

Calendar No. 678

118TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

S. 4062

[Report No. 118–275]

To establish a pilot program to assess the use of technology to speed up and enhance the cargo inspection process at land ports of entry along the border.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

MARCH 22, 2024

Mr. CORNYN (for himself and Ms. HASSAN) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

DECEMBER 9, 2024

Reported by Mr. PETERS, with an amendment

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italic]

A BILL

To establish a pilot program to assess the use of technology to speed up and enhance the cargo inspection process at land ports of entry along the border.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

1 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLES.**

2 This Act may be cited as the “Contraband Awareness
3 Technology Catches Harmful Fentanyl Act” or the
4 “CATCH Fentanyl Act”.

5 **SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.**

6 In this Act:

7 (1) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMIT-
8 TEES.—The term “appropriate congressional com-
9 mittees” means—

10 (A) the Committee on Homeland Security
11 and Governmental Affairs of the Senate; and

12 (B) the Committee on Homeland Security
13 of the House of Representatives.

14 (2) ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE; AI.—The terms
15 “artificial intelligence” and “AI” have the meaning
16 given the term “artificial intelligence” in section
17 238(g) of the John S. McCain National Defense Au-
18 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (Public Law
19 115-232, 10 U.S.C. 4061 note).

20 (3) CBP INNOVATION TEAM.—The term “CBP
21 Innovation Team” means the U.S. Customs and
22 Border Protection Innovation Team within the Of-
23 fice of the Commissioner.

24 (4) NONINTRUSIVE INSPECTION TECHNOLOGY;
25 NH TECHNOLOGY.—The terms “nonintrusive inspec-
26 tion technology” and “NH technology” means tech-

1 nical equipment and machines, such as X-ray or
2 gamma-ray imaging equipment, that allow cargo in-
3 spections without the need to open the means of
4 transport and unload the cargo.

5 (5) PLOT PROJECTS.—The term “pilot
6 projects” means the projects required under section
7 3(a) for testing and assessing the use of technologies
8 to improve the inspection process at land ports of
9 entry.

10 SEC. 3. PILOT PROJECTS ALLOWING ADDITIONAL TECH-
11 NOLOGY PROVIDERS TO PARTICIPATE IN IN-
12 SPECTING CARS, TRUCKS, AND CARGO CON-
13 TAINERS AT CERTAIN PORTS OF ENTRY.

14 (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Homeland Security, acting through CBP Innovation Team, and in coordination with the Office of Field Operations, shall begin the implementation of pilot projects for testing and assessing the use of technologies or technology enhancements to improve the process for inspecting, including by increasing efficiencies of such inspections, any conveyance or mode of transportation at land ports of entry along the borders of the United States. The technologies

1 or technology enhancements tested and assessed
2 under the pilot projects shall be for the purpose of
3 assisting U.S. Customs and Border Protection per-
4 sonnel to detect contraband, illegal drugs, illegal
5 weapons, and threats on inbound and outbound traf-
6 fice, in conjunction with the use of imaging equip-
7 ment, radiation portal monitors, and chemical detec-
8 tors.

9 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—

10 (A) IN GENERAL.—In implementing the
11 pilot projects at ports of entry, the CBP Inno-
12 vation Team shall test and collect data regard-
13 ing not fewer than 5 types of nonintrusive in-
14 spection technology enhancements that can be
15 deployed at land ports of entry. The CBP Inno-
16 vation Team shall test technology enhancements
17 from not fewer than 4 of the following cat-
18 egories:

- 19 (i) Artificial intelligence;
20 (ii) Machine learning;
21 (iii) High-performance computing;
22 (iv) Quantum information sciences, in-
23 cluding quantum sensing;
24 (v) Other emerging technology.

(B) IDENTIFICATION OF EFFECTIVE ENHANCEMENTS.—The pilot projects shall identify the most effective types of technology enhancements to improve the capabilities of nonintrusive inspection systems and other inspection systems used at land ports of entry based on—

(ii) the technology enhancement's ability to assist U.S. Customs and Border Protection accurately detect contraband, illegal drugs, illegal weapons, or threats in inbound and outbound traffic;

(ii) the technology enhancement's ability to increase efficiencies of inspections to assist U.S. Customs and Border Protection address long wait times;

(iii) the technology enhancement's ability to improve capabilities of aging detection equipment and infrastructure at land ports of entry;

(iv) the technology enhancement's safety relative to As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) standard practices;

(v) the expected cost of implementing the new technology;

(vi) the ability to integrate the new technology into the existing workflow and infrastructure;

(vii) the technology enhancement's ability to incorporate automatic threat recognition technology using standard formats and open architecture;

(viii) the mobility of technology enhancements; and

(ix) other performance measures identified by the CBP Innovation Team.

