arizona.

12 (5) Project for flood risk management, Rio de
13 Flag, Flagstaff, Arizona.

14 (6) Project for ecosystem restoration, Va
15 Shly’AY Akimel, Maricopa Indian Reservation, Ari-
16 zona.

17 (7) Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,
18 Quincy Bay, Illinois, Upper Mississippi River Res-
19 toration Program.

20 (8) Major maintenance on Laupahoehoe Har-
21 bor, Hawaii County, Hawaii.

22 (9) Project for flood risk management, Green
23 Brook, New Jersey.

1 (10) Water control manual update for water
2 supply and flood control, Theodore Roosevelt Dam,
3 Globe, Arizona.

4 (11) Water control manual update for Oroville
5 Dam, Butte County, California.

6 (12) Water control manual update for New
7 Bullards Dam, Yuba County, California.

8 (13) Project for flood risk management, Mor-
9 gan City, Louisiana.

10 (14) Project for hurricane and storm risk re-
11 duction, Upper Barataria Basin, Louisiana.

12 (15) Project for ecosystem restoration, Mid-
13 Chesapeake Bay, Maryland.

14 (16) Project for navigation, Big Bay Harbor of
15 Refuge, Michigan.

16 (17) Project for George W. Kuhn Headwaters
17 Outfall, Michigan.

18 (18) The portion of the project for flood control
19 and other purposes, Williamsport, Pennsylvania, au-
20 thorized by section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936
21 (commonly known as the “Flood Control Act of
22 1936”) (49 Stat. 1573, chapter 688), to bring the
23 Northwest Levee System into compliance with cur-
24 rent flood mitigation standards.

1 (19) Project for navigation, Seattle Harbor,
2 Washington, authorized by section 1401(1) of the
3 Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (132
4 Stat. 3836), deepening the East Waterway at the
5 Port of Seattle.

6 (20) Project for shoreline stabilization, Clarks-
7 ville, Indiana.

8 (d) CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS.—The
9 Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expe-
10 dite completion of the following projects and studies:

11 (1) Projects for flood control under section 205
12 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s)
13 for the following areas:

14 (A) Ak Chin Levee, Pinal County, Arizona.

15 (B) McCormick Wash, Globe, Arizona.

16 (C) Rose and Palm Garden Washes, Doug-
17 las, Arizona.

18 (D) Lower Santa Cruz River, Arizona.

19 (2) Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration
20 under section 206 of the Water Resources Develop-
21 ment Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330), Corazon de los
22 Tres Rios del Norte, Pima County, Arizona.

23 (3) Project for hurricane and storm damage re-
24 duction under section 3 of the Act of August 13,

1 1946 (60 Stat. 1056, chapter 960; 33 U.S.C. 426g),
2 Stratford, Connecticut.

3 (4) Project modification for improvements to
4 the environment, Surry Mountain Lake, New Hamp-
5 shire, under section 1135 of the Water Resources
6 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a).

7 (e) TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—The Sec-
8 retary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite
9 completion of the following projects and studies under the
10 Tribal partnership program under section 203 of the
11 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C.
12 2269):

13 (1) Maricopa (Ak Chin) Indian Reservation, Ar-
14 izona.

15 (2) Gila River Indian Reservation, Arizona.

16 (3) Navajo Nation, Bird Springs, Arizona.

17 (f) WATERSHED ASSESSMENTS.—The Secretary
18 shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite comple-
19 tion of the watershed assessment for flood risk manage-
20 ment, Upper Mississippi and Illinois Rivers, authorized by
21 section 1206 of Water Resources Development Act of
22 2016 (130 Stat. 1686) and section 214 of the Water Re-
23 sources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2687).

24 (g) EXPEDITED PROSPECTUS.—The Secretary shall
25 prioritize the completion of the prospectus for the United

1 States Moorings Facility, Portland, Oregon, required for
2 authorization of funding from the revolving fund estab-
3 lished by the first section of the Civil Functions Appro-
4 priations Act, 1954 (33 U.S.C. 576).

5 **SEC. 204. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF OTHER FEASIBILITY**
6 **STUDIES.**

7 (a) CEDAR PORT NAVIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT
8 DISTRICT CHANNEL DEEPENING PROJECT, BAYTOWN,
9 TEXAS.—The Secretary shall expedite the review and co-
10 ordination of the feasibility study for the project for navi-
11 gation, Cedar Port Navigation and Improvement District
12 Channel Deepening Project, Baytown, Texas, under sec-
13 tion 203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of
14 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

15 (b) LAKE OKEECHOBEE WATERSHED RESTORATION
16 PROJECT, FLORIDA.—The Secretary shall expedite the re-
17 view and coordination of the feasibility study for the
18 project for ecosystem restoration, Lake Okeechobee Com-
19 ponent A Reservoir, Everglades, Florida, under section
20 203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986
21 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

22 (c) SABINE–NECHES WATERWAY NAVIGATION IM-
23 PROVEMENT PROJECT, TEXAS.—The Secretary shall ex-
24 pedite the review and coordination of the feasibility study
25 for the project for navigation, Sabine–Neches Waterway,

1 Texas, under section 203(b) of the Water Resources De-
2 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

3 (d) LA QUINTA EXPANSION PROJECT, TEXAS.—The
4 Secretary shall expedite the review and coordination of the
5 feasibility study for the project for navigation, La Quinta
6 Ship Channel, Corpus Christi, Texas, under section
7 203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986
8 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

9 **SEC. 205. ALEXANDRIA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LOU-**
10 **ISIANA, FEASIBILITY STUDY.**

11 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to
12 conduct a feasibility study for the project for flood risk
13 management, navigation and ecosystem restoration,
14 Rapides, Avoyelles, Point Coupee, Allen, Evangeline, St.
15 Landry, Calcasieu, Jefferson Davis, Acadia, Lafayette, St.
16 Martin, Iberville, Cameron, Vermilion, Iberia, and St.
17 Mary Parishes, Louisiana.

18 (b) SPECIAL RULE.—The study authorized by sub-
19 section (a) shall be considered a continuation of the study
20 authorized by the resolution of the Committee on Trans-
21 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
22 tives with respect to the study for flood risk management,
23 Alexandria to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana, dated July
24 23, 1997.

1 **SEC. 206. CRAIG HARBOR, ALASKA.**

2 The cost of completing a general reevaluation report
3 for the project for navigation, Craig Harbor, Alaska, au-
4 thorized by section 1401(1) of the Water Resources Devel-
5 opment Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1709) shall be at full Fed-
6 eral expense.

7 **SEC. 207. SUSSEX COUNTY, DELAWARE.**

8 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
9 gress that consistent nourishments of Lewes Beach, Dela-
10 ware, are important for the safety and economic prosperity
11 of Sussex County, Delaware.

12 (b) GENERAL REEVALUATION REPORT.—

13 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry
14 out a general reevaluation report for the project for
15 Delaware Bay Coastline, Roosevelt Inlet, and Lewes
16 Beach, Delaware.

17 (2) INCLUSIONS.—The general reevaluation re-
18 port under paragraph (1) shall include a determina-
19 tion of—

20 (A) the area that the project should in-
21 clude; and

22 (B) how section 111 of the River and Har-
23 bor Act of 1968 (33 U.S.C. 426i) should be ap-
24 plied with respect to the project.

1 **SEC. 208. FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS**
2 **IN THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN.**

3 Section 1222 of the America’s Water Infrastructure
4 Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3811; 134 Stat. 2661) is amended
5 by adding at the end the following:

6 “(d) FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS
7 IN THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN.—

8 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
9 the date of enactment of this subsection, the Sec-
10 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
11 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
12 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
13 lic Works of the Senate a report that assesses the
14 viability of forecast-informed reservoir operations at
15 a reservoir in the Colorado River Basin.

16 “(2) AUTHORIZATION.—If the Secretary deter-
17 mines, and includes in the report under paragraph
18 (1), that forecast-informed reservoir operations are
19 viable at a reservoir in the Colorado River Basin, the
20 Secretary is authorized to carry out forecast-in-
21 formed reservoir operations at that reservoir, subject
22 to the availability of appropriations.”.

23 **SEC. 209. BEAVER LAKE, ARKANSAS, REALLOCATION**
24 **STUDY.**

25 The Secretary shall expedite the completion of a
26 study for the reallocation of water supply storage, carried

1 out in accordance with section 301 of the Water Supply
2 Act of 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b), for the Beaver Water Dis-
3 trict, Beaver Lake, Arkansas.

4 **SEC. 210. GATHRIGHT DAM, VIRGINIA, STUDY.**

5 The Secretary shall conduct a study on the feasibility
6 of modifying the project for flood risk management,
7 Gathright Dam, Virginia, authorized by section 10 of the
8 Flood Control Act of 1946 (60 Stat. 645, chapter 596),
9 to include downstream recreation as a project purpose.

10 **SEC. 211. DELAWARE INLAND BAYS WATERSHED STUDY.**

11 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a
12 study to restore aquatic ecosystems in the Delaware In-
13 land Bays Watershed.

14 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the study
16 under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

17 (A) conduct a comprehensive analysis of
18 ecosystem restoration needs in the Delaware In-
19 land Bays Watershed, including—

20 (i) saltmarsh restoration;

21 (ii) shoreline stabilization;

22 (iii) stormwater management; and

23 (iv) an identification of sources for
24 the beneficial use of dredged materials;

25 and

1 (B) recommend feasibility studies to ad-
2 dress the needs identified under subparagraph
3 (A).

4 (2) NATURAL OR NATURE-BASED FEATURES.—
5 To the maximum extent practicable, a feasibility
6 study that is recommended under paragraph (1)(B)
7 shall consider the use of natural features or nature-
8 based features (as those terms are defined in section
9 1184(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of
10 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2289a(a))).

11 (c) CONSULTATION AND USE OF EXISTING DATA.—

12 (1) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out the study
13 under subsection (a), the Secretary shall consult
14 with applicable—

15 (A) Federal, State, and local agencies;

16 (B) Indian Tribes;

17 (C) non-Federal interests; and

18 (D) other stakeholders, as determined ap-
19 propriate by the Secretary.

20 (2) USE OF EXISTING DATA.—To the maximum
21 extent practicable, in carrying out the study under
22 subsection (a), the Secretary shall use existing data
23 provided to the Secretary by entities described in
24 paragraph (1).

25 (d) FEASIBILITY STUDIES.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may carry out
2 a feasibility study for a project recommended under
3 subsection (b)(1)(B).

4 (2) CONGRESSIONAL AUTHORIZATION.—The
5 Secretary may not begin construction for a project
6 recommended by a feasibility study described in
7 paragraph (1) unless the project has been authorized
8 by Congress.

9 (e) REPORT.—Not later than 3 years after the date
10 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to
11 Congress a report that includes—

12 (1) the results of the study under subsection
13 (a); and

14 (2) a description of actions taken under this
15 section, including any feasibility studies under sub-
16 section (b)(1)(B).

17 **SEC. 212. UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COM-**
18 **PREHENSIVE FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION**
19 **FEASIBILITY STUDY.**

20 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall, at the re-
21 quest of a non-Federal interest, complete a feasibility
22 study for comprehensive flood damage reduction, Upper
23 Susquehanna River Basin, New York.

24 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out the feasibility
25 study under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

1 (1) use, for purposes of meeting the require-
2 ments of a final feasibility study, information from
3 the feasibility study completion report entitled
4 “Upper Susquehanna River Basin, New York, Com-
5 prehensive Flood Damage Reduction” and dated
6 January 2020; and

7 (2) re-evaluate project benefits, as determined
8 using the framework described in the proposed rule
9 of the Corps of Engineers entitled “Corps of Engi-
10 neers Agency Specific Procedures To Implement the
11 Principles, Requirements, and Guidelines for Federal
12 Investments in Water Resources” (89 Fed. Reg.
13 12066 (February 15, 2024)), including a consider-
14 ation of economically disadvantaged communities (as
15 defined pursuant to section 160 of the Water Re-
16 sources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201
17 note; Public Law 116–260)).

18 **SEC. 213. KANAWHA RIVER BASIN.**

19 Section 1207 of the Water Resources Development
20 Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1686) is amended—

21 (1) by striking “The Secretary shall” and in-
22 serting the following:

23 “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall”; and

24 (2) by adding at the end the following:

1 “(b) PROJECTS AND SEPARABLE ELEMENTS.—Not-
2 withstanding any other provision of law, for an authorized
3 project or a separable element of an authorized project
4 that is recommended as a result of a study carried out
5 by the Secretary under subsection (a) benefitting an eco-
6 nomically disadvantaged community (as defined pursuant
7 to section 160 of the Water Resources Development Act
8 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)) in
9 the State of West Virginia, the non-Federal share of the
10 cost of the project or separable element of a project shall
11 be 10 percent.”.

12 **SEC. 214. AUTHORIZATION OF FEASIBILITY STUDIES FOR**
13 **PROJECTS FROM CAP AUTHORITIES.**

14 (a) CEDAR POINT SEAWALL, SCITUATE, MASSACHU-
15 SETTS.—

16 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may conduct
17 a feasibility study for the project for hurricane and
18 storm damage risk reduction, Cedar Point Seawall,
19 Scituate, Massachusetts.

20 (2) REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out paragraph
21 (1), the Secretary shall use any relevant information
22 from the project described in that paragraph that
23 was carried out under section 3 of the Act of August
24 13, 1946 (60 Stat. 1056, chapter 960; 33 U.S.C.
25 426g).

1 (b) JONES LEVEE, PIERCE COUNTY, WASH-
2 INGTON.—

3 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may conduct
4 a feasibility study for the project for flood risk man-
5 agement, Jones Levee, Pierce County, Washington.

6 (2) REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out paragraph
7 (1), the Secretary shall use any relevant information
8 from the project described in that paragraph that
9 was carried out under section 205 of the Flood Con-
10 trol Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

11 (c) HATCH, NEW MEXICO.—

12 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may conduct
13 a feasibility study for the project for flood risk man-
14 agement, Hatch, New Mexico.

15 (2) REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out paragraph
16 (1), the Secretary shall use any relevant information
17 from the project described in that paragraph that
18 was carried out under section 205 of the Flood Con-
19 trol Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

20 (d) FORT GEORGE INLET, JACKSONVILLE, FLOR-
21 IDA.—

22 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may conduct
23 a feasibility study to modify the project for naviga-
24 tion, Fort George Inlet, Jacksonville, Florida, to in-

1 clude navigation improvements or shoreline erosion
2 prevention or mitigation as a result of the project.

3 (2) REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out paragraph
4 (1), the Secretary shall use any relevant information
5 from the project described in that paragraph that
6 was carried out under section 111 of the River and
7 Harbor Act of 1968 (33 U.S.C. 426i).

8 **SEC. 215. PORT FOURCHON BELLE PASS CHANNEL, LOU-**
9 **ISIANA.**

10 (a) FEASIBILITY STUDY.—

11 (1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section
12 203(a)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act
13 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(a)(1)), the non-Federal in-
14 terest for the project for navigation, Port Fourchon
15 Belle Pass Channel, Louisiana, authorized by section
16 403(a)(4) of the Water Resources Development Act
17 of 2020 (134 Stat. 2743) may, on written notifica-
18 tion to the Secretary, and at the cost of the non-
19 Federal interest, carry out a feasibility study to
20 modify the project for deepening in accordance with
21 section 203 of the Water Resources Development
22 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231).

23 (2) REQUIREMENT.—A modification rec-
24 ommended by a feasibility study under paragraph

1 (1) shall be approved by the Secretary and author-
2 ized by Congress before construction.

3 (b) PRIOR WRITTEN AGREEMENTS.—

4 (1) PRIOR WRITTEN AGREEMENTS FOR SEC-
5 TION 203.—To the maximum extent practicable, the
6 Secretary shall use the previous agreement between
7 the Secretary and the non-Federal interest for the
8 feasibility study carried about under section 203 of
9 the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
10 U.S.C. 2231) that resulted in the project described
11 in subsection (a)(1) in order to expedite the revised
12 agreement between the Secretary and the non-Fed-
13 eral interest for the feasibility study described in
14 that subsection.

15 (2) PRIOR WRITTEN AGREEMENTS FOR TECH-
16 NICAL ASSISTANCE.—On the request of the non-Fed-
17 eral interest described in subsection (a)(1), the Sec-
18 retary shall use the previous agreement for technical
19 assistance under section 203 of the Water Resources
20 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231) between
21 the Secretary and the non-Federal interest in order
22 to provide technical assistance to the non-Federal in-
23 terest for the feasibility study under subsection
24 (a)(1).

1 (c) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary
2 shall—

3 (1) review the feasibility study under subsection
4 (a)(1); and

5 (2) if the Secretary determines that the pro-
6 posed modifications are consistent with the author-
7 ized purposes of the project and the study meets the
8 same legal and regulatory requirements of a Post
9 Authorization Change Report that would be other-
10 wise undertaken by the Secretary, submit to Con-
11 gress the study for authorization of the modification.

12 **SEC. 216. STUDIES FOR MODIFICATION OF PROJECT PUR-**
13 **POSES IN THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN IN**
14 **ARIZONA.**

15 (a) STUDY.—The Secretary shall carry out a study
16 of a project of the Corps of Engineers in the Colorado
17 River Basin in the State of Arizona to determine whether
18 to include water supply as a project purpose of that
19 project if a request for such a study to modify the project
20 purpose is made to the Secretary by—

21 (1) the non-Federal interest for the project; or

22 (2) in the case of a project for which there is
23 no non-Federal interest, the Governor of the State
24 of Arizona.

1 (b) COORDINATION.—The Secretary, to the max-
 2 imum extent practicable, shall coordinate with relevant
 3 State and local authorities in carrying out this section.

4 (c) RECOMMENDATIONS.—If, after carrying out a
 5 study under subsection (a) with respect to a project de-
 6 scribed in that subsection, the Secretary determines that
 7 water supply should be included as a project purpose for
 8 that project, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee
 9 on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the
 10 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the
 11 House of Representatives a recommendation for the modi-
 12 fication of the project purpose of that project.

13 **SEC. 217. NON-FEDERAL INTEREST PREPARATION OF**
 14 **WATER REALLOCATION STUDIES, NORTH DA-**
 15 **KOTA.**

16 Section 301 of the Water Supply Act of 1958 (43
 17 U.S.C. 390b) is amended by adding at the following:

18 “(f) NON-FEDERAL INTEREST PREPARATION.—

19 “(1) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with this
 20 subsection, a non-Federal interest may carry out a
 21 water reallocation study at a reservoir project con-
 22 structed by the Corps of Engineers and located in
 23 the State of North Dakota.

1 “(2) SUBMISSION.—On completion of the study
2 under paragraph (1), the non-Federal interest shall
3 submit to the Secretary the results of the study.

4 “(3) GUIDELINES.—

5 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180
6 days after the date of enactment of this sub-
7 section, the Secretary shall issue guidelines for
8 the formulation of a water reallocation study
9 carried out by a non-Federal interest under this
10 subsection.

11 “(B) REQUIREMENTS.—The guidelines
12 under subparagraph (A) shall contain provi-
13 sions that—

14 “(i) ensure that any water reallocation
15 study with respect to which the Secretary
16 submits an assessment under paragraph
17 (6) complies with all of the requirements
18 that would apply to a water reallocation
19 study undertaken by the Secretary; and

20 “(ii) provide sufficient information for
21 the formulation of the water reallocation
22 studies, including processes and procedures
23 related to reviews and assistance under
24 paragraph (7).

