

118TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 9720

To direct the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology to update the national vulnerability database to reflect vulnerabilities to artificial intelligence systems, study the need for voluntary reporting related to artificial intelligence security and safety incidents, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEPTEMBER 20, 2024

Ms. ROSS (for herself, Mr. OBERNOLTE, and Mr. BEYER) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology

A BILL

To direct the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology to update the national vulnerability database to reflect vulnerabilities to artificial intelligence systems, study the need for voluntary reporting related to artificial intelligence security and safety incidents, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “AI Incident Reporting
5 and Security Enhancement Act”.

1 **SEC. 2. ACTIVITIES TO SUPPORT VOLUNTARY VULNER-**
2 **ABILITY AND INCIDENT TRACKING ASSOCI-**
3 **ATED WITH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE.**

4 (a) UPDATE TO NATIONAL VULNERABILITY DATA-
5 BASE.—Subject to the availability of appropriations, the
6 Director of the National Institute of Standards and Tech-
7 nology, in coordination with industry stakeholders, stand-
8 ards development organizations, and appropriate Federal
9 agencies, as appropriate, shall carry out the following:

10 (1) Establish or identify common definitions
11 and any characteristics of artificial intelligence secu-
12 rity vulnerabilities that make utilization of the Na-
13 tional Vulnerability Database inappropriate for the
14 management of such vulnerabilities, and develop
15 processes and procedures for vulnerability manage-
16 ment of such vulnerabilities.

17 (2) Support the development of standards and
18 guidance for technical vulnerability management
19 processes related to artificial intelligence.

20 (3) Consistent with paragraphs (1) and (2), as
21 appropriate, initiate a process to update the Insti-
22 tute's processes and procedures associated with the
23 National Vulnerability Database to ensure such
24 Database and associated vulnerability management
25 processes incorporate artificial intelligence security
26 vulnerabilities to the greatest extent practicable.

1 (b) ASSESSING VOLUNTARY TRACKING OF SUBSTAN-
2 TIAL ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SECURITY AND SAFETY
3 INCIDENTS.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the availability of
5 appropriations, the Director of the National Insti-
6 tute of Standards and Technology, in consultation
7 with the Director of the Cybersecurity and Infra-
8 structure Security Agency of the Department of
9 Homeland Security, shall convene a multi-stake-
10 holder process to consider the development of a
11 process relating to the voluntary collection, report-
12 ing, and tracking of substantial artificial intelligence
13 security incidents and substantial artificial intel-
14 ligence safety incidents.

15 (2) ACTIVITIES.—In carrying out paragraph
16 (1), the Director of the National Institute of Stand-
17 ards and Technology shall convene appropriate rep-
18 resentatives of industry, academia, nonprofit organi-
19 zations, standards development organizations, civil
20 society groups, Sector Risk Management Agencies,
21 and appropriate Federal departments and agencies
22 to carry out the following:

23 (A) Establish common definitions and
24 characterizations for relevant aspects of sub-
25 stantial artificial intelligence security incidents

1 and substantial artificial intelligence safety inci-
2 dents, which may include the following:

3 (i) Classifications that sufficiently dif-
4 ferentiate between the following:

5 (I) Artificial intelligence security
6 incidents.

7 (II) Artificial intelligence safety
8 incidents.

9 (ii) Taxonomies to classify incidents
10 referred to in clause (i) based on relevant
11 characteristics, impacts, or other appro-
12 priate criteria.

13 (B) Assess the usefulness and cost-effec-
14 tiveness of an effort to voluntarily track sub-
15 stantial artificial intelligence security incidents
16 and substantial artificial intelligence safety inci-
17 dents.

18 (C) Identify and provide guidelines, best
19 practices, methodologies, procedures, and proc-
20 esses for tracking and reporting substantial ar-
21 tificial intelligence security incidents and sub-
22 stantial artificial intelligence safety incidents
23 across different sectors and use cases.

24 (D) Support the development of standard-
25 ized reporting and documentation mechanisms,

1 including automated mechanisms, that would
2 help provide information, including public infor-
3 mation, regarding substantial artificial intel-
4 ligence security incidents and substantial artifi-
5 cial intelligence safety incidents.

6 (E) Support the development of norms for
7 reporting of substantial artificial intelligence se-
8 curity incidents and substantial artificial intel-
9 ligence safety incidents, taking into account
10 when it is appropriate to publicly disclose such
11 incidents.

12 (3) REPORT.—Not later than three years after
13 the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director
14 of the National Institute of Standards and Tech-
15 nology shall submit to Congress a report on a proc-
16 ess relating to the voluntary collection, reporting,
17 and tracking of substantial artificial intelligence se-
18 curity incidents and substantial artificial intelligence
19 safety incidents under paragraph (1). Such report
20 shall include the following:

21 (A) Findings from the multi-stakeholder
22 process referred to in such paragraph.

23 (B) An assessment of and recommenda-
24 tions for establishing reporting and collection
25 mechanisms by which industry, academia, non-

1 profit organizations, standards development or-
2 ganizations, civil society groups, and appro-
3 priate public sector entities may voluntarily
4 share standardized information regarding sub-
5 stantial artificial intelligence security incidents
6 and substantial artificial intelligence safety inci-
7 dents;

8 (c) LIMITATION.—Nothing in this section provides
9 the Director of the National Institute of Standards and
10 Technology with any enforcement authority that was not
11 in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of
12 this section.

13 (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

14 (1) ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE.—The term “ar-
15 tificial intelligence” has the meaning given such
16 term in section 5002 of the National Artificial Intel-
17 ligence Initiative Act of 2020 (15 U.S.C. 9401).

18 (2) ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SECURITY VUL-
19 NERABILITY.—The term “artificial intelligence secu-
20 rity vulnerability” means a weakness in an artificial
21 intelligence system, system security procedures, in-
22 ternal controls, or implementation that could be ex-
23 ploited or triggered by a threat source.

24 (3) ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM.—The
25 term “artificial intelligence system” has the meaning

1 given such term in section 7223 of the Advancing
2 American AI Act (40 U.S.C. 11301 note; as enacted
3 as part of title LXXII of division G of the James
4 M. Inhofe National Defense Authorization Act for
5 Fiscal Year 2023; Public Law 117–263).

6 (4) SECTOR RISK MANAGEMENT AGENCY.—The
7 term “Sector Risk Management Agency” has the
8 meaning given such term in section 2200 of the
9 Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 650).

10 (5) THREAT SOURCE.—The term “threat
11 source” means any of the following:

12 (A) An intent and method targeted at the
13 intentional exploitation of a vulnerability.

14 (B) A situation and method that may acci-
15 dentally trigger a vulnerability.

○