(C) PRIVATE SECTOR INVOLVEMENT.—The Innovation Team may solicit input from representatives of the private sector regarding commercially viable technologies.

22 (b) TERMINATION.—The pilot projects shall termi-
23 nate on the date that is 5 years after the date of the enact-
24 ment of this Act.

1 (e) REPORTS REQUIRED.—Not later than 3 years
2 after the date of the enactment of this Act, and 180 days
3 after the termination of the pilot projects pursuant to sub-
4 section (b), the Secretary of Homeland Security shall sub-
5 mit a report to the appropriate congressional committees
6 that contains—

7 (1) an analysis of the effectiveness of tech-
8 nology enhancements tested based on the require-
9 ments described in subsection (a)(2);

10 (2) any recommendations from the testing and
11 analysis concerning the ability to utilize such tech-
12 nologies at all land ports of entry;

13 (3) a plan to utilize new technologies that meet
14 the performance goals of the pilot projects across all
15 U.S. Customs and Border Protection land ports of
16 entry at the border, including total costs and a
17 breakdown of the costs of such plan, including any
18 infrastructure improvements that may be required to
19 accommodate recommended technology enhance-
20 ments; and

21 (4) the analysis described in subsection (d).

22 (d) AREAS OF ANALYSIS.—The report required under
23 subsection (e) shall include an analysis containing—

24 (1) quantitative measurements of performance
25 based on the requirements described in subsection

1 (a)(2) of each technology tested compared with the
2 status quo to reveal a broad picture of the perform-
3 ance of technologies and technology enhancements,
4 such as—

5 (A) the probability of detection, false alarm
6 rate, and throughput; and

7 (B) an analysis determining whether such
8 observed performance represents a significant
9 increase, decrease, or no change compared with
10 current systems;

11 (2) an assessment of the relative merits of each
12 such technology;

13 (3) any descriptive trends and patterns ob-
14 served; and

15 (4) performance measures for—

16 (A) the technology enhancement's ability to
17 assist with the detection of contraband on in-
18 bound and outbound traffic through automated
19 (primary) inspection by measuring and report-
20 ing the probability of detection and false alarm
21 rate for each NH system under operational con-
22 ditions;

23 (B) the throughput of cargo through each
24 NH system with a technology enhancement, in-

1 eluding a breakdown of the time needed for
2 U.S. Customs and Border Protection—
3 (i) to complete the image review pro-
4 cess and clear low-risk shipments; and
5 (ii) to complete additional inspections
6 of high-risk items;
7 (C) changes in U.S. Customs and Border
8 Protection officer time commitments and per-
9 sonnel needs to sustain high volume NH scan-
10 ning operations when technology enhancements
11 are utilized; and
12 (D) operational costs, including—
13 (i) estimated implementation costs for
14 each NH system with technology enhance-
15 ments; and
16 (ii) estimated cost savings due to im-
17 proved efficiency due to technology en-
18 hancements, if applicable.

19 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLES.**

20 *This Act may be cited as the “Contraband Awareness*
21 *Technology Catches Harmful Fentanyl Act” or the*
22 *“CATCH Fentanyl Act”.*

23 **SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.**

24 *In this Act:*

1 (1) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMIT-
2 TEES.—The term “appropriate congressional commit-
3 tees” means—

4 (A) the Committee on Homeland Security
5 and Governmental Affairs of the Senate; and
6 (B) the Committee on Homeland Security of
7 the House of Representatives.

8 (2) ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE; AI.—The terms
9 “artificial intelligence” and “AI” have the meaning
10 given the term “artificial intelligence” in section
11 238(g) of the John S. McCain National Defense Au-
12 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (Public Law
13 115–232; 10 U.S.C. 4061 note).

14 (3) CBP INNOVATION TEAM.—The term “CBP
15 Innovation Team” means the U.S. Customs and Bor-
16 der Protection Innovation Team within the Office of
17 the Commissioner.

18 (4) NONINTRUSIVE INSPECTION TECHNOLOGY; NII
19 TECHNOLOGY.—The terms “nonintrusive inspection
20 technology” and “NII technology” means technical
21 equipment and machines, such as X-ray or gamma-
22 ray imaging equipment, that allow cargo inspections
23 without the need to open the means of transport and
24 unload the cargo.