1 “(4) AGREEMENT.—Before carrying out a
2 water reallocation study under paragraph (1), the
3 Secretary and the non-Federal interest shall enter
4 into an agreement.

5 “(5) REVIEW BY SECRETARY.—

6 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall
7 review each water reallocation study received
8 under paragraph (2) for the purpose of deter-
9 mining whether or not the study, and the proc-
10 ess under which the study was developed, com-
11 ply with Federal laws and regulations applicable
12 to water reallocation studies.

13 “(B) TIMING.—The Secretary may not
14 submit to Congress an assessment of a water
15 reallocation study under paragraph (1) until
16 such time as the Secretary—

17 “(i) determines that the study com-
18 plies with all of the requirements that
19 would apply to a water reallocation study
20 carried out by the Secretary; and

21 “(ii) completes all of the Federal anal-
22 yses, reviews, and compliance processes
23 under the National Environmental Policy
24 Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), that
25 would be required with respect to the pro-

1 posed action if the Secretary had carried
2 out the water reallocation study.

3 “(6) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later
4 than 180 days after the completion of review of a
5 water reallocation study under paragraph (5), the
6 Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environ-
7 ment and Public Works of the Senate and the Com-
8 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the
9 House of Representatives an assessment that—

10 “(A) describes—

11 “(i) the results of that review;

12 “(ii) based on the results of the water
13 allocation study, any structural or oper-
14 ations changes at the reservoir project that
15 would occur if the water reallocation is car-
16 ried out; and

17 “(iii) based on the results of the water
18 reallocation study, any effects to the au-
19 thorized purposes of the reservoir project
20 that would occur if the water reallocation
21 is carried out; and

22 “(B) includes a determination by the Sec-
23 retary of whether the modifications rec-
24 ommended under the study are those described
25 in subsection (e).

1 “(7) REVIEW AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—

2 “(A) REVIEW.—The Secretary may accept
3 and expend funds provided by non-Federal in-
4 terests to carry out the reviews and other activi-
5 ties that are the responsibility of the Secretary
6 in carrying out this subsection.

7 “(B) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—At the re-
8 quest of the non-Federal interest, the Secretary
9 shall provide to the non-Federal interest tech-
10 nical assistance relating to any aspect of a
11 water reallocation study if the non-Federal in-
12 terest contracts with the Secretary to pay all
13 costs of providing that technical assistance.

14 “(C) IMPARTIAL DECISIONMAKING.—In
15 carrying out this subsection, the Secretary shall
16 ensure that the use of funds accepted from a
17 non-Federal interest will not affect the impar-
18 tial decisionmaking of the Secretary, either sub-
19 stantively or procedurally.

20 “(D) SAVINGS PROVISION.—The provision
21 of technical assistance by the Secretary under
22 subparagraph (B)—

23 “(i) shall not be considered to be an
24 approval or endorsement of the water re-
25 allocation study; and

1 “(ii) shall not affect the responsibil-
2 ities of the Secretary under paragraphs (5)
3 and (6).”.

4 **SEC. 218. TECHNICAL CORRECTION, WALLA WALLA RIVER.**

5 Section 8201(a) of the Water Resources Development
6 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3744) is amended—

7 (1) by striking paragraph (76) and inserting
8 the following:

9 “(76) NURSERY REACH, WALLA WALLA RIVER,
10 OREGON.—Project for ecosystem restoration, Nurs-
11 ery Reach, Walla Walla River, Oregon.”;

12 (2) by redesignating paragraphs (92) through
13 (94) as paragraphs (93) through (95), respectively;
14 and

15 (3) by inserting after paragraph (91) the fol-
16 lowing:

17 “(92) MILL CREEK, WALLA WALLA RIVER
18 BASIN, WASHINGTON.—Project for ecosystem res-
19 toration, Mill Creek and Mill Creek Flood Control
20 Zone District Channel, Washington.”.

21 **SEC. 219. WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.**

22 Section 729(d) of the Water Resources Development
23 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2267a(d)) is amended—

24 (1) in paragraph (12), by striking “and” at the
25 end;

1 (2) in paragraph (13), by striking the period at
2 the end and inserting a semicolon; and

3 (3) by adding at the end the following:

4 “(14) the Walla Walla River Basin; and

5 “(15) the San Francisco Bay Basin.”.

6 **SEC. 220. INDEPENDENT PEER REVIEW.**

7 Section 2034(h)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
8 ment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2343(h)(2)) is amended by
9 striking “17 years” and inserting “22 years”.

10 **SEC. 221. ICE JAM PREVENTION AND MITIGATION.**

11 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the
12 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit
13 to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of
14 the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and In-
15 frastructure of the House of Representatives a report on
16 efforts by the Secretary to prevent and mitigate flood
17 damages associated with ice jams.

18 (b) INCLUSION.—The Secretary shall include in the
19 report under subsection (a)—

20 (1) an assessment of the projects carried out
21 pursuant to section 1150 of the Water Resources
22 Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 701s note;
23 Public Law 114–322), if applicable; and

24 (2) a description of—

1 (A) the challenges associated with pre-
2 venting and mitigating ice jams;

3 (B) the potential measures that may pre-
4 vent or mitigate ice jams, including the extent
5 to which additional research and the develop-
6 ment and deployment of technologies are nec-
7 essary; and

8 (C) actions taken by the Secretary to pro-
9 vide non-Federal interests with technical assist-
10 ance, guidance, or other information relating to
11 ice jam events; and

12 (D) how the Secretary plans to conduct
13 outreach and engagement with non-Federal in-
14 terests and other relevant State and local agen-
15 cies to facilitate an understanding of the cir-
16 cumstances in which ice jams could occur and
17 the potential impacts to critical public infra-
18 structure from ice jams.

19 **SEC. 222. REPORT ON HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE**
20 **RISK REDUCTION DESIGN GUIDELINES.**

21 (a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

22 (1) **GUIDELINES.**—The term “guidelines”
23 means the Hurricane and Storm Damage Risk Re-
24 duction Design Guidelines of the Corps of Engi-
25 neers.

1 (2) LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW HURRICANE
2 PROTECTION SYSTEM.—The term “Larose to Golden
3 Meadow Hurricane Protection System” means the
4 project for hurricane-flood protection, Grand Isle
5 and Vicinity, Louisiana, authorized by section 204 of
6 the Flood Control Act of 1965 (79 Stat. 1077).

7 (b) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date
8 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to
9 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
10 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
11 structure of the House of Representatives a report that
12 compares—

13 (1) the guidelines; and

14 (2) the construction methods used by the South
15 Lafourche Levee District for the levees and flood
16 control structures of the Larose to Golden Meadow
17 Hurricane Protection System.

18 (c) INCLUSIONS.—The report under subsection (b)
19 shall include—

20 (1) a description of—

21 (A) the guidelines;

22 (B) the construction methods used by the
23 South Lafourche Levee District for levees and
24 flood control structures of the Larose to Golden
25 Meadow Hurricane Protection System; and

1 (C) any deviations identified between the
2 guidelines and the construction methods de-
3 scribed in subparagraph (B); and

4 (2) an analysis by the Secretary of geotechnical
5 and other relevant data from the land adjacent to
6 the levees and flood control structures constructed
7 by the South Lafourche Levee District to determine
8 the effectiveness of those structures.

9 **SEC. 223. BRIEFING ON STATUS OF CERTAIN ACTIVITIES**
10 **ON THE MISSOURI RIVER.**

11 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the
12 date on which the consultation under section 7 of the En-
13 dangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1536) that was
14 reinitiated by the Secretary for the operation of the Mis-
15 souri River Mainstem Reservoir System, the operation and
16 maintenance of the Bank Stabilization and Navigation
17 Project, the operation of the Kansas River Reservoir Sys-
18 tem, and the implementation of the Missouri River Recov-
19 ery Management Plan is completed, the Secretary shall
20 brief the Committee on the Environment and Public
21 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-
22 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
23 on the outcomes of that consultation.

24 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—The briefing under subsection
25 (a) shall include a discussion of—

1 (1) any biological opinions that result from the
2 consultation, including any actions that the Sec-
3 retary is required to undertake pursuant to such bio-
4 logical opinions; and

5 (2) any forthcoming requests from the Sec-
6 retary to Congress to provide funding in order carry
7 out the actions described in paragraph (1).

8 **SEC. 224. REPORT ON MATERIAL CONTAMINATED BY A HAZ-**
9 **ARDOUS SUBSTANCE AND THE CIVIL WORKS**
10 **PROGRAM.**

11 (a) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date
12 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to
13 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
14 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
15 structure of the House of Representatives a report that
16 describes the impact of material contaminated by a haz-
17 ardous substance on the civil works program of the Corps
18 of Engineers.

19 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—In developing the report under
20 subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

21 (1) describe—

22 (A) with respect to water resources devel-
23 opment projects—

- 1 (i) the applicable statutory authorities
2 that require the removal of material con-
3 taminated by a hazardous substance; and
- 4 (ii) the roles and responsibilities of
5 the Secretary and non-Federal interests for
6 removing material contaminated by a haz-
7 ardous substance; and
- 8 (B) any regulatory actions or decisions
9 made by another Federal agency that impact—
- 10 (i) the removal of material contami-
11 nated by a hazardous substance; and
- 12 (ii) the ability of the Secretary to
13 carry out the civil works program of the
14 Corps of Engineers;
- 15 (2) discuss the impact of material contaminated
16 by a hazardous substance on—
- 17 (A) the timely completion of construction
18 of water resources development projects;
- 19 (B) the operation and maintenance of
20 water resources development projects, including
21 dredging activities of the Corps of Engineers to
22 maintain authorized Federal depths at ports
23 and along the inland waterways; and
- 24 (C) costs associated with carrying out the
25 civil works program of the Corps of Engineers;

1 (3) include any other information that the Sec-
2 retary determines to be appropriate to facilitate an
3 understanding of the impact of material contami-
4 nated by a hazardous substance on the civil works
5 program of the Corps of Engineers; and

6 (4) propose any legislative recommendations to
7 address any issues identified in paragraphs (1)
8 through (3).

9 **SEC. 225. REPORT ON EFFORTS TO MONITOR, CONTROL,**
10 **AND ERADICATE INVASIVE SPECIES.**

11 (a) DEFINITION OF INVASIVE SPECIES.—In this sec-
12 tion, the term “invasive species” has the meaning given
13 the term in section 1 of Executive Order 13112 (42 U.S.C.
14 4321 note; relating to invasive species).

15 (b) ASSESSMENT.—Not later than 1 year after the
16 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall conduct,
17 and submit to the Committee on Environment and Public
18 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-
19 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
20 a report on the results of, an assessment of the efforts
21 by the Secretary to monitor, control, and eradicate
22 invasive species at water resources development projects
23 across the United States.

24 (c) REQUIREMENTS.—The report under subsection
25 (b) shall include—

1 (1) a description of—

2 (A) the statutory authorities and programs
3 used by the Secretary to monitor, control, and
4 eradicate invasive species; and

5 (B) a geographically diverse sample of suc-
6 cessful projects and activities carried out by the
7 Secretary to monitor, control, and eradicate
8 invasive species;

9 (2) a discussion of—

10 (A) the impact of invasive species on the
11 ability of the Secretary to carry out the civil
12 works program of the Corps of Engineers, with
13 a particular emphasis on impact of invasive spe-
14 cies to the primary missions of the Corps of
15 Engineers;

16 (B) the research conducted and techniques
17 and technologies used by the Secretary con-
18 sistent with the applicable statutory authorities
19 described in paragraph (1)(A) to monitor, con-
20 trol, and eradicate invasive species; and

21 (C) the extent to which the Secretary has
22 partnered with States and units of local govern-
23 ment to monitor, control, and eradicate invasive
24 species within the boundaries of those States or
25 units of local government;

1 (3) an update on the status of the plan devel-
2 oped by the Secretary pursuant to section 1108(c) of
3 the Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (33
4 U.S.C. 2263a(c)); and

5 (4) recommendations, including legislative rec-
6 ommendations, to further the efforts of the Sec-
7 retary to monitor, control, and eradicate invasive
8 species.

9 **SEC. 226. J. STROM THURMOND LAKE, GEORGIA.**

10 (a) ENCROACHMENT RESOLUTION PLAN.—

11 (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2),
12 the Secretary shall prepare, and submit to the Com-
13 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the
14 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and
15 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives, an
16 encroachment resolution plan for a portion of the
17 project for flood control, recreation, and fish and
18 wildlife management, J. Strom Thurmond Lake,
19 Georgia and South Carolina, authorized by section
20 10 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (commonly
21 known as the “Flood Control Act of 1944”) (58
22 Stat. 894, chapter 665).

23 (2) LIMITATION.—The encroachment resolution
24 plan under paragraph (1) shall only apply to the

1 portion of the J. Strom Thurmond Lake that is lo-
2 cated within the State of Georgia.

3 (b) CONTENTS.—Subject to subsection (c), the en-
4 croachment resolution plan under subsection (a) shall in-
5 clude—

6 (1) a description of the nature and number of
7 encroachments;

8 (2) a description of the circumstances that con-
9 tributed to the development of the encroachments;

10 (3) an assessment of the impact of the en-
11 croachments on operation and maintenance of the
12 project described in subsection (a) for its authorized
13 purposes;

14 (4) an analysis of alternatives to the removal of
15 encroachments to mitigate any impacts identified in
16 the assessment under paragraph (3);

17 (5) a description of any actions necessary or ad-
18 visable to prevent further encroachments; and

19 (6) an estimate of the cost and timeline to
20 carry out the plan, including actions described under
21 paragraph (5).

22 (c) RESTRICTION.—To the maximum extent prac-
23 ticable, the encroachment resolution plan under subsection
24 (a) shall minimize adverse impacts to private landowners

1 while maintaining the functioning of the project described
2 in that subsection for its authorized purposes.

3 (d) NOTICE AND PUBLIC COMMENT.—

4 (1) TO OWNERS.—In preparing the encroach-
5 ment resolution plan under subsection (a), not later
6 than 30 days after the Secretary identifies an en-
7 croachment, the Secretary shall notify the owner of
8 the encroachment.

9 (2) TO PUBLIC.—The Secretary shall provide
10 an opportunity for the public to comment on the en-
11 croachment resolution plan under subsection (a) be-
12 fore the completion of the plan.

13 (e) MORATORIUM.—The Secretary shall not take ac-
14 tion to compel removal of an encroachment covered by the
15 encroachment resolution plan under subsection (a) unless
16 Congress specifically authorizes such action.

17 (f) SAVINGS PROVISION.—This section does not—

18 (1) grant any rights to the owner of an en-
19 croachment; or

20 (2) impose any liability on the United States
21 for operation and maintenance of the project de-
22 scribed in subsection (a) for its authorized purposes.

1 **SEC. 227. STUDY ON LAND VALUATION PROCEDURES FOR**
2 **THE TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**

3 (a) DEFINITION OF TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PRO-
4 GRAM.—In this section, the term “Tribal Partnership Pro-
5 gram” means the Tribal Partnership Program established
6 under section 203 of the Water Resources Development
7 Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269).

8 (b) STUDY REQUIRED.—Not later than 1 year after
9 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall carry
10 out, and submit to the Committee on Environment and
11 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Trans-
12 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
13 tives a report describing the results of, a study on appro-
14 priate procedures for determining the value of real estate
15 and cost-share contributions for projects under the Tribal
16 Partnership Program.

17 (c) REQUIREMENTS.—The report required under sub-
18 section (b) shall include—

19 (1) an evaluation of the procedures used for de-
20 termining the valuation of real estate and contribu-
21 tion of real estate value to cost-share for projects
22 under the Tribal Partnership Program, including
23 consideration of cultural factors that are unique to
24 the Tribal Partnership Program and land valuation;

25 (2) a description of any existing Federal au-
26 thorities that the Secretary intends to use to imple-

1 ment policy changes that result from the evaluation
2 under paragraph (1); and

3 (3) recommendations for any legislation that
4 may be needed to revise land valuation or cost-share
5 procedures for the Tribal Partnership Program pur-
6 suant to the evaluation under paragraph (1).

7 **SEC. 228. REPORT TO CONGRESS ON LEVEE SAFETY GUIDE-**
8 **LINES.**

9 (a) DEFINITION OF LEVEE SAFETY GUIDELINES.—
10 In this section, the term “levee safety guidelines” means
11 the levee safety guidelines established under section
12 9005(c) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007
13 (33 U.S.C. 3303a(c)).

14 (b) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date
15 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in coordination
16 with other applicable Federal agencies, shall submit to the
17 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-
18 ate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
19 ture of the House of Representatives a report on the levee
20 safety guidelines.

21 (c) INCLUSIONS.—The report under subsection (b)
22 shall include—

23 (1) a description of—

24 (A) the levee safety guidelines;

1 (B) the process utilized to develop the
2 levee safety guidelines; and

3 (C) the extent to which the levee safety
4 guidelines are being used by Federal, State,
5 Tribal, and local agencies;

6 (2) an assessment of the requirement for the
7 levee safety guidelines to be voluntary and a descrip-
8 tion of actions taken by the Secretary and other ap-
9 plicable Federal agencies to ensure that the guide-
10 lines are voluntary; and

11 (3) any recommendations of the Secretary, in-
12 cluding the extent to which the levee safety guide-
13 lines should be revised.

14 **SEC. 229. PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP USER'S GUIDE.**

15 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the
16 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall develop
17 and make publicly available on an existing website of the
18 Corps of Engineers a guide on the use of public-private
19 partnerships for water resources development projects.

20 (b) INCLUSIONS.—In developing the guide under sub-
21 section (a), the Secretary shall include—

22 (1) a description of—

23 (A) applicable authorities and programs of
24 the Secretary that allow for the use of public-

1 private partnerships to carry out water re-
2 sources development projects; and

3 (B) opportunities across the civil works
4 program of the Corps of Engineers for the use
5 of public-private partnerships, including at rec-
6 reational facilities;

7 (2) a summary of prior public-private partner-
8 ships for water resources development projects, in-
9 cluding lessons learned and best practices from those
10 partnerships and projects;

11 (3) a discussion of—

12 (A) the roles and responsibilities of the
13 Corps of Engineers and non-Federal interests
14 when using a public-private partnership for a
15 water resources development project, including
16 the opportunities for risk-sharing; and

17 (B) the potential benefits associated with
18 using a public-private partnership for a water
19 resources development project, including the op-
20 portunities to accelerate funding as compared
21 to the annual appropriations process; and

22 (4) a description of the process for executing a
23 project partnership agreement for a water resources
24 development project, including any unique consider-
25 ations when using a public-private partnership.

1 (c) FLEXIBILITY.—The Secretary may satisfy the re-
2 quirements of this section by modifying an existing part-
3 nership handbook in accordance with this section.

4 **SEC. 230. REVIEW OF AUTHORITIES AND PROGRAMS FOR**
5 **ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the
7 date of enactment of this Act and subject to subsections
8 (b) and (c), the Secretary shall carry out a study of the
9 authorities and programs of the Corps of Engineers that
10 facilitate the use of alternative project delivery methods
11 for water resources development projects, including public-
12 private partnerships.

13 (b) AUTHORITIES AND PROGRAMS INCLUDED.—In
14 carrying out the study under subsection (a), the authori-
15 ties and programs that are studied shall include any pro-
16 grams and authorities under—

17 (1) section 204 of the Water Resources Devel-
18 opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2232);

19 (2) section 221 of the Flood Control Act of
20 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b); and

21 (3) section 5014 of the Water Resources Re-
22 form and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2201
23 note; Public Law 113–121).

24 (c) REPORT.—The Secretary shall submit to the
25 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-

1 ate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
2 ture of the House of Representatives a report that—

3 (1) describes the findings of the study under
4 subsection (a); and

5 (2) includes—

6 (A) an assessment of how each authority
7 and program included in the study under sub-
8 section (a) has been used by the Secretary;

9 (B) a list of the water resources develop-
10 ment projects that have been carried out pursu-
11 ant to the authorities and programs included in
12 the study under subsection (a);

13 (C) a discussion of the implementation
14 challenges, if any, associated with the authori-
15 ties and programs included in the study under
16 subsection (a);

17 (D) a description of lessons learned and
18 best practices identified by the Secretary from
19 carrying out the authorities and programs in-
20 cluded in the study under subsection (a); and

21 (E) any recommendations, including legis-
22 lative recommendations, that result from the
23 study under subsection (a).

1 **SEC. 231. REPORT TO CONGRESS ON EMERGENCY RE-**
2 **SPONSE EXPENDITURES.**

3 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall conduct a re-
4 view of emergency response expenditures from the emer-
5 gency fund authorized by section 5(a) of the Act of August
6 18, 1941 (commonly known as the “Flood Control Act of
7 1941”) (55 Stat. 650, chapter 377; 33 U.S.C. 701n(a))
8 (referred to in this section as the “Flood Control and
9 Coastal Emergencies Account”) and from post-disaster
10 supplemental appropriations Acts during the period of fis-
11 cal years 2013 through 2023.

12 (b) **REPORT TO CONGRESS.**—Not later than 1 year
13 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
14 submit to the Committee on Environment and Public
15 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-
16 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
17 a report that includes the results of the review under sub-
18 section (a), including—

19 (1) for each of fiscal years 2013 through 2023,
20 a summary of—

21 (A) annual expenditures from the Flood
22 Control and Coastal Emergencies Account;

23 (B) annual budget requests for that ac-
24 count; and

1 (C) any activities, including any re-
2 programming, that may have been required to
3 cover any annual shortfall in that account;

4 (2) a description of the contributing factors
5 that resulted in any annual variability in the
6 amounts described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of
7 paragraph (1) and activities described in subpara-
8 graph (C) of that paragraph;

9 (3) an assessment and a description of future
10 budget needs of the Flood Control and Coastal
11 Emergencies Account based on trends observed and
12 anticipated by the Secretary; and

13 (4) an assessment and a description of the use
14 and impact of funds from post-disaster supplemental
15 appropriations on emergency response activities.

16 **SEC. 232. EXCESS LAND REPORT FOR CERTAIN PROJECTS**
17 **IN NORTH DAKOTA.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the
19 date of enactment of this Act, and subject to subsection
20 (b), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Envi-
21 ronment and Public Works of the Senate and the Com-
22 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
23 of Representatives a report that identifies any real prop-
24 erty associated with the project of the Corps of Engineers

1 at Lake Oahe, North Dakota, that the Secretary deter-
2 mines—

3 (1) is not needed to carry out the authorized
4 purposes of the project; and

5 (2) may be transferred to the Standing Rock
6 Sioux Tribe to support recreation opportunities for
7 the Tribe, including, at a minimum—

8 (A) Walker Bottom Marina, Lake Oahe;

9 (B) Fort Yates Boat Ramp, Lake Oahe;

10 (C) Cannonball District, Lake Oahe; and

11 (D) any other recreation opportunities
12 identified by the Tribe.

13 (b) INCLUSION.—If the Secretary determines that
14 there is not any real property that may be transferred to
15 the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe as described in subsection
16 (a), the Secretary shall include in the report required
17 under that subsection—

18 (1) a list of the real property considered by the
19 Secretary;

20 (2) an explanation of why the real property
21 identified under paragraph (1) is needed to carry
22 out the authorized purposes of the project described
23 in subsection (a); and

24 (3) a description of how the Secretary has re-
25 cently utilized the real property identified under

1 paragraph (1) to carry out the authorized purpose of
2 the project described in subsection (a).

3 **SEC. 233. GAO STUDIES.**

4 (a) REVIEW OF THE ACCURACY OF PROJECT COST
5 ESTIMATES.—

6 (1) REVIEW.—

7 (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year
8 after the date of enactment of this Act, the
9 Comptroller General of the United States (re-
10 ferred to in this section as the “Comptroller
11 General”) shall initiate a review of the accuracy
12 of the project cost estimates developed by the
13 Corps of Engineers for completed and ongoing
14 water resources development projects carried
15 out by the Secretary.

16 (B) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out sub-
17 paragraph (A), the Comptroller General shall
18 determine the factors, if any, that impact the
19 accuracy of the estimates described in that sub-
20 paragraph, including—

21 (i) applicable statutory requirements,
22 including—

23 (I) section 1001 of the Water Re-
24 sources Reform and Development Act
25 of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c); and

1 (II) section 905(b) of the Water
2 Resources Development Act of 1986
3 (33 U.S.C. 2282(b)); and

4 (ii) applicable guidance, regulations,
5 and policies of the Corps of Engineers.

6 (C) INCORPORATION OF PREVIOUS RE-
7 PORT.—In carrying out subparagraph (A), the
8 Comptroller General may incorporate applicable
9 information from the report carried out by the
10 Comptroller General under section 8236(c) of
11 the Water Resources Development Act of 2022
12 (136 Stat. 3769).

13 (2) REPORT.—On completion of the review con-
14 ducted under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
15 eral shall submit to the Committee on Environment
16 and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee
17 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
18 of Representatives a report on the findings of the re-
19 view and any recommendations that result from the
20 review.

21 (b) REPORT ON PROJECT LIFESPAN AND INDEM-
22 NIFICATION CLAUSE IN PROJECT PARTNERSHIP AGREE-
23 MENTS.—

24 (1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

1 (A) INDEMNIFICATION CLAUSE.—The term
2 “indemnification clause” means the indem-
3 nification clause required in project partnership
4 agreements for water resources development
5 projects under sections 101(e)(2) and
6 103(j)(1)(A) of the Water Resources Develop-
7 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2211(e)(2),
8 2213(j)(1)(A)).

9 (B) OMR&R.—The term “OMR&R”,
10 with respect to a water resources development
11 project, means operation, maintenance, repair,
12 replacement, and rehabilitation.

13 (2) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of
14 Congress that—

15 (A) there are significant concerns about
16 whether—

17 (i) the indemnification clause, which
18 was first applied in 1910 to flood control
19 projects, should still be included in project
20 partnership agreements prepared by the
21 Corps of Engineers for water resources de-
22 velopment projects; and

23 (ii) non-Federal interests for water re-
24 sources development projects should be re-
25 quired to assume full responsibility for

1 OMR&R of water resources development
2 projects in perpetuity;

3 (B) non-Federal interests have reported
4 that the indemnification clause and OMR&R
5 requirements are a barrier to entering into
6 project partnership agreements with the Corps
7 of Engineers;

8 (C) critical water resources development
9 projects are being delayed by years, or not pur-
10 sued at all, due to the barriers described in sub-
11 paragraph (B); and

12 (D) legal structures have changed since the
13 indemnification clause was first applied and
14 there may be more suitable tools available to
15 address risk and liability issues.

16 (3) ANALYSIS.—Not later than 1 year after the
17 date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller Gen-
18 eral shall conduct an analysis of the implications
19 of—

20 (A) the indemnification clause; and

21 (B) the assumption of OMR&R respon-
22 sibilities by non-Federal interests in perpetuity
23 for water resources development projects.

24 (4) INCLUSIONS.—The analysis under para-
25 graph (3) shall include—

1 (A) a review of risk for the Federal Gov-
2 ernment and non-Federal interests with respect
3 to removing requirements for the indemnifica-
4 tion clause;

5 (B) an assessment of whether the indem-
6 nification clause is still necessary given the
7 changes in engineering, legal structures, and
8 water resources development projects since
9 1910, with a focus on the quantity and types of
10 claims and takings over time;

11 (C) an identification of States with State
12 laws that prohibit those States from entering
13 into agreements that include an indemnification
14 clause;

15 (D) a comparison to other Federal agen-
16 cies with respect to how those agencies ap-
17 proach indemnification and OMRR&R require-
18 ments in projects, if applicable;

19 (E) a review of indemnification and
20 OMRR&R requirements for projects that States
21 require with respect to agreements with cities
22 and localities, if applicable;

23 (F) an analysis of the useful lifespan of
24 water resources development projects, including
25 any variations in that lifespan for different

1 types of water resources development projects
2 and how changing weather patterns and in-
3 creased extreme weather events impact that
4 lifespan;

5 (G) a review of situations in which non-
6 Federal interests have been unable to meet
7 OMRR&R requirements; and

8 (H) a review of policy alternatives to
9 OMRR&R requirements, such as allowing ex-
10 tension, reevaluation, or deauthorization of
11 water resources development projects.

12 (5) REPORT.—On completion of the analysis
13 under paragraph (3), the Comptroller General shall
14 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
15 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
16 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
17 Representatives a report that includes—

18 (A) the results of the analysis; and

19 (B) any recommendations for changes
20 needed to existing law or policy of the Corps of
21 Engineers to address those results.

22 (c) REVIEW OF CERTAIN PERMITS.—

23 (1) DEFINITION OF SECTION 408 PROGRAM.—In
24 this subsection, the term “section 408 program”
25 means the program administered by the Secretary

1 pursuant to section 14 of the Act of March 3, 1899
2 (commonly known as the “Rivers and Harbors Act
3 of 1899”) (30 Stat. 1152, chapter 425; 33 U.S.C.
4 408).

5 (2) REVIEW.—Not later than 1 year after the
6 date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller Gen-
7 eral shall initiate a review of the section 408 pro-
8 gram.

9 (3) REQUIREMENTS.—The review by the Comp-
10 troller General under paragraph (2) shall include, at
11 a minimum—

12 (A) an identification of trends related to
13 the number and types of permits applied for
14 each year under the section 408 program;

15 (B) an evaluation of—

16 (i) the materials developed by the Sec-
17 retary to educate potential applicants
18 about—

19 (I) the section 408 program; and

20 (II) the process for applying for
21 a permit under the section 408 pro-
22 gram;

23 (ii) the public website of the Corps of
24 Engineers that tracks the status of permits
25 issued under the section 408 program, in-

1 cluding whether the information provided
2 by the website is updated in a timely man-
3 ner;

4 (iii) the ability of the districts and di-
5 visions of the Corps of Engineers to con-
6 sistently administer the section 408 pro-
7 gram; and

8 (iv) the extent to which the Secretary
9 carries out the process for issuing a permit
10 under the section 408 program concur-
11 rently with the review required under the
12 National Environmental Policy Act of
13 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), if applica-
14 ble;

15 (C) a determination of the factors, if any,
16 that impact the ability of the Secretary to ad-
17 here to the timelines required for reviewing and
18 making a decision on an application for a per-
19 mit under the section 408 program; and

20 (D) ways to expedite the review of applica-
21 tions for permits under the section 408 pro-
22 gram, including the use of categorical permis-
23 sions.

24 (4) REPORT.—On completion of the review
25 under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General shall

1 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
2 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
3 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
4 Representatives a report on the findings of the re-
5 view and any recommendations that result from the
6 review.

7 (d) CORPS OF ENGINEERS MODERNIZATION
8 STUDY.—

9 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
10 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
11 General shall initiate an analysis of opportunities for
12 the Corps of Engineers to modernize the civil works
13 program through the use of technology, where ap-
14 propriate, and the best available engineering prac-
15 tices.

16 (2) INCLUSIONS.—In conducting the analysis
17 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General of the
18 United States shall include an assessment of the ex-
19 tent to which—

20 (A) existing engineering practices and
21 technologies could be better utilized by the
22 Corps of Engineers—

23 (i) to improve study, planning, and
24 design efforts of the Corps of Engineers to
25 further the benefits of water resources de-

- 1 development projects of the Corps of Engi-
2 neers;
- 3 (ii) to reduce delays of water re-
4 sources development projects, including
5 through the improvement of environmental
6 review and permitting processes;
- 7 (iii) to provide cost savings over the
8 lifecycle of a project, including through im-
9 proved design processes or a reduction of
10 operation and maintenance costs; and
- 11 (iv) to improve data collection and
12 data sharing capabilities; and
- 13 (B) the Corps of Engineers—
- 14 (i) currently utilizes the engineering
15 practices and technologies identified under
16 subparagraph (A), including any challenges
17 associated with acquisition and application;
- 18 (ii) has effective processes to share
19 best practices associated with the engineer-
20 ing practices and technologies identified
21 under subparagraph (A) among the dis-
22 tricts, divisions, and headquarters of the
23 Corps of Engineers; and

1 (iii) partners with National Labora-
2 tories, academic institutions, and other
3 Federal agencies.

4 (3) REPORT.—On completion of the analysis
5 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall
6 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
7 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
8 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
9 Representatives a report on the findings of the anal-
10 ysis and any recommendations that result from the
11 analysis.

12 (e) STUDY ON EASEMENTS RELATED TO WATER RE-
13 SOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.—

14 (1) DEFINITION OF COVERED EASEMENT.—In
15 this subsection, the term “covered easement” has
16 the meaning given the term in section 8235(c) of the
17 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
18 Stat. 3768).

19 (2) STUDY ON EASEMENTS RELATED TO WATER
20 RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.—Not later
21 than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act,
22 the Comptroller General shall initiate an analysis of
23 the use of covered easements that may be provided
24 to the Secretary by non-Federal interests in relation
25 to the construction, operation, or maintenance of a

1 project for flood risk management, hurricane and
2 storm damage risk reduction, or ecosystem restora-
3 tion.

4 (3) SCOPE.—In carrying out the analysis under
5 paragraph (2), the Comptroller General of the
6 United States shall—

7 (A) review—

8 (i) the report submitted by the Sec-
9 retary under section 8235(b) of the Water
10 Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
11 Stat. 3768); and

12 (ii) the existing statutory, regulatory,
13 and policy requirements and procedures re-
14 lating to the use of covered easements; and

15 (B) assess—

16 (i) the minimum rights in property
17 that are necessary to construct, operate, or
18 maintain projects for flood risk manage-
19 ment, hurricane and storm damage risk re-
20 duction, or ecosystem restoration;

21 (ii) whether increased use of covered
22 easements in relation to projects described
23 in clause (i) could promote greater partici-
24 pation from cooperating landowners in ad-

1 dressing local flooding or ecosystem res-
2 toration challenges;

3 (iii) whether such increased use could
4 result in cost savings in the implementa-
5 tion of the projects described in clause (i),
6 without any reduction in project benefits;
7 and

8 (iv) the extent to which the Secretary
9 should expand what is considered by the
10 Secretary to be part of a series of estates
11 deemed standard for construction, oper-
12 ation, or maintenance of a project for flood
13 risk management, hurricane and storm
14 damage risk reduction, or ecosystem res-
15 toration.

16 (4) REPORT.—On completion of the analysis
17 under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General of the
18 United States shall submit to the Committee on En-
19 vironment and Public Works of the Senate and the
20 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of
21 the House of Representatives a report on the find-
22 ings of the analysis, including any recommendations,
23 including legislative recommendations, as a result of
24 the analysis.

1 (f) MODERNIZATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL RE-
2 VIEWS.—

3 (1) DEFINITION OF PROJECT STUDY.—In this
4 subsection, the term “project study” means a feasi-
5 bility study for a project carried out pursuant to sec-
6 tion 905 of the Water Resources Development Act
7 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282).

8 (2) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the
9 date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller Gen-
10 eral shall submit to the Committee on Environment
11 and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee
12 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
13 of Representatives a report that describes the efforts
14 of the Secretary to facilitate improved environmental
15 review processes for project studies, including
16 through the consideration of expanded use of cat-
17 egorical exclusions, environmental assessments, or
18 programmatic environmental impact statements.

19 (3) REQUIREMENTS.—In completing the report
20 under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General of the
21 United States shall—

22 (A) describe the actions the Secretary is
23 taking or plans to take to implement the
24 amendments to the National Environmental
25 Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.)

1 made by section 321 of the Fiscal Responsi-
2 bility Act of 2023 (Public Law 118–5; 137
3 Stat. 38);

4 (B) describe the existing categorical exclu-
5 sions most frequently used by the Secretary to
6 streamline the environmental review of project
7 studies;

8 (C) consider—

9 (i) whether the adoption of additional
10 categorical exclusions, including those used
11 by other Federal agencies, would facilitate
12 the environmental review of project stud-
13 ies;

14 (ii) whether the adoption of new pro-
15 grammatic environmental impact state-
16 ments would facilitate the environmental
17 review of project studies; and

18 (iii) whether agreements with other
19 Federal agencies would facilitate a more
20 efficient process for the environmental re-
21 view of project studies; and

22 (D) identify—

23 (i) any discrepancies or conflicts, as
24 applicable, between the amendments to the
25 National Environmental Policy Act of

1 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) made by
2 section 321 of the Fiscal Responsibility
3 Act of 2023 (Public Law 118–5; 137 Stat.
4 38) and—

5 (I) section 2045 of the Water Re-
6 sources Development Act of 2007 (33
7 U.S.C. 2348); and

8 (II) section 1001 of the Water
9 Resources Reform and Development
10 Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c); and

11 (ii) other issues, as applicable, relat-
12 ing to section 2045 of the Water Resources
13 Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
14 2348) that are impeding the implementa-
15 tion of that section consistent with con-
16 gressional intent.

17 (g) STUDY ON DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL SITE
18 CONSTRUCTION.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General
20 shall conduct a study that—

21 (A) assesses the costs and limitations of
22 the construction of various types of dredged
23 material disposal sites, with a particular focus
24 on aquatic confined placement structures in the
25 Lower Columbia River; and

1 (B) includes a comparison of—

2 (i) the operation and maintenance
3 needs and costs associated with the avail-
4 ability of aquatic confined placement struc-
5 tures; and

6 (ii) the operation and maintenance
7 needs and costs associated with the lack of
8 availability of aquatic confined placement
9 structures.

10 (2) REPORT.—On completion of the study
11 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall
12 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
13 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
14 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
15 Representatives a report on the findings of the
16 study, and any recommendations that result from
17 that study.

18 (h) GAO STUDY ON DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDING
19 FROM THE HARBOR MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND.—

20 (1) DEFINITION OF HARBOR MAINTENANCE
21 TRUST FUND.—In this subsection, the term “Harbor
22 Maintenance Trust Fund” means the Harbor Main-
23 tenance Trust Fund established by section 9505(a)
24 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

1 (2) ANALYSIS.—Not later than 1 year after the
2 date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller Gen-
3 eral shall initiate an analysis of the distribution of
4 funding from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund.