1 (5) *PILOT PROJECTS.*—The term “pilot projects”
2 means the projects required under section 3(a) for
3 testing and assessing the use of technologies to im-
4 prove the inspection process at land ports of entry.

5 **SEC. 3. PILOT PROJECTS ALLOWING ADDITIONAL TECH-**
6 **NOLOGY PROVIDERS TO PARTICIPATE IN IN-**
7 **SPECTING CARS, TRUCKS, AND CARGO CON-**
8 **TAINERS AT CERTAIN PORTS OF ENTRY.**

9 (a) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—

10 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 1 year after
11 the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of
12 Homeland Security, acting through CBP Innovation
13 Team, and in coordination with the Office of Field
14 Operations and the Department of Homeland Secu-
15 rity Science and Technology Directorate, shall begin
16 the implementation of pilot projects for testing and
17 assessing the use of technologies or technology en-
18 hancements to improve the process for inspecting, in-
19 cluding by increasing efficiencies of such inspections,
20 any conveyance or mode of transportation at land
21 ports of entry along the borders of the United States.
22 The technologies or technology enhancements tested
23 and assessed under the pilot projects shall be for the
24 purpose of assisting U.S. Customs and Border Protec-
25 tion personnel to detect contraband, illegal drugs, ille-

1 *gal weapons, human smuggling, and threats on in-*
2 *bound and outbound traffic, in conjunction with the*
3 *use of imaging equipment, radiation portal monitors,*
4 *and chemical detectors.*

5 (2) *REQUIREMENTS.—*

6 (A) *IN GENERAL.—In implementing the*
7 *pilot projects at ports of entry, the CBP Innova-*
8 *tion Team, in coordination with the Department*
9 *of Homeland Security Science and Technology*
10 *Direktorate, shall test and collect data regarding*
11 *not fewer than 5 types of nonintrusive inspection*
12 *technology enhancements that can be deployed at*
13 *land ports of entry. The CBP Innovation Team*
14 *shall test technology enhancements from not*
15 *fewer than 1 of the following categories:*

- 16 (i) *Artificial intelligence.*
17 (ii) *Machine learning.*
18 (iii) *High-performance computing.*
19 (iv) *Quantum information sciences, in-*
20 *cluding quantum sensing.*
21 (v) *Other emerging technologies.*

22 (B) *IDENTIFICATION OF EFFECTIVE EN-*
23 *HANCEMENTS.—The pilot projects shall identify*
24 *the most effective types of technology enhance-*
25 *ments to improve the capabilities of nonintrusive*

1 *inspection systems and other inspection systems*
2 *used at land ports of entry based on—*

3 (i) *the technology enhancement's ability to assist U.S. Customs and Border Protection accurately detect contraband, illegal drugs, illegal weapons, human smuggling, or threats in inbound and outbound traffic;*

8 (ii) *the technology enhancement's ability to increase efficiencies of inspections to assist U.S. Customs and Border Protection address long wait times;*

12 (iii) *the technology enhancement's ability to improve capabilities of aging detection equipment and infrastructure at land ports of entry;*

16 (iv) *the technology enhancement's safety relative to As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) standard practices;*

19 (v) *the ability to integrate the new technology into the existing workflow and infrastructure;*

22 (vi) *the technology enhancement's ability to incorporate automatic threat recognition technology using standard formats and open architecture;*

(D) COST EFFECTIVENESS REQUIREMENT.—

In identifying the most effective types of technology enhancements under subparagraph (B), the pilot projects shall prioritize solutions that demonstrate the highest cost-effectiveness in achievement the objectives described in clauses (i) through (ix) of subparagraph (B). Cost effectiveness shall account for improved detection capabilities, increased inspection efficiencies, reduced wait times, and total cost of implementation (including infrastructure upgrades and maintenance expenses).

1 *planning and developing the pilot projects required*
2 *under paragraph (1).8*

3 *(4) SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DIRECTORATE.—*
4 *The CBP Innovation Team shall work with the De-*
5 *partment of Homeland Security Science and Tech-*
6 *nology Directorate to align existing nonintrusive in-*
7 *spection research and development efforts within the*
8 *Science and Technology Directorate when planning*
9 *and developing pilot projects required under para-*
10 *graph (1).*

11 *(b) TERMINATION.—The pilot projects shall terminate*
12 *on the date that is 5 years after the date of the enactment*
13 *of this Act.*

14 *(c) REPORTS REQUIRED.—Not later than 3 years after*
15 *the date of the enactment of this Act, and 180 days after*
16 *the termination of the pilot projects pursuant to subsection*
17 *(b), the Secretary of Homeland Security shall submit a re-*
18 *port to the appropriate congressional committees that con-*
19 *tains—*