5 (3) REQUIREMENTS.—In conducting the anal-
6 ysis under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General
7 shall assess—

8 (A) the implementation of provisions re-
9 lated to the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund in
10 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
11 (134 Stat. 2615) and the amendments made by
12 that Act by the Corps of Engineers, including—

13 (i) changes to the budgetary treat-
14 ment of funding from the Harbor Mainte-
15 nance Trust Fund; and

16 (ii) amendments to the definitions of
17 the terms “donor ports”, “medium-sized
18 donor parts”, and “energy transfer ports”
19 under section 2106(a) of the Water Re-
20 sources Reform and Development Act of
21 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2238c(a)), including—

22 (I) the reliability of metrics, data
23 for those metrics, and sources for that
24 data used by the Corps of Engineers
25 to determine if a port satisfies the re-

1 requirements of 1 or more of those defi-
2 nitions; and

3 (II) the extent of the impact of
4 cyclical dredging cycles for operations
5 and maintenance activities and deep
6 draft navigation construction projects
7 on the ability of ports to meet the re-
8 quirements of 1 or more of those defi-
9 nitions; and

10 (B) the amount of Harbor Maintenance
11 Trust Fund funding in the annual appropria-
12 tions Acts enacted after the date of enactment
13 of the Water Resources Development Act of
14 2020 (134 Stat. 2615), including an analysis
15 of—

16 (i) the allocation of funding to donor
17 ports and energy transfer ports (as those
18 terms are defined in section 2106(a) of the
19 Water Resources Reform and Development
20 Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2238c(a))) and the
21 use of that funding by those ports;

22 (ii) activities funded pursuant to sec-
23 tion 210 of the Water Resources Develop-
24 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238); and

1 (iii) challenges associated with ex-
2 pending the remaining balance of the Har-
3 bor Maintenance Trust Fund.

4 (4) REPORT.—On completion of the analysis
5 under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General shall
6 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
7 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
8 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
9 Representatives a report describing the findings of
10 the analysis and any recommendations that result
11 from that analysis.

12 (i) STUDY ON ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE.—

13 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
14 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comp-
15 troller General shall submit to the Committee on
16 Environment and Public Works of the Senate and
17 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure
18 of the House of Representatives a report on—

19 (A) the costs and benefits of the environ-
20 mental justice initiatives of the Secretary with
21 respect to the civil works program; and

22 (B) the positive and negative effects on the
23 civil works program of those environmental jus-
24 tice initiatives.

1 (2) INCLUSIONS.—The report under paragraph
2 (1) shall include, at a minimum, a review of projects
3 carried out by the Secretary during fiscal year 2023
4 and fiscal year 2024 pursuant to the environmental
5 justice initiatives of the Secretary with respect to the
6 civil works program.

7 **SEC. 234. PRIOR REPORTS.**

8 (a) REPORTS.—The Secretary shall prioritize the
9 completion of the reports required pursuant to the fol-
10 lowing provisions:

11 (1) Section 2036(b) of the Water Resources De-
12 velopment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2283a).

13 (2) Section 1008(c) of the Water Resources Re-
14 form and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
15 2321b(c)).

16 (3) Section 164(c) of the Water Resources De-
17 velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2668).

18 (4) Section 226(a) of the Water Resources De-
19 velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2697).

20 (5) Section 503(d) of the Water Resources De-
21 velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note; Public
22 Law 116–260).

23 (6) Section 509(a)(7) of the Water Resources
24 Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note; Pub-
25 lic Law 116–260).

1 (7) Section 8205(a) of the Water Resources De-
2 velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3754).

3 (8) Section 8206(c) of the Water Resources De-
4 velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3756).

5 (9) Section 8218 of the Water Resources Devel-
6 opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3761).

7 (10) Section 8227(b) of the Water Resources
8 Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3764).

9 (11) Section 8232(b) of the Water Resources
10 Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3766).

11 (b) NOTICE.—

12 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days after
13 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
14 shall submit to the Committee on Environment and
15 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on
16 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
17 Representatives a written notification of the status
18 of each report described in subsection (a).

19 (2) CONTENTS.—As part of the notification
20 under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall include for
21 each report described in subsection (a)—

22 (A) a description of the status of the re-
23 port; and

24 (B) if not completed, a timeline for the
25 completion of the report.

1 **SEC. 235. BRIEFING ON STATUS OF CAPE COD CANAL**
2 **BRIDGES, MASSACHUSETTS.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the
4 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall brief
5 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
6 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
7 structure of the House of Representatives on the status
8 of the project for the replacement of the Bourne and Saga-
9 more Highway Bridges that cross the Cape Cod Canal
10 Federal Navigation Project.

11 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—The briefing under subsection
12 (a) shall include discussion of—

13 (1) the current status of environmental review
14 under the National Environmental Policy Act of
15 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and expected
16 timelines for completion;

17 (2) project timelines and relevant paths to move
18 the project described in that subsection toward com-
19 pletion; and

20 (3) any issues that are impacting the delivery
21 of the project described in that subsection.

22 **SEC. 236. VIRGINIA PENINSULA COASTAL STORM RISK**
23 **MANAGEMENT, VIRGINIA.**

24 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the feasibility
25 study for flood risk management, ecosystem restoration,
26 and navigation, Coastal Virginia, authorized by section

1 1201(9) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2018
2 (132 Stat. 3802), the Secretary is authorized to use funds
3 made available to the Secretary for water resources devel-
4 opment investigations to analyze, at full Federal expense,
5 a measure benefitting Federal land under the administra-
6 tive jurisdiction of another Federal agency.

7 (b) SAVINGS PROVISIONS.—Nothing in this section—

8 (1) precludes—

9 (A) a Federal agency with administrative
10 jurisdiction over Federal land in the study area
11 from contributing funds for any portion of the
12 cost of analyzing a measure as part of the
13 study described in subsection (a) that benefits
14 that land; or

15 (B) the Secretary, at the request of the
16 non-Federal interest for the study described in
17 subsection (a), from using funds made available
18 to the Secretary for water resources develop-
19 ment investigations to formulate measures to
20 reduce risk to a military installation, if the non-
21 Federal interest shares in the cost to formulate
22 those measures to the same extent that the
23 non-Federal interest is required to share in the
24 cost of the study; or

1 (2) waives the cost-sharing requirements of a
2 Federal agency for the construction of an authorized
3 water resources development project or a separable
4 element of that project that results from the study
5 described in subsection (a).

6 **SEC. 237. ALLEGHENY RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA.**

7 It is the sense of Congress that—

8 (1) the Allegheny River is an important water-
9 way that can be utilized more to support rec-
10 reational, environmental, and navigation needs in
11 Pennsylvania;

12 (2) ongoing efforts to increase utilization of the
13 Allegheny River will require consistent hours of serv-
14 ice at key locks and dams; and

15 (3) to the maximum extent practicable, the
16 lockage levels of service at locks and dams along the
17 Allegheny River should be preserved until after the
18 completion of the study authorized by section
19 201(a)(55).

20 **SEC. 238. NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR AND TRIB-**
21 **UTARIES FOCUS AREA FEASIBILITY STUDY.**

22 The Secretary shall expedite the completion of the
23 feasibility study for coastal storm risk management, New
24 York and New Jersey, including evaluation of comprehen-
25 sive flood risk in accordance with section 8106 of the

1 Water Resources and Development Act of 2022 (33
2 U.S.C. 2282g), as applicable.

3 **SEC. 239. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TEXAS.**

4 The Federal share of the costs of the planning, de-
5 sign, and construction of the Recommended Corrective Ac-
6 tion identified by the Corps of Engineers in the Project
7 Deficiency Report completed in 2020 for the project for
8 navigation, Matagorda Ship Channel, Texas, authorized
9 by section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958 (72
10 Stat. 298), shall be 90 percent.

11 **SEC. 240. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT**
12 **PROJECT, TEXAS.**

13 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
14 gress that the Secretary should provide the necessary re-
15 sources to expedite the completion of the required docu-
16 mentation for the Matagorda Ship Channel Improvement
17 Project in order to ensure that the project is not further
18 delayed.

19 (b) EXPEDITE.—The Secretary shall, to the max-
20 imum extent practicable, expedite the completion of the
21 required documentation for the Matagorda Ship Channel
22 Improvement Project, including—

23 (1) the supplemental environmental impact
24 statement and the associated record of decision;

25 (2) the dredged material management plan; and

1 (3) a post authorization change report, if appli-
2 cable.

3 (c) PRECONSTRUCTION PLANNING, ENGINEERING,
4 AND DESIGN.—If the Secretary determines that the
5 Matagorda Ship Channel Improvement Project is justified
6 in a completed report and if the project requires an addi-
7 tional authorization from Congress pursuant to that re-
8 port, the Secretary shall proceed directly to
9 preconstruction planning, engineering, and design on the
10 project.

11 (d) DEFINITION OF MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL IM-
12 PROVEMENT PROJECT.—In this section, the term
13 “Matagorda Ship Channel Improvement Project” means
14 the project for navigation, Matagorda Ship Channel Im-
15 provement Project, Port Lavaca, Texas, authorized by sec-
16 tion 401(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of
17 2020 (134 Stat. 2734).

18 **SEC. 241. ASSESSMENT OF IMPACTS FROM CHANGING CON-**
19 **STRUCTION RESPONSIBILITIES.**

20 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out an
21 assessment of the impacts of amending section 101(a)(1)
22 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
23 U.S.C. 2211(a)(1)) to authorize the construction of navi-
24 gation projects for harbors or inland harbors , or any sep-

1 arable element thereof, constructed by the Secretary at 75
2 percent Federal cost to a depth of 55 feet.

3 (b) CONTENTS.—In carrying out the assessment
4 under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

5 (1) describe all existing Federal navigation
6 projects that are authorized or constructed to a
7 depth of 50 feet or greater;

8 (2) describe any Federal navigation project that
9 is likely to seek authorization or modification to a
10 depth of 55 feet or greater during the 10-year period
11 beginning on the date of enactment of this Act;

12 (3) assess the potential effect of authorizing
13 construction of a navigation project to a depth of 55
14 feet at 75 percent Federal cost on other Federal
15 navigation construction activities, including esti-
16 mates of port by port impacts over the next 5, 10,
17 and 20 years;

18 (4) estimate the potential increase in Federal
19 costs that would result from authorizing the con-
20 struction of the projects described in paragraph (2),
21 including estimates of port by port impacts over the
22 next 5, 10, and 20 years; and

23 (5) subject to subsection (c), describe the poten-
24 tial budgetary impact to the civil works program of
25 the Corps of Engineers from authorizing the con-

1 construction of a navigation project to a depth of 55
2 feet at 75 percent Federal cost and authorizing op-
3 eration and maintenance of a navigation project to
4 a depth of 55 feet at Federal expense, including esti-
5 mates of port by port impacts over the next 5, 10,
6 and 20 years.

7 (c) PRIOR REPORT.—The Secretary may use infor-
8 mation from the assessment and the report of the Sec-
9 retary under section 8206 of the Water Resources Devel-
10 opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3756) in carrying out sub-
11 section (b)(5).

12 (d) REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the
13 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit
14 to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of
15 the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and In-
16 frastructure of the House of Representatives, and make
17 publicly available (including on an existing publicly avail-
18 able website), a report that describes the results of the
19 assessment carried out under subsection (a).

20 **SEC. 242. DEADLINE FOR PREVIOUSLY REQUIRED LIST OF**
21 **COVERED PROJECTS.**

22 Notwithstanding the deadline in paragraph (1) of sec-
23 tion 8236(c) of the Water Resources Development Act of
24 2022 (136 Stat. 3769), the Secretary shall submit the list

1 of covered projects under that paragraph by not later than
2 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

3 **SEC. 243. COOPERATION AUTHORITY.**

4 (a) ASSESSMENT.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry
6 out an assessment of the extent to which the exist-
7 ing authorities and programs of the Secretary allow
8 the Corps of Engineers to construct water resources
9 development projects abroad.

10 (2) REPORT.—The Secretary shall submit to
11 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of
12 the Senate and the Committee on Transportation
13 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
14 a report that—

15 (A) describes—

16 (i) the findings of the assessment
17 under paragraph (1);

18 (ii) how each authority and program
19 assessed under paragraph (1) has been
20 used by the Secretary to construct water
21 resources development projects abroad, if
22 applicable; and

23 (iii) the extent to which the Secretary
24 partners with other Federal agencies when
25 carrying out such projects; and

1 (B) includes any recommendations that re-
2 sult from the assessment under paragraph (1).

3 (b) INTERAGENCY AND INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT
4 AUTHORITY.—Section 234 of the Water Resources Devel-
5 opment Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2323a) is amended—

6 (1) in subsection (c), by inserting “, including
7 the planning and design expertise,” after “exper-
8 tise”; and

9 (2) in subsection (d)(1), by striking
10 “\$1,000,000” and inserting “\$2,500,000”.

11 **TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS,**
12 **MODIFICATIONS, AND RE-**
13 **LATED PROVISIONS**

14 **SEC. 301. DEAUTHORIZATIONS.**

15 (a) TRUCKEE MEADOWS, NEVADA.—The project for
16 flood control, Truckee Meadows, Nevada, authorized by
17 section 3(a)(10) of the Water Resources Development Act
18 of 1988 (102 Stat. 4014) and section 7002(2) of the
19 Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014
20 (128 Stat. 1366) is no longer authorized beginning on the
21 date of enactment of this Act.

22 (b) SEATTLE HARBOR, WASHINGTON.—

23 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-
24 actment of this Act, the portion of the project for

1 navigation, Seattle Harbor, Washington, described in
2 paragraph (2) is no longer authorized.

3 (2) PORTION DESCRIBED.—The portion of the
4 project referred to in paragraph (1) is the approxi-
5 mately 74,490 square foot area of the Federal chan-
6 nel within the East Waterway—

7 (A) starting at a point on the United
8 States pierhead line in the southwest corner of
9 block 386 of plat of Seattle Tidelands, T. 24
10 N., R. 4. E, sec.18, Willamette Meridian;

11 (B) thence running $N90^{\circ}00'00''W$ along
12 the projection of the south line of block 386,
13 206.58 feet to the centerline of the East Water-
14 way;

15 (C) thence running $N14^{\circ}30'00''E$ along
16 the centerline and parallel with the northwest-
17 erly line of block 386, 64.83 feet;

18 (D) thence running $N33^{\circ}32'59''E$, 235.85
19 feet;

20 (E) thence running $N39^{\circ}55'22''E$, 128.70
21 feet;

22 (F) thence running $N14^{\circ}30'00''E$, parallel
23 with the northwesterly line of block 386, 280.45
24 feet;

1 (G) thence running N90°00'00"E, 70.00
2 feet to the pierhead line and the northwesterly
3 line of block 386; and

4 (H) thence running S14°30'00"W, 650.25
5 feet along the pierhead line and northwesterly
6 line of block 386 to the point of beginning.

7 (c) CHERRYFIELD DAM, MAINE.—The project for
8 flood control, Narraguagus River, Cherryfield Dam,
9 Maine, authorized by, and constructed pursuant to, sec-
10 tion 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C.
11 701s) is no longer authorized beginning on the date of
12 enactment of this Act.

13 (d) EAST SAN PEDRO BAY, CALIFORNIA.—The study
14 for the project for ecosystem restoration, East San Pedro
15 Bay, California, authorized by the resolution of the Com-
16 mittee on Public Works of the Senate, dated June 25,
17 1969, relating to the report of the Chief of Engineers for
18 Los Angeles and San Gabriel Rivers, Ballona Creek, is
19 no longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment
20 of this Act.

21 (e) SOURIS RIVER BASIN, NORTH DAKOTA.—The
22 Talbott's Nursery portion, consisting of approximately
23 2,600 linear feet of levee, of stage 4 of the project for
24 flood control, Souris River Basin, North Dakota, author-
25 ized by section 1124 of the Water Resources Development

1 Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4243; 101 Stat. 1329–111), is no
2 longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment of
3 this Act.

4 (f) MASARYKTOWN CANAL, FLORIDA.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—The portion of the project
6 for the Four River Basins, Florida, authorized by
7 section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1962 (76
8 Stat. 1183) described in paragraph (2) is no longer
9 authorized beginning on the date of enactment of
10 this Act.

11 (2) PORTION DESCRIBED.—The portion of the
12 project referred to in paragraph (1) is the
13 Masaryktown Canal C–534, which spans approxi-
14 mately 5.5 miles from Hernando County, between
15 Ayers Road and County Line Road east of United
16 States Route 41, and continues south to Pasco
17 County, discharging into Crews Lake.

18 **SEC. 302. ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

19 (a) NEW PROJECTS.—Section 219(f) of the Water
20 Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113
21 Stat. 334; 136 Stat. 3808) is amended by adding at the
22 end the following:

23 “(406) GLENDALE, ARIZONA.—\$5,200,000 for
24 environmental infrastructure, including water and
25 wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater

1 management), drainage systems, and water quality
2 enhancement, Glendale, Arizona.

3 “(407) TOHONO O’ODHAM NATION, ARIZONA.—
4 \$10,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
5 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure (includ-
6 ing facilities for withdrawal, treatment, and distribu-
7 tion), Tohono O’odham Nation, Arizona.

8 “(408) FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA.—\$4,800,000 for
9 environmental infrastructure, including water and
10 wastewater infrastructure (including facilities for
11 withdrawal, treatment, and distribution), Flagstaff,
12 Arizona.

13 “(409) TUCSON, ARIZONA.—\$30,000,000 for
14 environmental infrastructure, including water and
15 wastewater infrastructure (including recycled water
16 systems), Tucson, Arizona.

17 “(410) BAY-DELTA, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000
18 for environmental infrastructure, including water
19 and wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
20 management), drainage systems, and water quality
21 enhancement, San Francisco Bay–Sacramento–San
22 Joaquin River Delta, California.

23 “(411) INDIAN WELLS VALLEY, CALIFORNIA.—
24 \$5,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-

1 ing water and wastewater infrastructure, Indian
2 Wells Valley, Kern County, California.

3 “(412) OAKLAND–ALAMEDA ESTUARY, CALI-
4 FORNIA.—\$5,000,000 for environmental infrastruc-
5 ture, including water and wastewater infrastructure
6 (including stormwater management), drainage sys-
7 tems, and water quality enhancement, Oakland–Ala-
8 meda Estuary, Oakland and Alameda Counties, Cali-
9 fornia.

10 “(413) TIJUANA RIVER VALLEY WATERSHED,
11 CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000 for environmental infra-
12 structure, including water and wastewater infra-
13 structure, Tijuana River Valley Watershed, San
14 Diego County, California.

15 “(414) EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO.—
16 \$20,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
17 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure and
18 stormwater management, El Paso County, Colorado.

19 “(415) REHOBOTH BEACH, LEWES, DEWEY,
20 BETHANY, SOUTH BETHANY, FENWICK ISLAND,
21 DELAWARE.—\$25,000,000 for environmental infra-
22 structure, including water and wastewater infra-
23 structure, Rehoboth Beach, Lewes, Dewey, Bethany,
24 South Bethany, and Fenwick Island, Delaware.

1 “(416) WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.—
2 \$25,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
3 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure, Wil-
4 mington, Delaware.

5 “(417) PICKERING BEACH, KITTS HUMMOCK,
6 BOWERS BEACH, SOUTH BOWERS BEACH, SLAUGH-
7 TER BEACH, PRIME HOOK BEACH, MILTON, MIL-
8 FORD, DELAWARE.—\$25,000,000 for environmental
9 infrastructure, including water and wastewater in-
10 frastructure, Pickering Beach, Kitts Hummock,
11 Bowers Beach, South Bowers Beach, Slaughter
12 Beach, Prime Hook Beach, Milton, and Milford,
13 Delaware.

14 “(418) COASTAL GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for en-
15 vironmental infrastructure, including water and
16 wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
17 management), Glynn County, Chatham County,
18 Bryan County, Effingham County, McIntosh Coun-
19 ty, and Camden County, Georgia.

20 “(419) COLUMBUS, HENRY, AND CLAYTON
21 COUNTIES, GEORGIA.—\$10,000,000 for environ-
22 mental infrastructure, including water and waste-
23 water infrastructure (including stormwater manage-
24 ment), Columbus, Henry, and Clayton Counties,
25 Georgia.

1 “(420) COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000
2 for environmental infrastructure, including water
3 and wastewater infrastructure, Cobb County, Geor-
4 gia.

5 “(421) CALUMET CITY, ILLINOIS.—\$10,000,000
6 for environmental infrastructure, including water
7 and wastewater infrastructure, Calumet City, Illi-
8 nois.

9 “(422) WYANDOTTE COUNTY AND KANSAS
10 CITY, KANSAS.—\$35,000,000 for water and waste-
11 water infrastructure, including stormwater manage-
12 ment (including combined sewer overflows), Wyan-
13 dotte County and Kansas City, Kansas.

14 “(423) EASTHAMPTON, MASSACHUSETTS.—
15 \$10,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
16 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure (includ-
17 ing wastewater treatment plant outfalls),
18 Easthampton, Massachusetts.

19 “(424) BYRAM, MISSISSIPPI.—\$7,000,000 for
20 environmental infrastructure, including water and
21 wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
22 management), drainage systems, and water quality
23 enhancement, Byram, Mississippi.

24 “(425) DIAMONDHEAD, MISSISSIPPI.—
25 \$7,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-

1 ing water and wastewater infrastructure and drain-
2 age systems, Diamondhead, Mississippi.

3 “(426) HANCOCK COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—
4 \$7,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-
5 ing water and wastewater infrastructure (including
6 stormwater management), drainage systems, and
7 water quality enhancement, Hancock County, Mis-
8 sissippi.

9 “(427) MADISON, MISSISSIPPI.—\$7,000,000 for
10 environmental infrastructure, including water and
11 wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
12 management), drainage systems, and water quality
13 enhancement, Madison, Mississippi.

14 “(428) PEARL, MISSISSIPPI.—\$7,000,000 for
15 environmental infrastructure, including water and
16 wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
17 management), drainage systems, and water quality
18 enhancement, Pearl, Mississippi.

19 “(429) NEW HAMPSHIRE.—\$20,000,000 for en-
20 vironmental infrastructure, including water and
21 wastewater infrastructure, New Hampshire.

22 “(430) CAPE MAY COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—
23 \$10,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
24 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure (includ-

1 ing facilities for withdrawal, treatment, and distribu-
2 tion), Cape May County, New Jersey.

3 “(431) NYE COUNTY, NEVADA.—\$10,000,000
4 for environmental infrastructure, including water
5 and wastewater infrastructure (including water
6 wellfield and pipeline in the Pahrump Valley), Nye
7 County, Nevada.

8 “(432) STOREY COUNTY, NEVADA.—
9 \$10,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
10 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure (includ-
11 ing facilities for withdrawal, treatment, and distribu-
12 tion), Storey County, Nevada.

13 “(433) NEW ROCHELLE, NEW YORK.—
14 \$20,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
15 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure (includ-
16 ing stormwater management), New Rochelle, New
17 York.

18 “(434) CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO.—\$5,000,000
19 for environmental infrastructure, including water
20 and wastewater infrastructure (including combined
21 sewer overflows), Cuyahoga County, Ohio.

22 “(435) BLOOMINGBURG, OHIO.—\$6,500,000 for
23 environmental infrastructure, including water and
24 wastewater infrastructure (including facilities for

1 withdrawal, treatment, and distribution),
2 Bloomingburg, Ohio.

3 “(436) CITY OF AKRON, OHIO.—\$5,500,000 for
4 environmental infrastructure, including water and
5 wastewater infrastructure (including drainage sys-
6 tems), City of Akron, Ohio.

7 “(437) EAST CLEVELAND, OHIO.—\$13,000,000
8 for environmental infrastructure, including water
9 and wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
10 management), East Cleveland, Ohio.

11 “(438) ASHTABULA COUNTY, OHIO.—
12 \$1,500,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-
13 ing water and wastewater infrastructure (including
14 water supply and water quality enhancement), Ash-
15 tabula County, Ohio.

16 “(439) STRUTHERS, OHIO.—\$500,000 for envi-
17 ronmental infrastructure, including water and waste-
18 water infrastructure (including wastewater infra-
19 structure, stormwater management, and sewer im-
20 provements), Struthers, Ohio.

21 “(440) STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA.—
22 \$30,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
23 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure and
24 water supply infrastructure (including facilities for

1 withdrawal, treatment, and distribution), Stillwater,
2 Oklahoma.

3 “(441) PENNSYLVANIA.—\$38,600,000 for envi-
4 ronmental infrastructure, including water and waste-
5 water infrastructure, Pennsylvania.

6 “(442) CHESTERFIELD COUNTY, SOUTH CARO-
7 LINA.—\$3,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-
8 structure and other environmental infrastructure
9 (including stormwater management), Chesterfield
10 County, South Carolina.

11 “(443) TIPTON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—
12 \$35,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure and
13 water supply infrastructure, including facilities for
14 withdrawal, treatment, and distribution, Tipton
15 County, Tennessee.

16 “(444) OTHELLO, WASHINGTON.—\$14,000,000
17 for environmental infrastructure, including water
18 supply and storage treatment, Othello, Washington.

19 “(445) COLLEGE PLACE, WASHINGTON.—
20 \$5,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-
21 ing water and wastewater infrastructure, College
22 Place, Washington.”.

23 (b) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—

24 (1) CONSISTENCY WITH REPORTS.—Congress
25 finds that the project modifications described in this

1 subsection are in accordance with the reports sub-
2 mitted to Congress by the Secretary under section
3 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Develop-
4 ment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d), titled “Report
5 to Congress on Future Water Resources Develop-
6 ment”, or have otherwise been reviewed by Congress.

7 (2) MODIFICATIONS.—

8 (A) ALABAMA.—Section 219(f)(274) of the
9 Water Resources Development Act of 1992
10 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat.
11 3808) is amended by striking “\$50,000,000”
12 and inserting “\$85,000,000”.

13 (B) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
14 Section 219(f)(93) of the Water Resources De-
15 velopment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113
16 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1259; 136 Stat. 3816) is
17 amended by striking “Santa Clarity Valley”
18 and inserting “Santa Clarita Valley”.

19 (C) KENT, DELAWARE.—Section
20 219(f)(313) of the Water Resources Develop-
21 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
22 334; 136 Stat. 3810) is amended by striking
23 “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

24 (D) NEW CASTLE, DELAWARE.—Section
25 219(f)(314) of the Water Resources Develop-

1 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
2 334; 136 Stat. 3810) is amended by striking
3 “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

4 (E) SUSSEX, DELAWARE.—Section
5 219(f)(315) of the Water Resources Develop-
6 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
7 334; 136 Stat. 3810) is amended by striking
8 “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

9 (F) EAST POINT, GEORGIA.—Section
10 219(f)(136) of the Water Resources Develop-
11 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
12 334; 121 Stat. 1261; 136 Stat. 3817) is
13 amended by striking “\$15,000,000” and insert-
14 ing “\$20,000,000”.

15 (G) MADISON COUNTY AND ST. CLAIR
16 COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—Section 219(f)(55) of the
17 Water Resources Development Act of 1992
18 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 114 Stat.
19 2763A–221; 136 Stat. 3817) is amended—

20 (i) by striking “\$100,000,000” and
21 inserting “\$110,000,000”; and

22 (ii) by inserting “(including
23 stormwater management)” after “waste-
24 water assistance”.

1 (H) MONTGOMERY COUNTY AND CHRIS-
2 TIAN COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—Section 219(f)(333)
3 of the Water Resources Development Act of
4 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat.
5 3812) is amended—

6 (i) in the paragraph heading, by strik-
7 ing “MONTGOMERY AND CHRISTIAN COUN-
8 TIES” and inserting “MONTGOMERY,
9 CHRISTIAN, FAYETTE, SHELBY, JASPER,
10 RICHLAND, CRAWFORD, AND LAWRENCE
11 COUNTIES”; and

12 (ii) by striking “Montgomery County
13 and Christian County” and inserting
14 “Montgomery County, Christian County,
15 Fayette County, Shelby County, Jasper
16 County, Richland County, Crawford Coun-
17 ty, and Lawrence County”.

18 (I) LOWELL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section
19 219(f)(339) of the Water Resources Develop-
20 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
21 334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking
22 “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

23 (J) MICHIGAN.—Section 219(f)(157) of
24 the Water Resources Development Act of 1992
25 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat.

1 1262) is amended, in the paragraph heading, by
2 striking “COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOWS”.

3 (K) DESOTO COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Sec-
4 tion 219(f)(30) of the Water Resources Devel-
5 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
6 336; 134 Stat. 2718) is amended by striking
7 “\$130,000,000” and inserting “\$144,000,000”.

8 (L) JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
9 219(f)(167) of the Water Resources Develop-
10 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
11 334; 121 Stat. 1263; 136 Stat. 3818) is
12 amended by striking “\$125,000,000” and in-
13 serting “\$139,000,000”.

14 (M) MADISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Sec-
15 tion 219(f)(351) of the Water Resources Devel-
16 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
17 334; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
18 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$24,000,000”.

19 (N) MERIDIAN, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
20 219(f)(352) of the Water Resources Develop-
21 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
22 334; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
23 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$24,000,000”.

24 (O) RANKIN COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Sec-
25 tion 219(f)(354) of the Water Resources Devel-

1 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
2 334; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
3 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$24,000,000”.

4 (P) CINCINNATI, OHIO.—Section
5 219(f)(206) of the Water Resources Develop-
6 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
7 334; 121 Stat. 1265) is amended by striking
8 “\$1,000,000” and inserting “\$9,000,000”.

9 (Q) MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA.—Section
10 219(f)(231) of the Water Resources Develop-
11 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
12 334; 121 Stat. 1266; 134 Stat. 2719) is
13 amended by striking “\$5,000,000” and insert-
14 ing “\$10,000,000”.

15 (R) PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.—Sec-
16 tion 219(f)(243) of the Water Resources Devel-
17 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
18 334; 121 Stat. 1266) is amended—

19 (i) by striking “\$1,600,000” and in-
20 serting “\$3,000,000”; and

21 (ii) by inserting “water supply and”
22 before “wastewater”.

23 (S) LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SOUTH
24 CAROLINA.—Section 219(f)(25) of the Water
25 Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.

1 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 136 Stat. 3818) is
2 amended by striking “\$165,000,000” and in-
3 serting “\$232,000,000”.

4 (T) MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN.—Section
5 219(f)(405) of the Water Resources Develop-
6 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
7 334; 136 Stat. 3816) is amended by striking
8 “\$4,500,000” and inserting “\$10,500,000”.

9 (c) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—Section 219 of the
10 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.
11 4835) is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting
12 the following:

13 “(b) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—

14 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise pro-
15 vided in this subsection, the non-Federal share of
16 the cost of a project for which assistance is provided
17 under this section shall be not less than 25 percent.

18 “(2) ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED COMMU-
19 NITIES.—The non-Federal share of the cost of a
20 project for which assistance is provided under this
21 section benefitting an economically disadvantaged
22 community (as defined pursuant to section 160 of
23 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33
24 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)) shall be 10
25 percent.

1 “(3) ABILITY TO PAY.—

2 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal
3 share of the cost of a project for which assist-
4 ance is provided under this section shall be sub-
5 ject to the ability of the non-Federal interest to
6 pay.

7 “(B) DETERMINATION.—The ability of a
8 non-Federal interest to pay shall be determined
9 by the Secretary in accordance with procedures
10 established by the Secretary.

11 “(C) DEADLINE.—Not later than 60 days
12 after the date of enactment of the Thomas R.
13 Carper Water Resources Development Act of
14 2024, the Secretary shall issue guidance on the
15 procedures described in subparagraph (B).

16 “(4) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—

17 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall
18 annually submit to the Committee on Environ-
19 ment and Public Works of the Senate and the
20 Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
21 ture of the House of Representatives a written
22 notification of determinations made by the Sec-
23 retary of the ability of non-Federal interests to
24 pay under this section.

1 “(B) CONTENTS.—In preparing the writ-
 2 ten notification under subparagraph (A), the
 3 Secretary shall include, for each determination
 4 made by the Secretary—

5 “(i) the name of the non-Federal in-
 6 terest that submitted to the Secretary a re-
 7 quest for a determination under paragraph
 8 (3)(B);

9 “(ii) the name and location of the
 10 project; and

11 “(iii) the determination made by the
 12 Secretary and the reasons for the deter-
 13 mination, including the adjusted share of
 14 the costs of the project of the non-Federal
 15 interest, if applicable.”.

16 **SEC. 303. PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUC-**
 17 **TURE.**

18 Section 313 of the Water Resources Development Act
 19 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4845; 109 Stat. 407; 110 Stat. 3723;
 20 113 Stat. 310; 117 Stat. 142; 121 Stat. 1146; 134 Stat.
 21 2719; 136 Stat. 3821) is amended—

22 (1) in the section heading, by striking “**SOUTH**
 23 **CENTRAL**”;

24 (2) by striking “south central” each place it ap-
 25 pears;

1 (3) by striking subsections (c) and (h);

2 (4) by redesignating subsections (d), (e), (f),
3 and (g) as subsections (c), (d), (e), and (f), respec-
4 tively; and

5 (5) in paragraph (2)(A) of subsection (c) (as
6 redesignated), by striking “the SARCD Council and
7 other”.

8 **SEC. 304. ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEMS.**

9 Section 1113 of the Water Resources Development
10 Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4232; 110 Stat. 3719; 136 Stat.
11 3782) is amended—

12 (1) in subsection (d)—

13 (A) by striking “costs,” and all that fol-
14 lows through “except that” and inserting
15 “costs, shall be as described in the second sen-
16 tence of subsection (b) (as in effect on the day
17 before the date of enactment of the Water Re-
18 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.
19 3691)), except that”; and

20 (B) by striking “measure benefitting” and
21 inserting “measure (other than a reconnais-
22 sance study) benefitting”; and

23 (2) in subsection (e), by striking “\$80,000,000”
24 and inserting “\$100,000,000”.

1 **SEC. 305. OREGON ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 8359 of the Water Re-
3 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3802) is
4 amended—

5 (1) in the section heading, by striking “**SOUTH-**
6 **WESTERN**”;

7 (2) in each of subsections (a) and (b), by strik-
8 ing “southwestern” each place it appears;

9 (3) in subsection (e)(1), by striking
10 “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$90,000,000”; and

11 (4) by striking subsection (f).

12 (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

13 (1) NDAA.—The table of contents in section
14 2(b) of the James M. Inhofe National Defense Au-
15 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (136 Stat.
16 2430) is amended by striking the item relating to
17 section 8359 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 8359. Oregon.”.

18 (2) WRDA.—The table of contents in section
19 8001(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of
20 2022 (136 Stat. 3694) is amended by striking the
21 item relating to section 8359 and inserting the fol-
22 lowing:

“Sec. 8359. Oregon.”.

1 **SEC. 306. KENTUCKY AND WEST VIRGINIA ENVIRON-**
2 **MENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

3 (a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary
4 shall establish a program to provide environmental assist-
5 ance to non-Federal interests in Kentucky and West Vir-
6 ginia.

7 (b) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance provided
8 under this section may be in the form of design and con-
9 struction assistance for water-related environmental infra-
10 structure and resource protection and development
11 projects in Kentucky and West Virginia, including projects
12 for wastewater treatment and related facilities, water sup-
13 ply and related facilities, environmental restoration, and
14 surface water resource protection and development.

15 (c) OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may
16 provide assistance for a project under this section only if
17 the project is publicly owned.

18 (d) LOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENTS.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance
20 under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a
21 local cooperation agreement with a non-Federal in-
22 terest to provide for design and construction of the
23 project to be carried out with such assistance.

24 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each local cooperation
25 agreement entered into under this subsection shall
26 provide for the following:

1 (A) Development by the Secretary, in con-
2 sultation with appropriate Federal and State of-
3 ficials, of a facilities or resource protection and
4 development plan, including appropriate engi-
5 neering plans and specifications.

6 (B) Establishment of such legal and insti-
7 tutional structures as are necessary to ensure
8 the effective long-term operation of the project
9 by the non-Federal interest.

10 (3) COST SHARING.—

11 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of
12 the cost of a project carried out under this sec-
13 tion—

14 (i) shall be 75 percent; and

15 (ii) may be provided in the form of
16 grants or reimbursements of project costs.

17 (B) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a
18 delay in the funding of the Federal share of a
19 project that is the subject of a local cooperation
20 agreement under this section, the non-Federal
21 interest shall receive credit for reasonable inter-
22 est incurred in providing the non-Federal share
23 of the project cost.

24 (C) LAND, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-
25 WAY CREDIT.—The non-Federal interest shall

1 receive credit for land, easements, rights-of-
2 way, and relocations toward the non-Federal
3 share of project costs (including all reasonable
4 costs associated with obtaining permits nec-
5 essary for the construction, operation, and
6 maintenance of the project on publicly owned or
7 controlled land), but such credit may not exceed
8 25 percent of total project costs.

9 (D) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The
10 non-Federal share of operation and mainte-
11 nance costs for projects constructed with assist-
12 ance provided under this section shall be 100
13 percent.

14 (e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be
16 appropriated \$75,000,000 to carry out this section,
17 to be divided between the States described in sub-
18 section (a).

19 (2) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not
20 more than 10 percent of the amounts made available
21 to carry out this section may be used by the Corps
22 of Engineers to administer projects under this sec-
23 tion.

1 **SEC. 307. LAKE CHAMPLAIN WATERSHED, VERMONT AND**
2 **NEW YORK.**

3 Section 542(e)(1)(A) of the Water Resources Devel-
4 opment Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2672) is amended by in-
5 serting “, or in the case of a critical restoration project
6 benefitting an economically disadvantaged community (as
7 defined pursuant to section 160 of the Water Resources
8 Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public
9 Law 116–260)), 10 percent of the total costs of the
10 project” after “project”.

11 **SEC. 308. OHIO AND NORTH DAKOTA.**

12 Section 594(d)(3)(A) of the Water Resources Devel-
13 opment Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 382) is amended—

14 (1) in the second sentence, by striking “The
15 Federal share may” and inserting the following:

16 “(iii) FORM.—The Federal share
17 may”;

18 (2) by striking the subparagraph designation
19 and heading and all that follows through “The Fed-
20 eral share of” in the first sentence and inserting the
21 following:

22 “(A) PROJECT COSTS.—

23 “(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as pro-
24 vided in clause (ii), the Federal share of”;
25 and

1 (3) by inserting after clause (i) (as so des-
2 ignated) the following:

3 “(ii) EXCEPTION.—The non-Federal
4 share of the cost of a project under this
5 section benefitting an economically dis-
6 advantaged community (as defined pursu-
7 ant to section 160 of the Water Resources
8 Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201
9 note; Public Law 116–260)) shall be 10
10 percent.”.

11 **SEC. 309. SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.**

12 Section 340 of the Water Resources Development Act
13 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4856; 136 Stat. 3807) is amended—

14 (1) in subsection (c)(3)—

15 (A) in the first sentence, by striking
16 “Total project costs” and inserting the fol-
17 lowing:

18 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in
19 subparagraph (B), total project costs”; and

20 (B) by adding at the end the following:

21 “(B) EXCEPTION.—In the case of a project
22 benefitting an economically disadvantaged com-
23 munity (as defined pursuant to section 160 of
24 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
25 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)),

1 the Federal share of the total project costs
 2 under the applicable local cooperation agree-
 3 ment entered into under this subsection shall be
 4 90 percent.