20 *(1) an analysis of the effectiveness of technology*
21 *enhancements tested based on the requirements de-*
22 *scribed in subsection (a)(2);*

23 *(2) any recommendations from the testing and*
24 *analysis concerning the ability to utilize such tech-*
25 *nologies at all land ports of entry;*

- 1 (3) a plan to utilize new technologies that meet
2 the performance goals of the pilot projects across all
3 U.S. Customs and Border Protection land ports of
4 entry at the border, including total costs and a break-
5 down of the costs of such plan, including any infra-
6 structure improvements that may be required to ac-
7 commodate recommended technology enhancements;
- 8 (4) a comprehensive list of existing technologies
9 owned and utilized by U.S. Customs and Border pro-
10 tection for cargo and vehicle inspection, including—
11 (A) details on the implementation status of
12 such technologies, such as whether the tech-
13 nologies have been fully installed and utilized, or
14 whether there are challenges with the installation
15 and utilization of the technology;
- 16 (B) an evaluation of the compatibility,
17 interoperability, and scalability of existing cargo
18 and vehicle inspection technologies within U.S.
19 Customs and Border Protection's physical and
20 information technology infrastructure; and
- 21 (C) identification of any obstacles to the ef-
22 fective deployment and integration of such tech-
23 nologies; and
- 24 (5) the analysis described in subsection (d).

1 (d) AREAS OF ANALYSIS.—The report required under
2 subsection (c) shall include an analysis containing—

3 (1) quantitative measurements of performance
4 based on the requirements described in subsection
5 (a)(2) of each technology tested compared with the
6 status quo to reveal a broad picture of the perform-
7 ance of technologies and technology enhancements,
8 such as—

9 (A) the probability of detection, false alarm
10 rate, and throughput; and

11 (B) an analysis determining whether such
12 observed performance represents a significant in-
13 crease, decrease, or no change compared with
14 current systems;

15 (2) an assessment of the relative merits of each
16 such technology;

17 (3) any descriptive trends and patterns observed;
18 and

19 (4) performance measures for—

20 (A) the technology enhancement's ability to
21 assist with the detection of contraband on in-
22 bound and outbound traffic through automated
23 (primary) inspection by measuring and report-
24 ing the probability of detection and false alarm

1 *rate for each NII system under operational con-*
2 *ditions;*

3 *(B) the throughput of cargo through each*
4 *NII system with a technology enhancement, in-*
5 *cluding a breakdown of the time needed for U.S.*
6 *Customs and Border Protection—*

7 *(i) to complete the image review proc-*
8 *ess and clear low-risk shipments; and*
9 *(ii) to complete additional inspections*
10 *of high-risk items;*

11 *(C) changes in U.S. Customs and Border*
12 *Protection officer time commitments and per-*
13 *sonnel needs to sustain high volume NII scan-*
14 *ning operations when technology enhancements*
15 *are utilized; and*

16 *(D) operational costs, including—*

17 *(i) estimated implementation costs for*
18 *each NII system with technology enhance-*
19 *ments; and*

20 *(ii) estimated cost savings due to im-*
21 *proved efficiency due to technology enhance-*
22 *ments, if applicable.*

23 *(e) PRIVACY AND CIVIL LIBERTIES REPORTS.—The*
24 *DHS Privacy Officer and Civil Rights and Civil Liberties*

1 Officer, in consultation with the CBP Innovation Team and
2 other appropriate CBP offices, shall—

3 (1) prior to the implementation of these tech-
4 nologies, provide—

5 (A) a report or reports to the appropriate
6 congressional committees on the potential pri-
7 vacy, civil liberties, and civil rights impacts of
8 technologies being tested under the pilot projects
9 pursuant to subsection (b); and

10 (B) recommendations for mitigation meas-
11 ures to address identified impacts; and

12 (2) not later than 180 days after the termination
13 of the pilot projects pursuant to subsection (b), pro-
14 vide—

15 (A) findings on the impacts to privacy, civil
16 rights, and civil liberties resulting from the pilot
17 projects;

18 (B) recommendations for mitigating these
19 impacts in implementation of approved tech-
20 nologies; and

21 (C) any additional recommendations based
22 on the lessons learned from the pilot projects.

Calendar No. 678

118TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION
S. 4062

[Report No. 118-275]

A BILL

To establish a pilot program to assess the use of technology to speed up and enhance the cargo inspection process at land ports of entry along the border.

DECEMBER 9, 2024

Reported with an amendment