5 “(C) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal
 6 share of the total project costs under this para-
 7 graph may be provided in the same form as de-
 8 scribed in section 571(e)(3)(A) of the Water
 9 Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.
 10 371).”;

11 (2) by striking subsection (e);

12 (3) by redesignating subsections (f), (g), (h),
 13 and (i) as subsections (e), (f), (g), and (h), respec-
 14 tively; and

15 (4) in subsection (f) (as so redesignated), in the
 16 first sentence, by striking “\$140,000,000” and in-
 17 serting “\$170,000,000”.

18 **SEC. 310. NORTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.**

19 Section 571 of the Water Resources Development Act
 20 of 1999 (113 Stat. 371; 121 Stat. 1257; 136 Stat. 3807)
 21 is amended—

22 (1) in subsection (e)(3)—

23 (A) in subparagraph (A), in the first sen-
 24 tence, by striking “The Federal share” and in-

1 serting “Except as provided in subparagraph
2 (B), the Federal share”;

3 (B) by redesignating subparagraphs (B),
4 (C), (D), and (E) as subparagraphs (C), (D),
5 (E), and (F), respectively; and

6 (C) by inserting after subparagraph (A)
7 the following:

8 “(B) EXCEPTION.—In the case of a project
9 benefitting an economically disadvantaged com-
10 munity (as defined pursuant to section 160 of
11 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
12 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)),
13 the Federal share of the project costs under the
14 applicable local cooperation agreement entered
15 into under this subsection shall be 90 percent.”;

16 (2) by striking subsection (g);

17 (3) by redesignating subsections (h), (i), and (j)
18 as sections (g), (h), and (i), respectively; and

19 (4) in subsection (g) (as so redesignated), by
20 striking “\$120,000,000” and inserting
21 “\$150,000,000”.

22 **SEC. 311. OHIO, PENNSYLVANIA, AND WEST VIRGINIA.**

23 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

24 (1) IMPAIRED WATER.—

1 (A) IN GENERAL.—The term “impaired
2 water” means a stream of a watershed that is
3 not, as of the date of an application under this
4 section, achieving the designated use of the
5 stream.

6 (B) INCLUSION.—The term “impaired
7 water” includes any stream identified by a
8 State under section 303(d) of the Federal
9 Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C.
10 1313(d)).

11 (2) RESTORATION.—

12 (A) IN GENERAL.—The term “restora-
13 tion”, with respect to impaired water, means
14 the restoration of the impaired water to such an
15 extent that the stream could achieve its des-
16 ignated use over the greatest practical number
17 of stream-miles, as determined using, if avail-
18 able, State-designated or Tribal-designated cri-
19 teria.

20 (B) INCLUSION.—The term “restoration”
21 includes the removal of covered pollutants.

22 (b) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary
23 may establish a pilot program to provide environmental
24 assistance to non-Federal interests for the restoration of

1 impaired water impacted by acid mine drainage in Ohio,
2 Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.

3 (c) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance under this
4 section may be in the form of technical assistance and de-
5 sign and construction assistance for water-related environ-
6 mental infrastructure to address acid mine drainage, in-
7 cluding projects for centralized water treatment and re-
8 lated facilities.

9 (d) PRIORITIZATION.—The Secretary shall prioritize
10 assistance under this section to a project that—

11 (1) addresses acid mine drainage from multiple
12 sources impacting impaired waters; or

13 (2) includes a centralized water treatment sys-
14 tem to reduce the acid mine drainage load in im-
15 paired waters.

16 (e) PUBLIC OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Sec-
17 retary may provide assistance for a project under this sec-
18 tion only if the project is publicly owned.

19 (f) COORDINATION.—The Secretary shall, to the
20 maximum extent practicable, work with States, units of
21 local government, and other relevant Federal agencies to
22 secure any permits, variances, or approvals necessary to
23 facilitate the completion of projects receiving assistance
24 under this section.

1 (g) COST-SHARE.—The non-Federal share of the cost
2 of a project carried out under this section shall be 25 per-
3 cent, including provision of all land, easements, rights-of-
4 way, and necessary relocations.

5 (h) AGREEMENTS.—Construction of a project under
6 this section shall be initiated only after the non-Federal
7 interest has entered into a binding agreement with the
8 Secretary to pay—

9 (1) the non-Federal share of the costs of con-
10 struction of a project carried out under this section;
11 and

12 (2) 100 percent of any operation, maintenance,
13 and replacement and rehabilitation costs of a project
14 carried out under this section.

15 (i) CONTRIBUTED FUNDS.—The Secretary, with the
16 consent of the non-Federal interest for a project carried
17 out under this section, may receive or expend funds con-
18 tributed by a nonprofit entity for the project.

19 (j) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is
20 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section
21 \$50,000,000, to remain available until expended.

22 **SEC. 312. WESTERN RURAL WATER.**

23 Section 595 of the Water Resources Development Act
24 of 1999 (113 Stat. 383; 117 Stat. 1836) is amended—

25 (1) in subsection (a)—

1 (A) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and
2 (2) as paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively; and

3 (B) by inserting before paragraph (2) (as
4 so redesignated) the following:

5 “(1) NON-FEDERAL INTEREST.—The term
6 ‘non-Federal interest’ includes an entity declared to
7 be a political subdivision of the State of New Mex-
8 ico.”; and

9 (2) in subsection (e)(3)(A)—

10 (A) in the second sentence, by striking
11 “The Federal share may” and inserting the fol-
12 lowing:

13 “(iii) FORM.—The Federal share
14 may”;

15 (B) by striking the subparagraph designa-
16 tion and heading and all that follows through
17 “The Federal share of” in the first sentence
18 and inserting the following:

19 “(A) PROJECT COSTS.—

20 “(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as pro-
21 vided in clause (ii), the Federal share of”;

22 and

23 (C) by inserting after clause (i) (as so des-
24 igned) the following:

1 “(ii) EXCEPTION.—The non-Federal
2 share of the cost of a project under this
3 section benefitting an economically dis-
4 advantaged community (as defined pursu-
5 ant to section 160 of the Water Resources
6 Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201
7 note; Public Law 116–260)) shall be 10
8 percent.”.

9 **SEC. 313. CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS.**

10 (a) REMOVAL OF OBSTRUCTIONS; CLEARING CHAN-
11 NELS.—Section 2 of the Act of August 28, 1937 (50 Stat.
12 877, chapter 877; 33 U.S.C. 701g), is amended—

13 (1) by striking “\$7,500,000” and inserting
14 “\$15,000,000”;

15 (2) by inserting “for preventing and mitigating
16 flood damages associated with ice jams,” after
17 “other debris,”; and

18 (3) by striking “\$500,000” and inserting
19 “\$1,000,000”.

20 (b) EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE
21 PROTECTION.—Section 14 of the Flood Control Act of
22 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r) is amended—

23 (1) by striking “\$25,000,000” and inserting
24 “\$40,000,000”; and

1 (2) by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting
2 “\$15,000,000”.

3 (c) STORM AND HURRICANE RESTORATION AND IM-
4 PACT MINIMIZATION PROGRAM.—Section 3(c) of the Act
5 of August 13, 1946 (60 Stat. 1056, chapter 960; 33
6 U.S.C. 426g(e)), is amended—

7 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking
8 “\$37,500,000” and inserting “\$45,000,000”; and

9 (2) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking
10 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

11 (d) SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.—Section
12 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s)
13 is amended—

14 (1) in the first sentence, by striking
15 “\$68,750,000” and inserting “\$85,000,000”; and

16 (2) in the third sentence, by striking
17 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

18 (e) AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.—Section
19 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33
20 U.S.C. 2330) is amended—

21 (1) in subsection (a), by adding at the end the
22 following:

23 “(4) DROUGHT RESILIENCE.—A project under
24 this section may include measures that enhance

1 drought resilience through the restoration of wet-
2 lands or the removal of invasive species.”;

3 (2) in subsection (d), by striking
4 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”; and

5 (3) in subsection (f), by striking “\$62,500,000”
6 and inserting “\$75,000,000”.

7 (f) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF
8 ENVIRONMENT.—Section 1135 of the Water Resources
9 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a) is amend-
10 ed—

11 (1) in subsection (d), in the third sentence, by
12 striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting
13 “\$15,000,000”; and

14 (2) in subsection (h), by striking
15 “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$60,000,000”.

16 (g) SHORE DAMAGE PREVENTION OR MITIGATION.—
17 Section 111(c) of the River and Harbor Act of 1968 (33
18 U.S.C. 426i(c)) is amended by striking “\$12,500,000”
19 and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

20 (h) SMALL RIVER AND HARBOR IMPROVEMENT
21 PROJECTS.—Section 107(b) of the River and Harbor Act
22 of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577(b)) is amended by striking
23 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

24 (i) REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT.—Section
25 204(c)(1)(C) of the Water Resources Development Act of

1 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326(c)(1)(C)) is amended by striking
2 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

3 **SEC. 314. SMALL PROJECT ASSISTANCE.**

4 Section 165(b) of the Water Resources Development
5 Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)
6 is amended by striking “2024” each place it appears and
7 inserting “2029”.

8 **SEC. 315. GREAT LAKES AND MISSISSIPPI RIVER**
9 **INTERBASIN PROJECT, BRANDON ROAD,**
10 **WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS.**

11 After completion of construction of the project for
12 ecosystem restoration, Great Lakes and Mississippi River
13 Interbasin project, Brandon Road, Will County, Illinois,
14 authorized by section 401(5) of the Water Resources De-
15 velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2740) and modified by
16 section 402(a) of that Act (134 Stat. 2742) and section
17 8337 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022
18 (136 Stat. 3793), the Federal share of operation and
19 maintenance costs of the project shall be 90 percent.

20 **SEC. 316. MAMARONECK-SHELDRAKE RIVERS, NEW YORK.**

21 The non-Federal share of the cost of features of the
22 project for flood risk management, Mamaroneck-
23 Sheldrake Rivers, New York, authorized by section
24 1401(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2018
25 (132 Stat. 3837), benefitting an economically disadvan-

1 taged community (as defined pursuant to section 160 of
2 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C.
3 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)) shall be 10 percent.

4 **SEC. 317. LOWELL CREEK TUNNEL, ALASKA.**

5 Section 5032(a)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
6 ment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1205; 134 Stat. 2719) is
7 amended by striking “20” and inserting “25”.

8 **SEC. 318. SELMA FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND BANK**
9 **STABILIZATION.**

10 (a) REPAYMENT.—

11 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite
12 the review of, and give due consideration to, the re-
13 quest from the City of Selma, Alabama, that the
14 Secretary apply section 103(k) of the Water Re-
15 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.
16 2213(k)) to the project for flood risk management,
17 Selma Flood Risk Management and Bank Stabiliza-
18 tion, Alabama, authorized by section 8401(2) of the
19 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
20 Stat. 3839).

21 (2) DURATION.—If the Secretary determines
22 that the application of section 103(k) of the Water
23 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.
24 2213(k)) to the project described in paragraph (1)
25 is justified, the Secretary shall, to the maximum ex-

1 tent practicable and consistent with that section,
 2 permit the City of Selma, Alabama, to repay the full
 3 non-Federal contribution with interest for that
 4 project during a period of 30 years that shall begin
 5 after the date of completion of that project.

6 (b) COST-SHARE.—The non-Federal share of the cost
 7 of the project for flood risk management, Selma Flood
 8 Risk Management and Bank Stabilization, Alabama, au-
 9 thorized by section 8401(2) of the Water Resources Devel-
 10 opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3839), shall be 10 percent.

11 **SEC. 319. ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION.**

12 Section 519(c)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
 13 ment Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2654; 121 Stat. 1221) is
 14 amended by striking “2010” and inserting “2029”.

15 **SEC. 320. HAWAII ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION.**

16 Section 444 of the Water Resources Development Act
 17 of 1996 (110 Stat. 3747; 113 Stat. 286) is amended—

18 (1) by striking “and environmental restoration”
 19 and inserting “environmental restoration, and coast-
 20 al storm risk management”; and

21 (2) by inserting “Hawaii,” after “Guam,”.

22 **SEC. 321. CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN INVASIVE SPECIES**
 23 **PARTNERSHIPS.**

24 Section 104(g)(2)(A) of the River and Harbor Act
 25 of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610(g)(2)(A)) is amended by inserting

1 “the Connecticut River Basin,” after “the Ohio River
2 Basin,”.

3 **SEC. 322. EXPENSES FOR CONTROL OF AQUATIC PLANT**
4 **GROWTHS AND INVASIVE SPECIES.**

5 Section 104(d)(2)(A) of the River and Harbor Act
6 of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610(d)(2)(A)) is amended by striking
7 “50 percent” and inserting “35 percent”.

8 **SEC. 323. CORPS OF ENGINEERS ASIAN CARP PREVENTION**
9 **PILOT PROGRAM.**

10 Section 509(a)(2)(C)(ii) of the Water Resources De-
11 velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note; Public Law
12 116–260) is amended by striking “2024” and inserting
13 “2029”.

14 **SEC. 324. EXTENSION FOR CERTAIN INVASIVE SPECIES**
15 **PROGRAMS.**

16 Section 104(b)(2)(A) of the River and Harbor Act
17 of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610(b)(2)(A)) is amended—

18 (1) in clause (i), by striking “each of fiscal
19 years 2021 through 2024” and inserting “each of
20 fiscal years 2025 through 2029”; and

21 (2) in clause (ii), by striking “2028” and in-
22 serting “2029”.

1 **SEC. 325. STORM DAMAGE PREVENTION AND REDUCTION,**
2 **COASTAL EROSION, RIVERINE EROSION, AND**
3 **ICE AND GLACIAL DAMAGE, ALASKA.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 8315 of the Water Re-
5 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3783) is
6 amended—

7 (1) in the section heading, by inserting
8 “**RIVERINE EROSION,**” after “**COASTAL ERO-**
9 **SION,**”; and

10 (2) in subsection (a), in the matter preceding
11 paragraph (1), by inserting “riverine erosion,” after
12 “coastal erosion,”.

13 (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

14 (1) The table of contents in section 2(b) of the
15 James M. Inhofe National Defense Authorization
16 Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (136 Stat. 2429) is
17 amended by striking the item relating to section
18 8315 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 8315. Storm damage prevention and reduction, coastal erosion, riverine
erosion, and ice and glacial damage, Alaska.”.

19 (2) The table of contents in section 8001(b) of
20 the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
21 Stat. 3693) is amended by striking the item relating
22 to section 8315 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 8315. Storm damage prevention and reduction, coastal erosion, riverine
erosion, and ice and glacial damage, Alaska.”.

1 **SEC. 326. REHABILITATION OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS CON-**
2 **STRUCTED DAMS.**

3 Section 1177 of the Water Resources Development
4 Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 467f-2 note; Public Law 114-
5 322) is amended—

6 (1) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the
7 following:

8 “(c) **COST SHARING.**—The non-Federal share of the
9 cost of a project for rehabilitation of a dam under this
10 section, including the cost of any required study, shall be
11 the same share assigned to the non-Federal interest for
12 the cost of initial construction of that dam, including pro-
13 vision of all land, easements, rights-of-way, and necessary
14 relocations.”;

15 (2) in subsection (e)—

16 (A) by striking the subsection designation
17 and heading and all that follows through “The
18 Secretary” and inserting the following:

19 “(e) **COST LIMITATION.**—

20 “(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in para-
21 graph (2), the Secretary”; and

22 (B) by adding at the end the following:

23 “(2) **CERTAIN DAMS.**—The Secretary shall not
24 expend more than \$100,000,000 under this section
25 for the Waterbury Dam Spillway Project,
26 Vermont.”;

1 (3) in subsection (f), by striking “fiscal years
2 2017 through 2026” and inserting “fiscal years
3 2025 through 2029”; and

4 (4) by striking subsection (g).

5 **SEC. 327. EDIZ HOOK BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECT,**
6 **PORT ANGELES, WASHINGTON.**

7 The cost-share for operation and maintenance costs
8 for the project for beach erosion control, Ediz Hook, Port
9 Angeles, Washington, authorized by section 4 of the Water
10 Resources Development Act of 1974 (88 Stat. 15), shall
11 be in accordance with the cost-share described in section
12 101(b)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of
13 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2211(b)(1)).

14 **SEC. 328. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO CERTAIN**
15 **LOUISIANA HURRICANE AND COASTAL**
16 **STORM DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION**
17 **PROJECTS.**

18 It is the sense of Congress that all efforts should be
19 made to extend the scope of the project for hurricane and
20 storm damage risk reduction, Morganza to the Gulf, Lou-
21 isiana, authorized by section 7002(3) of the Water Re-
22 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat.
23 1368), and the project for hurricane and storm damage
24 risk reduction, Upper Barataria Basin, Louisiana, author-
25 ized by section 8401(3) of the Water Resources Develop-

1 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3841), in order to connect
2 the two projects and realize the benefits of continuous hur-
3 ricane and coastal storm damage risk reduction from west
4 of Houma in Gibson, Louisiana, to the connection with
5 the Hurricane Storm Damage Risk Reduction System
6 around New Orleans, Louisiana.

7 **SEC. 329. CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY PROGRAM.**

8 Section 704(b)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-
9 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2263 note; Public Law 99-
10 662) is amended, in the second sentence, by striking
11 “\$100,000,000” and inserting “\$120,000,000”.

12 **SEC. 330. BOSQUE WILDLIFE RESTORATION PROJECT.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish a
14 program to carry out appropriate planning, design, and
15 construction measures for wildfire prevention and restora-
16 tion in the Middle Rio Grande Bosque, including the re-
17 moval of jetty jacks.

18 (b) COST SHARE.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in para-
20 graph (2), the non-Federal share of the cost of a
21 project carried out under this section shall be in ac-
22 cordance with sections 103 and 105 of the Water
23 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.
24 2213, 2215).

1 (2) EXCEPTION.—The non-Federal share of the
2 cost of a project carried out under this section bene-
3 fitting an economically disadvantaged community (as
4 defined pursuant to section 160 of the Water Re-
5 sources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201
6 note; Public Law 116–260)) shall be 10 percent.

7 (c) REPEAL.—Section 116 of the Energy and Water
8 Development Appropriations Act, 2004 (117 Stat. 1836),
9 is repealed.

10 (d) TREATMENT.—The program authorized under
11 subsection (a) shall be considered a continuation of the
12 program authorized by section 116 of the Energy and
13 Water Development Appropriations Act, 2004 (117 Stat.
14 1836) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment
15 of this Act).

16 **SEC. 331. EXPANSION OF TEMPORARY RELOCATION ASSIST-**
17 **ANCE PILOT PROGRAM.**

18 Section 8154(g)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-
19 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3735) is amended by adding
20 at the end the following:

21 “(F) Project for hurricane and storm dam-
22 age risk reduction, Norfolk, Virginia, authorized
23 by section 401(3) of the Water Resources De-
24 velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2738).”.

1 **SEC. 332. WILSON LOCK FLOATING GUIDE WALL.**

2 On the request of the relevant Federal entity, the
3 Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, use
4 all relevant authorities to expeditiously provide technical
5 assistance, including engineering and design assistance,
6 and cost estimation assistance to the relevant Federal en-
7 tity in order to address the impacts to navigation along
8 the Tennessee River at the Wilson Lock and Dam, Ala-
9 bama.

10 **SEC. 333. DELAWARE INLAND BAYS AND DELAWARE BAY**
11 **COAST COASTAL STORM RISK MANAGEMENT**
12 **STUDY.**

13 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

14 (1) ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED COMMU-
15 NITY.—The term “economically disadvantaged com-
16 munity” has the meaning given the term pursuant
17 to section 160 of the Water Resources Development
18 Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–
19 260)).

20 (2) STUDY.—The term “study” means the
21 Delaware Inland Bays and Delaware Bay Coast
22 Coastal Storm Risk Management Study, authorized
23 by the resolution of the Committee on Public Works
24 and Transportation of the House of Representatives
25 dated October 1, 1986, and the resolution of the

1 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
2 Senate dated June 23, 1988.

3 (b) STUDY, PROJECTS, AND SEPARABLE ELE-
4 MENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if
5 the Secretary determines that the study will benefit 1 or
6 more economically disadvantaged communities, the non-
7 Federal share of the costs of carrying out the study, or
8 project construction or a separable element of a project
9 authorized based on the study, shall be 10 percent.

10 (c) COST SHARING AGREEMENT.—The Secretary
11 shall seek to expedite any amendments to any existing
12 cost-share agreement for the study in accordance with this
13 section.

14 **SEC. 334. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER PLAN.**

15 Section 1103(e)(4) of the Water Resources Develop-
16 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 652(e)(4)) is amended by
17 striking “\$15,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”.

18 **SEC. 335. REHABILITATION OF PUMP STATIONS.**

19 Notwithstanding the requirements of section 133 of
20 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C.
21 2327a), for purposes of that section, each of the following
22 shall be considered to be an eligible pump station (as de-
23 fined in subsection (a) of that section) that meets the re-
24 quirements described in subsection (b) of that section:

1 (1) The flood control pump station, Hockanum
2 Road, Northampton, Massachusetts.

3 (2) Pointe Celeste Pump Station, Plaquemines
4 Parish, Louisiana.

5 **SEC. 336. NAVIGATION ALONG THE TENNESSEE-**
6 **TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY.**

7 The Secretary shall, consistent with applicable statu-
8 tory authorities—

9 (1) coordinate with the relevant stakeholders
10 and communities in the State of Alabama and the
11 State of Mississippi to address the dredging needs of
12 the Tennessee–Tombigbee Waterway in those States;
13 and

14 (2) ensure continued navigation at the locks
15 and dams owned and operated by the Corps of Engi-
16 neers located along the Tennessee–Tombigbee Wa-
17 terway.

18 **SEC. 337. GARRISON DAM, NORTH DAKOTA.**

19 The Secretary shall expedite the review of, and give
20 due consideration to, the request from the relevant Fed-
21 eral power marketing administration that the Secretary
22 apply section 1203 of the Water Resources Development
23 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 467n) to the project for dam safe-
24 ty at Garrison Dam, North Dakota.

1 **SEC. 338. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO MISSOURI**
2 **RIVER PRIORITIES.**

3 It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary should
4 make publicly available, where appropriate, any data used
5 and any decisions made by the Corps of Engineers relating
6 to the operations of civil works projects within the Mis-
7 souri River Basin in order to ensure transparency for the
8 communities in that Basin.

9 **SEC. 339. SOIL MOISTURE AND SNOWPACK MONITORING.**

10 Section 511(a)(3) of the Water Resources Develop-
11 ment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2753) is amended by striking
12 “2025” and inserting “2029”.

13 **SEC. 340. CONTRACTS FOR WATER SUPPLY.**

14 (a) COPAN LAKE, OKLAHOMA.—Section 8358(b)(2)
15 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
16 Stat. 3802) is amended by striking “shall not pay more
17 than 110 percent of the initial project investment cost per
18 acre-foot of storage for the acre-feet of storage space
19 sought under an agreement under paragraph (1)” and in-
20 serting “, for the acre-feet of storage space being sought
21 under an agreement under paragraph (1), shall pay 110
22 percent of the contractual rate per acre-foot of storage in
23 the most recent agreement of the City for water supply
24 storage space at the project”.

25 (b) STATE OF KANSAS.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall amend
2 the contracts described in paragraph (2) between the
3 United States and the State of Kansas, relating to
4 storage space for water supply, to change the meth-
5 od of calculation of the interest charges that began
6 accruing on February 1, 1977, on the investment
7 costs for the 198,350 acre-feet of future use storage
8 space and on April 1, 1979, on 125,000 acre-feet of
9 future use storage from compounding interest annu-
10 ally to charging simple interest annually on the prin-
11 cipal amount, until—

12 (A) the State of Kansas informs the Sec-
13 retary of the desire to convert the future use
14 storage space to present use; and

15 (B) the principal amount plus the accumu-
16 lated interest becomes payable pursuant to the
17 terms of the contracts.

18 (2) CONTRACTS DESCRIBED.—The contracts re-
19 ferred to in paragraph (1) are the following con-
20 tracts between the United States and the State of
21 Kansas:

22 (A) Contract DACW41-74-C-0081, en-
23 tered into on March 8, 1974, for the use by the
24 State of Kansas of storage space for water sup-
25 ply in Milford Lake, Kansas.

1 (B) Contract DACW41-77-C-0003, en-
2 tered into on December 10, 1976, for the use
3 by the State of Kansas for water supply in
4 Perry Lake, Kansas.

5 **SEC. 341. REND LAKE, CARLYLE LAKE, AND LAKE SHELBY-**
6 **VILLE, ILLINOIS.**

7 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the
8 date on which the Secretary receives a request from the
9 Governor of Illinois to terminate a contract described in
10 subsection (c), the Secretary shall amend the contract to
11 release to the United States all rights of the State of Illi-
12 nois to utilize water storage space in the reservoir project
13 to which the contract applies.

14 (b) RELIEF OF CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS.—On execu-
15 tion of an amendment described in subsection (a), the
16 State of Illinois shall be relieved of the obligation to pay
17 the percentage of the annual operation and maintenance
18 expense, the percentage of major replacement cost, and
19 the percentage of major rehabilitation cost allocated to the
20 water supply storage specified in the contract for the res-
21 ervoir project to which the contract applies.

22 (c) CONTRACTS.—Subsection (a) applies to the fol-
23 lowing contracts between the United States and the State
24 of Illinois:

1 (1) Contract DACW43–88–C–0088, entered
2 into on September 23, 1988, for utilization of stor-
3 age space for water supply in Rend Lake, Illinois.

4 (2) Contract DA–23–065–CIVENG–65–493,
5 entered into on April 28, 1965, for utilization of
6 storage space for water supply in Rend Lake, Illi-
7 nois.

8 (3) Contract DACW43–83–C–0008, entered
9 into on July 6, 1983, for utilization of storage space
10 in Carlyle Lake, Illinois.

11 (4) Contract DACW43–83–C–0009, entered
12 into on July 6, 1983, for utilization of storage space
13 in Lake Shelbyville, Illinois.

14 **SEC. 342. DELAWARE COASTAL SYSTEM PROGRAM.**

15 (a) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is to pro-
16 vide for the collective planning and implementation of
17 coastal storm risk management and hurricane and storm
18 risk reduction projects in Delaware to provide greater effi-
19 ciency and a more comprehensive approach to life safety
20 and economic growth.

21 (b) DESIGNATION.—The following projects for coast-
22 al storm risk management and hurricane and storm risk
23 reduction shall be known and designated as the “Delaware
24 Coastal System Program” (referred to in this section as
25 the “Program”):

1 (1) Delaware Bay Coastline, Roosevelt Inlet
2 and Lewes Beach, Delaware, authorized by section
3 101(a)(13) of the Water Resources Development Act
4 of 1999 (113 Stat. 276).

5 (2) Delaware Coast, Bethany Beach and South
6 Bethany, Delaware, authorized by section
7 101(a)(15) of the Water Resources Development Act
8 of 1999 (113 Stat. 276).

9 (3) Delaware Coast from Cape Henlopen to
10 Fenwick Island, Delaware, authorized by section
11 101(b)(11) of the Water Resources Development Act
12 of 2000 (114 Stat. 2577).

13 (4) Rehoboth Beach and Dewey Beach, Dela-
14 ware, authorized by section 101(b)(6) of the Water
15 Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.
16 3667).

17 (5) Indian River Inlet, Delaware.

18 (6) The project for hurricane and storm dam-
19 age risk reduction, Delaware Beneficial Use of
20 Dredged Material for the Delaware River, Delaware,
21 authorized by section 401(3) of the Water Resources
22 Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2736) and
23 modified by section 8327(a) of the Water Resources
24 Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3788) and sub-
25 section (e).

1 (c) MANAGEMENT.—The Secretary shall manage the
2 projects described in subsection (b) as components of a
3 single, comprehensive system, recognizing the inter-
4 dependence of the projects.

5 (d) COST-SHARE.—Notwithstanding any other provi-
6 sion of law, the Federal share of the cost of each of the
7 projects described in paragraphs (1) through (4) of sub-
8 section (b) shall be 80 percent.

9 (e) BROADKILL BEACH, DELAWARE.—The project
10 for hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, Delaware
11 Beneficial Use of Dredged Material for the Delaware
12 River, Delaware, authorized by section 401(3) of the
13 Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat.
14 2736) and modified by section 8327(a) of the Water Re-
15 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3788), is
16 modified to include the project for hurricane and storm
17 damage reduction, Delaware Bay coastline, Delaware and
18 New Jersey–Broadkill Beach, Delaware, authorized by
19 section 101(a)(11) of the Water Resources Development
20 Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 275).

21 **SEC. 343. MAINTENANCE OF PILE DIKE SYSTEM.**

22 The Secretary shall continue to maintain the pile dike
23 system constructed by the Corps of Engineers for the pur-
24 pose of navigation along the Lower Columbia River and
25 Willamette River, Washington, at Federal expense.

1 **SEC. 344. CONVEYANCES.**

2 (a) **GENERALLY APPLICABLE PROVISIONS.—**

3 (1) **SURVEY TO OBTAIN LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—**

4 The exact acreage and the legal description of any
5 real property to be conveyed under this section shall
6 be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the
7 Secretary.

8 (2) **APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING**
9 **PROVISIONS.—**Section 2696 of title 10, United
10 States Code, shall not apply to any conveyance
11 under this section.

12 (3) **COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—**An entity to
13 which a conveyance is made under this section shall
14 be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs,
15 including real estate transaction and environmental
16 documentation costs, associated with the conveyance.

17 (4) **LIABILITY.—**

18 (A) **HOLD HARMLESS.—**An entity to which
19 a conveyance is made under this section shall
20 hold the United States harmless from any li-
21 ability with respect to activities carried out, on
22 or after the date of the conveyance, on the real
23 property conveyed.

24 (B) **FEDERAL RESPONSIBILITY.—**The
25 United States shall remain responsible for any
26 liability with respect to activities carried out be-

1 fore the date of conveyance on the real property
2 conveyed.

3 (5) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—

4 The Secretary may require that any conveyance
5 under this section be subject to such additional
6 terms and conditions as the Secretary considers nec-
7 essary and appropriate to protect the interests of the
8 United States.

9 (b) DILLARD ROAD, INDIANA.—

10 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary
11 shall convey to the State of Indiana all right, title,
12 and interest of the United States, together with any
13 improvements on the land, in and to the property
14 described in paragraph (2).

15 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed
16 under this subsection is the approximately 11.85
17 acres of land and road easements associated with
18 Dillard Road, including improvements on that land,
19 located in Patoka Township, Crawford County, Indi-
20 ana.

21 (3) DEED.—The Secretary shall convey the
22 property under this subsection by quitclaim deed
23 under such terms and conditions as the Secretary
24 determines appropriate to protect the interests of
25 the United States.

1 (4) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines
2 that the property conveyed under this subsection is
3 not used for a public purpose, all right, title, and in-
4 terest in and to the property shall revert, at the dis-
5 cretion of the Secretary, to the United States.

6 (c) PORT OF SKAMANIA, WASHINGTON.—

7 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary
8 shall convey to the Port of Skamania, Washington,
9 all right, title, and interest of the United States, to-
10 gether with any improvements on the land, in and
11 to the property described in paragraph (2).

12 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed
13 under this subsection is the approximately 1.6 acres
14 of land designated as “Lot I-2”, including any im-
15 provements on the land, located in North Bonneville,
16 Washington, T. 2 N., R. 7 E., sec. 19, Willamette
17 Meridian.

18 (3) CONSIDERATION.—The Port of Skamania,
19 Washington, shall pay to the Secretary an amount
20 that is not less than the fair market value of the
21 property conveyed under this subsection, as deter-
22 mined by the Secretary.

1 **SEC. 345. EMERGENCY DROUGHT OPERATIONS PILOT PRO-**
2 **GRAM.**

3 (a) DEFINITION OF COVERED PROJECT.—In this sec-
4 tion, the term “covered project” means a project—

5 (1) that is located in the State of California or
6 the State of Arizona; and

7 (2)(A) of the Corps of Engineers for which
8 water supply is an authorized purpose; or

9 (B) for which the Secretary develops a water
10 control manual under section 7 of the Act of Decem-
11 ber 22, 1944 (commonly known as the “Flood Con-
12 trol Act of 1944”) (58 Stat. 890, chapter 665; 33
13 U.S.C. 709).

14 (b) EMERGENCY OPERATION DURING DROUGHT.—
15 Consistent with other authorized project purposes and in
16 coordination with the non-Federal interest, in operating
17 a covered project during a drought emergency in the
18 project area, the Secretary may carry out a pilot program
19 to operate the covered project with water supply as the
20 primary project purpose.

21 (c) UPDATES.—In carrying out this section, the Sec-
22 retary may update the water control manual for a covered
23 project to include drought operations and contingency
24 plans.

25 (d) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out subsection (b),
26 the Secretary shall ensure that—

1 (1) operations described in that subsection—

2 (A) are consistent with water management
3 deviations and drought contingency plans in the
4 water control manual for the covered project;

5 (B) impact only the flood pool managed by
6 the Secretary; and

7 (C) shall not be carried out in the event of
8 a forecast or anticipated flood or weather event
9 that would require flood risk management to
10 take precedence;

11 (2) to the maximum extent practicable, the Sec-
12 retary uses forecast-informed reservoir operations;
13 and

14 (3) the covered project returns to the operations
15 that were in place prior to the use of the authority
16 provided under that subsection at a time determined
17 by the Secretary, in coordination with the non-Fed-
18 eral interest.

19 (e) CONTRIBUTED FUNDS.—The Secretary may re-
20 ceive and expend funds contributed by a non-Federal in-
21 terest to carry out activities under this section.

22 (f) REPORT.—

23 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after
24 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
25 shall submit to the Committee on Environment and

1 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on
2 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
3 Representatives a report on the pilot program car-
4 ried out under this section.

5 (2) INCLUSIONS.—The Secretary shall include
6 in the report under paragraph (1) a description of
7 the activities of the Secretary that were carried out
8 for each covered project and any lessons learned
9 from carrying out those activities.

10 (g) LIMITATIONS.—Nothing in this section—

11 (1) affects, modifies, or changes the authorized
12 purposes of a covered project;

13 (2) affects existing Corps of Engineers authori-
14 ties, including authorities with respect to navigation,
15 flood damage reduction, and environmental protec-
16 tion and restoration;

17 (3) affects the ability of the Corps of Engineers
18 to provide for temporary deviations;

19 (4) affects the application of a cost-share re-
20 quirement under section 101, 102, or 103 of the
21 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
22 U.S.C. 2211, 2212, 2213);

23 (5) supersedes or modifies any written agree-
24 ment between the Federal Government and a non-

1 Federal interest that is in effect on the date of en-
2 actment of this Act;

3 (6) supersedes or modifies any amendment to
4 an existing multistate water control plan for the Col-
5 orado River Basin, if applicable;

6 (7) affects any water right in existence on the
7 date of enactment of this Act;

8 (8) preempts or affects any State water law or
9 interstate compact governing water;

10 (9) affects existing water supply agreements be-
11 tween the Secretary and the non-Federal interest; or

12 (10) affects any obligation to comply with the
13 provisions of any Federal or State environmental
14 law, including—

15 (A) the National Environmental Policy Act
16 of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.);

17 (B) the Federal Water Pollution Control
18 Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.); and

19 (C) the Endangered Species Act of 1973
20 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).

21 **SEC. 346. REHABILITATION OF EXISTING LEVEES.**

22 Section 3017(e) of the Water Resources Reform and
23 Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3303a note; Public
24 Law 113–121) is amended by striking “2028” and insert-
25 ing “2029”.

1 **SEC. 347. NON-FEDERAL IMPLEMENTATION PILOT PRO-**
2 **GRAM.**

3 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1043(b) of the Water Re-
4 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
5 2201 note; Public Law 113–121) is amended—

6 (1) in paragraph (3)(A)(i)—

7 (A) in the matter preceding subclause (I),
8 by striking “20” and inserting “30”; and

9 (B) in subclause (III), by striking “5” and
10 inserting “15”; and

11 (2) in paragraph (8), by striking “each of fiscal
12 years 2019 through 2026” and inserting “each of
13 fiscal years 2025 through 2029”.

14 (b) **LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA RESTORATION**
15 **PROJECTS.**—

16 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—In carrying out the pilot
17 program under section 1043(b) of the Water Re-
18 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33
19 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 113–121), the Sec-
20 retary may include in the pilot program a project
21 authorized to be implemented under, or in accord-
22 ance with, title VII of the Water Resources Develop-
23 ment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1270).

24 (2) **ELIGIBILITY.**—In the case of a project de-
25 scribed in paragraph (1) for which the non-Federal
26 interest has initiated construction in accordance with

1 authorities governing the provision of in-kind con-
2 tributions for the project, the Secretary shall take
3 into account the value of any in-kind contributions
4 provided by the non-Federal interest for the project
5 prior to the date of execution of the project partner-
6 ship agreement under section 1043(b) of the Water
7 Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33
8 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 113–121) for pur-
9 poses of determining the non-Federal share of the
10 costs to complete construction of the project.

11 **SEC. 348. HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOM DEMONSTRATION PRO-**
12 **GRAM.**

13 Section 128(c) of the Water Resources Development
14 Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note; Public Law 116–260)
15 is amended—

16 (1) in paragraph (13), by striking “and” at the
17 end;

18 (2) in paragraph (14), by striking the period at
19 the end and inserting a semicolon; and

20 (3) by adding at the end the following:

21 “(15) Lake Elsinore, California; and

22 “(16) Willamette River, Oregon.”.

1 **SEC. 349. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO MOBILE HAR-**
2 **BOR, ALABAMA.**

3 It is sense of Congress that the Secretary should, con-
4 sistent with applicable statutory authorities, coordinate
5 with relevant stakeholders in the State of Alabama to ad-
6 dress the dredging and dredging material placement needs
7 associated with the project for navigation, Mobile Harbor,
8 Alabama, authorized by section 201 of the Flood Control
9 Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5) and modified by section
10 309 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
11 (134 Stat. 2704).

12 **SEC. 350. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO PORT OF**
13 **PORTLAND, OREGON.**

14 It is sense of Congress that—

15 (1) the Port of Portland, Oregon, is the sole
16 dredging operator of the federally authorized naviga-
17 tion channel in the Columbia River, which was au-
18 thorized by section 101 of the River and Harbors
19 Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1177);

20 (2) the Corps of Engineers should continue to
21 provide operation and maintenance support for the
22 Port of Portland, Oregon, including for dredging
23 equipment;

24 (3) the pipeline dredge of the Port of Portland,
25 known as the “Dredge Oregon”, was built in 1965,

1 58 years ago, while the average age of a dredging
2 vessel in the United States is 25 years; and

3 (4) Congress commits to ensuring continued
4 dredging for the Port of Portland.

5 **SEC. 351. CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER PROGRAM.**

6 Section 8144 of the Water Resources Development
7 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3724) is amended—

8 (1) by striking “comprehensive plan” each place
9 it appears and inserting “plans”;

10 (2) in subsection (b)—

11 (A) in the subsection heading, by striking
12 “COMPREHENSIVE PLAN” and inserting “IM-
13 PLEMENTATION PLANS”; and

14 (B) in paragraph (1)—

15 (i) by striking “2 years” and inserting
16 “4 years”; and

17 (ii) by striking “a comprehensive
18 Chattahoochee River Basin restoration
19 plan to guide the implementation of
20 projects” and inserting “plans to guide im-
21 plementation of Chattahoochee River Basin
22 restoration projects”; and

23 (3) in subsection (j), by striking “3 years” and
24 inserting “5 years”.

1 **SEC. 352. ADDITIONAL PROJECTS FOR UNDERSERVED COM-**
2 **MUNITY HARBORS.**

3 Section 8132 of the Water Resources Development
4 Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2238e) is amended—

5 (1) in subsection (a), by inserting “and for pur-
6 poses of contributing to ecosystem restoration” be-
7 fore the period at the end; and

8 (2) in subsection (h)(1), by striking “2026”
9 and inserting “2029”.

10 **SEC. 353. WINOOSKI RIVER TRIBUTARY WATERSHED.**

11 Section 212(e)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
12 ment Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2332(e)(2)) is amended by
13 adding at the end the following:

14 “(L) Winooski River tributary watershed,
15 Vermont.”.

16 **SEC. 354. WACO LAKE, TEXAS.**

17 The Secretary shall, to the maximum extent prac-
18 ticable, expedite the review of, and give due consideration
19 to, the request from the City of Waco, Texas, that the
20 Secretary apply section 147 of the Water Resources Devel-
21 opment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 701q–1) to the embank-
22 ment adjacent to Waco Lake in Waco, Texas.

23 **SEC. 355. SEMINOLE TRIBAL CLAIM EXTENSION.**

24 Section 349 of the Water Resources Development Act
25 of 2020 (134 Stat. 2716) is amended in the matter pre-

1 ceding paragraph (1) by striking “2022” and inserting
2 “2027”.

3 **SEC. 356. COASTAL EROSION PROJECT, BARROW, ALASKA.**

4 For purposes of implementing the coastal erosion
5 project, Barrow, Alaska, the Secretary may consider the
6 North Slope Borough to be in compliance with section
7 402(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986
8 (33 U.S.C. 701b–12(a)) on adoption by the North Slope
9 Borough Assembly of a floodplain management plan to re-
10 duce the impacts of future flood events in the immediate
11 floodplain area of the project if that plan—

12 (1) is approved by the relevant Federal agency;

13 and

14 (2) was developed in consultation with the rel-
15 evant Federal agency and the Secretary.

16 **SEC. 357. COLEBROOK RIVER RESERVOIR, CONNECTICUT.**

17 (a) CONTRACT TERMINATION REQUEST.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after
19 the date on which the Secretary receives a request
20 from the Metropolitan District of Hartford County,
21 Connecticut, to terminate the contract described in
22 paragraph (2), the Secretary shall offer to amend
23 the contract to release to the United States all
24 rights of the Metropolitan District of Hartford, Con-

1 necticut, to utilize water storage space in the res-
2 ervoir project to which the contract applies.

3 (2) CONTRACT DESCRIBED.—The contract re-
4 ferred to in paragraph (1) and subsection (b) is the
5 contract between the United States and the Metro-
6 politan District of Hartford County, Connecticut,
7 numbered DA-19-016-CIVENG-65-203, with re-
8 spect to the Colebrook River Reservoir in Con-
9 necticut.

10 (b) RELIEF OF CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS.—On execu-
11 tion of the amendment described in subsection (a)(1), the
12 Metropolitan District of Hartford County, Connecticut,
13 shall be relieved of the obligation to pay the percentage
14 of the annual operation and maintenance expense, the per-
15 centage of major replacement cost, and the percentage of
16 major rehabilitation cost allocated to the water supply
17 storage specified in the contract described in subsection
18 (a)(2) for the reservoir project to which the contract ap-
19 plies.

20 **SEC. 358. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO SHALLOW**
21 **DRAFT DREDGING IN THE CHESAPEAKE BAY.**

22 It is the sense of Congress that—

23 (1) shallow draft dredging in the Chesapeake
24 Bay is critical for tourism, recreation, and the fish-

1 ing industry and that additional dredging is needed;
2 and

3 (2) the Secretary should, to the maximum ex-
4 tent practicable, use existing statutory authorities to
5 address the dredging needs at small harbors and
6 channels in the Chesapeake Bay.

7 **SEC. 359. REPLACEMENT OF CAPE COD CANAL BRIDGES.**

8 (a) **AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary is authorized to
9 allow the Commonwealth of Massachusetts to construct
10 the replacement of the Bourne Bridge and the Sagamore
11 Bridge, Massachusetts.

12 (b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—

13 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—The authority provided
14 under subsection (a) shall be—

15 (A) carried out in accordance with a
16 memorandum of understanding entered into by
17 the Secretary and the Commonwealth of Massa-
18 chusetts;

19 (B) subject to the same legal and technical
20 requirements as if the construction of the re-
21 placement of the bridges were carried about by
22 the Secretary, and any other conditions that the
23 Secretary determines to be appropriate; and

24 (C) on the condition that the bridges shall
25 be conveyed to the Commonwealth of Massachu-

1 setts on completion of the replacement of the
2 bridges pursuant to section 109 of the River
3 and Harbor Act of 1950 (33 U.S.C. 534).

4 (c) CONDITIONS.—Before carrying out the construc-
5 tion of the replacement of the Bourne Bridge and the Sag-
6 amore Bridge, Massachusetts, under this section, the
7 Commonwealth of Massachusetts shall—

8 (1) obtain any permit or approval required in
9 connection with that replacement under Federal or
10 State law; and

11 (2) ensure that the environmental impact state-
12 ment or environmental assessment, as appropriate,
13 for that replacement is complete.

14 (d) REIMBURSEMENT.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraphs (2)
16 and (3) and subsection (e), the Secretary is author-
17 ized to reimburse the Commonwealth of Massachu-
18 setts for the Corps of Engineers contribution of the
19 construction costs for the replacement of the Bourne
20 Bridge and the Sagamore Bridge, Massachusetts, or
21 a portion of the replacement of the bridges, except
22 that the total reimbursement for the replacement of
23 the bridges shall not exceed \$250,000,000.

1 (2) AVAILABILITY OF APPROPRIATIONS.—The
2 total amount of reimbursement described in para-
3 graph (1)—

4 (A) shall be subject to the availability of
5 appropriations; and

6 (B) shall not be derived from the previous
7 funding provided to the Secretary under title I
8 of division D of the Consolidated Appropria-
9 tions Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–42), for the
10 Corps of Engineers for the purpose of replacing
11 the Bourne Bridge and Sagamore Bridge, Mas-
12 sachusetts.

13 (3) CERTIFICATION.—Prior to providing a re-
14 imbursement under this subsection, the Secretary
15 shall certify that the Commonwealth of Massachu-
16 setts has carried out the construction of the replace-
17 ment of the Bourne Bridge and the Sagamore
18 Bridge, Massachusetts, or a portion of the replace-
19 ment of the bridges in accordance with—

20 (A) all applicable permits and approvals;
21 and

22 (B) this section.

23 (e) TOTAL FUNDING.—The total amount of funding
24 expended by the Secretary for the construction of the re-

1 placement of the Bourne Bridge and the Sagamore
2 Bridge, Massachusetts, shall not exceed \$600,000,000.

3 **SEC. 360. UPPER ST. ANTHONY FALLS LOCK AND DAM, MIN-**
4 **NEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA.**

5 Section 356(f) of the Water Resources Development
6 Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2724) is amended—

7 (1) by redesignating paragraph (4) as para-
8 graph (5); and

9 (2) by inserting after paragraph (3) the fol-
10 lowing:

11 “(4) CONSIDERATIONS.—In carrying out para-
12 graph (1), as expeditiously as possible and to the
13 maximum extent practicable, the Secretary shall
14 take all possible measures to reduce the physical
15 footprint required for easements described in sub-
16 paragraph (A) of that paragraph, including an ex-
17 amination of the use of crane barges on the Mis-
18 sissippi River.”.

19 **SEC. 361. FLEXIBILITIES FOR CERTAIN HURRICANE AND**
20 **STORM DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION**
21 **PROJECTS.**

22 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—

23 (1) the Corps of Engineers incorrectly applied
24 the nationwide statutory requirements and the poli-
25 cies of the agency related to easements for commu-

1 nities within the boundaries of the Jacksonville Dis-
2 trict;

3 (2) this incorrect application created inconsis-
4 tencies, confusion, and challenges with carrying out
5 18 critical hurricane and storm damage risk reduc-
6 tion projects in Florida, and in order to remedy the
7 situation, the Assistant Secretary of the Army for
8 Civil Works issued a memorandum that provided
9 flexibilities for the easements of those projects; and

10 (3) those projects need additional assistance
11 going forward, and as such, this section provides ad-
12 ditional flexibilities and allows the projects to transi-
13 tion, on the date of their expiration, to the nation-
14 wide policies and statutory requirements for ease-
15 ments of the Corps of Engineers.

16 (b) FLEXIBILITIES PROVIDED.—Notwithstanding
17 any other provision of law, but maintaining any existing
18 easement agreement or executed project partnership
19 agreement for a project described in subsection (c), the
20 Secretary may proceed to construction of a project de-
21 scribed in that subsection with an easement of not less
22 than 25 years, in lieu of the perpetual beach storm dam-
23 age reduction easement standard estate if—

24 (1) the project complies with all other applica-
25 ble laws and Corps of Engineers policies during the

1 term of the easement, including the guarantee of a
2 public beach, public access, public use, and access
3 for any work necessary and incident to the construc-
4 tion of the project, periodic nourishment, and oper-
5 ation, maintenance, repair, replacement, and reha-
6 bilitation of the project; and

7 (2) the non-Federal interest agrees to pay the
8 costs of acquiring easements for periodic nourish-
9 ment of the project after the expiration of the initial
10 easements, for which the non-Federal interest may
11 not receive credit toward the non-Federal share of
12 the costs of the project.

13 (c) PROJECTS DESCRIBED.—A project referred to in
14 subsection (b) is any of the following projects for hurri-
15 cane and storm damage risk reduction:

16 (1) Brevard County, Canaveral Harbor, Florida
17 – North Reach.

18 (2) Brevard County, Canaveral Harbor, Florida
19 – South Reach.

20 (3) Broward County, Florida – Segment II.

21 (4) Lee County, Florida – Captiva.

22 (5) Lee County, Florida – Gasparilla.

23 (6) Manatee County, Florida.

24 (7) Martin County, Florida.

25 (8) Nassau County, Florida.

1 (9) Palm Beach County, Florida – Jupiter/Car-
2 lin Segment.

3 (10) Palm Beach County, Florida – Mid Town.

4 (11) Palm Beach County, Florida – Ocean
5 Ridge.

6 (12) Pinellas County, Florida – Long Key.

7 (13) Pinellas County, Florida – Sand Key Seg-
8 ment.

9 (14) Pinellas County, Florida – Treasure Is-
10 land.

11 (15) Sarasota County, Florida – Venice Beach.

12 (16) St. Johns County, Florida – St. Augustine
13 Beach.

14 (17) St. Johns County, Florida – Vilano Seg-
15 ment.

16 (18) St. Lucie County, Florida – Hutchinson
17 Island.

18 (d) PROHIBITION.—The Secretary shall not carry out
19 an additional economic justification for a project described
20 in subsection (c) on the basis that the project has ease-
21 ments for a period of less than 50 years pursuant to this
22 section.

23 (e) WRITTEN NOTICE.—Not less than 5 years before
24 the date of expiration of an easement for a project de-
25 scribed in subsection (c), the Secretary shall provide to

1 the non-Federal interest for the project written notice that
2 if the easement expires and is not extended under sub-
3 section (f)—

4 (1) the Secretary will not be able—

5 (A) to renourish the project under the ex-
6 isting project authorization; or

7 (B) to restore the project to pre-storm con-
8 ditions under section 5 of the Act of August 18,
9 1941 (commonly known as the “Flood Control
10 Act of 1941”) (55 Stat. 650, chapter 377; 33
11 U.S.C. 701n); and

12 (2) the non-Federal interest or the applicable
13 State will have the responsibility to renourish or re-
14 store the project.

15 (f) EXTENSION.—With respect to a project described
16 in subsection (c), before the expiration of an easement
17 that has a term of less than 50 years and is subject to
18 subsection (b), the Secretary may allow the non-Federal
19 interest for the project to extend the easement, subject
20 to the condition that the easement and any extensions do
21 not exceed 50 years in total.

22 (g) TEMPORARY EASEMENTS.—In the case of a
23 project described in subsection (c) that received funding
24 under section 5 of the Act of August 18, 1941 (commonly
25 known as the “Flood Control Act of 1941”) (55 Stat. 650,

1 chapter 377; 33 U.S.C. 701n), made available by a supple-
2 mental appropriations Act, or is eligible to receive such
3 funding as a result of storm damage incurred during fiscal
4 year 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, or 2026, the project may
5 use 1 or more temporary easements, subject to the condi-
6 tions that—

7 (1) the easement lasts for the duration of the
8 applicable renourishment agreement; and

9 (2) the work shall be carried out by not later
10 than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

11 (h) TERMINATION.—The authority provided under
12 this section shall terminate, with respect to a project de-
13 scribed in subsection (c), on the date on which the oper-
14 ations and maintenance activities for that project expire.

15 **TITLE IV—PROJECT** 16 **AUTHORIZATIONS**

17 **SEC. 401. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.**

18 The following projects for water resources develop-
19 ment and conservation and other purposes, as identified
20 in the reports titled “Report to Congress on Future Water
21 Resources Development” submitted to Congress pursuant
22 to section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Devel-
23 opment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise re-
24 viewed by Congress, are authorized to be carried out by
25 the Secretary substantially in accordance with the plans,

1 and subject to the conditions, described in the respective
 2 reports or decision documents designated in this section:

3 (1) NAVIGATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. MD	Baltimore Harbor Anchorages and Channels, Sea Girt Loop	June 22, 2023	Federal: \$47,956,500 Non-Federal: \$15,985,500 Total: \$63,942,000
2. CA	Oakland Harbor Turning Basins Widening	May 30, 2024	Federal: \$408,164,600 Non-Federal: \$200,780,400 Total: \$608,945,000
3. AK	Akutan Harbor Navigational Improvements	July 17, 2024	Federal: \$68,100,000 Non-Federal: \$1,700,000 Total: \$69,800,000

4 (2) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. KS	Manhattan Levees	May 6, 2024	Federal: \$29,455,000 Non-Federal: \$15,860,000 Total: \$45,315,000

5 (3) HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE RISK RE-
 6 DUCTION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. RI	Rhode Island Coastline Storm Risk Management	September 28, 2023	Federal: \$188,353,750 Non-Federal: \$101,421,250 Total: \$289,775,000

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
2. FL	St. Johns County, Ponte Vedra Beach, Coastal Storm Risk Management	April 18, 2024	Federal: \$49,223,000 Non-Federal: \$89,097,000 Total: \$138,320,000
3. LA	St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana Coastal Storm and Flood Risk Management	May 28, 2024	Federal: \$3,653,346,450 Non-Federal: \$2,240,881,550 Total: \$5,894,229,000
4. DC	Metropolitan Washington, District of Columbia, Coastal Storm Risk Management	June 17, 2024	Federal: \$9,899,500 Non-Federal: \$5,330,500 Total: \$15,230,000

1 (4) NAVIGATION AND HURRICANE AND STORM
2 DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. TX	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Brazoria and Matagorda Counties	June 2, 2023	Federal: \$204,244,000 Inland Waterways Trust Fund: \$109,977,000 Total: \$314,221,000

3 (5) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND AQUATIC
4 ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. MS	Memphis Metropolitan Stormwater–North DeSoto County	December 18, 2023	Federal: \$44,295,000 Non-Federal: \$23,851,000 Total: \$68,146,000

1 (6) MODIFICATIONS AND OTHER PROJECTS.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report or Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. NY	South Shore Staten Island, Fort Wadsworth to Oakwood Beach Coastal Storm Risk Management	February 6, 2024	Federal: \$1,730,973,900 Non-Federal: \$363,228,100 Total: \$2,094,202,000
2. MO	University City Branch, River Des Peres	February 9, 2024	Federal: \$9,094,000 Non-Federal: \$4,897,000 Total: \$13,990,000
3. AZ	Tres Rios, Arizona Ecosystem Restoration Project	May 28, 2024	Federal: \$213,433,000 Non-Federal: \$118,629,000 Total: \$332,062,000

2 **SEC. 402. FACILITY INVESTMENT.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (b), using
4 amounts available in the revolving fund established by the
5 first section of the Civil Functions Appropriations Act,
6 1954 (33 U.S.C. 576), and not otherwise obligated, the
7 Secretary may—

8 (1) design and construct an Operations and
9 Maintenance Building in Galveston, Texas, described

1 in the prospectus submitted to the Committee on
2 Environment and Public Works of the Senate and
3 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure
4 of the House of Representatives on May 22, 2024,
5 pursuant to subsection (c) of that section of that Act
6 (33 U.S.C. 576(c)), substantially in accordance with
7 the prospectus;

8 (2) design and construct a warehouse facility at
9 the Longview Lake Project, Lee's Summit, Missouri,
10 described in the prospectus submitted to the Com-
11 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the
12 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and
13 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives on
14 May 22, 2024, pursuant to subsection (c) of that
15 section of that Act (33 U.S.C. 576(c)), substantially
16 in accordance with the prospectus;

17 (3) design and construct facilities, including a
18 joint administration building, a maintenance build-
19 ing, and a covered boat house, at the Corpus Christi
20 Resident Office (Construction) and the Corpus
21 Christi Regulatory Field Office, Naval Air Station,
22 Corpus Christi, Texas, described in the prospectus
23 submitted to the Committee on Environment and
24 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on
25 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of

1 Representatives on June 6, 2024, pursuant to sub-
2 section (c) of that section of that Act (33 U.S.C.
3 576(c)), substantially in accordance with the pro-
4 spectus; and

5 (4) carry out such construction and infrastruc-
6 ture improvements as are required to support the fa-
7 cilities described in paragraphs (1) through (3), in-
8 cluding any necessary demolition of the existing in-
9 frastructure.

10 (b) REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out subsection (a),
11 the Secretary shall ensure that the revolving fund estab-
12 lished by the first section of the Civil Functions Appro-
13 priations Act, 1954 (33 U.S.C. 576), is appropriately re-
14 imbursed from funds appropriated for Corps of Engineers
15 programs that benefit from the facilities constructed
16 under this section.

Passed the Senate August 1, 2024.

Attest:

Secretary.

118TH CONGRESS
2^D SESSION

S. 4367

AN ACT

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.