

118TH CONGRESS  
2D SESSION

# H. R. 8812

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 25, 2024

Mr. GRAVES of Missouri (for himself, Mr. LARSEN of Washington, Mr. ROUZER, and Mrs. NAPOLITANO) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

---

## A BILL

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

4 (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the  
5 “Water Resources Development Act of 2024”.

6 (b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for  
7 this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Secretary defined.

#### TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

- Sec. 101. Continuing authority programs.
- Sec. 102. Community project advisor.
- Sec. 103. Minimum real estate interest.
- Sec. 104. Study of water resources development projects by non-Federal interests.
- Sec. 105. Construction of water resources development projects by non-Federal interests.
- Sec. 106. Review process.
- Sec. 107. Electronic submission and tracking of permit applications.
- Sec. 108. Vertical integration and acceleration of studies.
- Sec. 109. Systemwide improvement framework and encroachments.
- Sec. 110. Fish and wildlife mitigation.
- Sec. 111. Harbor deepening.
- Sec. 112. Emerging harbors.
- Sec. 113. Remote and subsistence harbors.
- Sec. 114. Additional projects for underserved community harbors.
- Sec. 115. Inland waterways regional dredge pilot program.
- Sec. 116. Dredged material disposal facility partnerships.
- Sec. 117. Maximization of beneficial use.
- Sec. 118. Economic, hydraulic, and hydrologic modeling.
- Sec. 119. Forecast-informed reservoir operations.
- Sec. 120. Updates to certain water control manuals.
- Sec. 121. Water supply mission.
- Sec. 122. Real estate administrative fees.
- Sec. 123. Challenge cost-sharing program for management of recreation facilities.
- Sec. 124. Retention of recreation fees.
- Sec. 125. Databases of Corps recreational sites.
- Sec. 126. Services of volunteers.
- Sec. 127. Non-recreation outgrant policy.
- Sec. 128. National inventory of dams and low-head dams.
- Sec. 129. Rehabilitation of Corps of Engineers constructed dams.
- Sec. 130. Treatment of projects in covered communities.
- Sec. 131. Ability to pay.
- Sec. 132. Tribal partnership program.
- Sec. 133. Funding to process permits.
- Sec. 134. Project studies subject to independent external peer review.
- Sec. 135. Control of aquatic plant growths and invasive species.
- Sec. 136. Remote operations at Corps dams.
- Sec. 137. Harmful algal bloom demonstration program.
- Sec. 138. Support of Army civil works missions.
- Sec. 139. National coastal mapping program.
- Sec. 140. Watershed and river basin assessments.
- Sec. 141. Removal of abandoned vessels.
- Sec. 142. Corrosion prevention.
- Sec. 143. Missouri River existing features protection.
- Sec. 144. Federal breakwaters and jetties.
- Sec. 145. Temporary relocation assistance pilot program.
- Sec. 146. Easements for hurricane and storm damage reduction projects.
- Sec. 147. Shoreline and riverine protection and restoration.
- Sec. 148. Sense of Congress related to water data.

- Sec. 149. Sense of Congress relating to comprehensive benefits.
- Sec. 150. Reporting and oversight.

#### TITLE II—STUDIES AND REPORTS

- Sec. 201. Authorization of proposed feasibility studies.
- Sec. 202. Expedited completion.
- Sec. 203. Expedited modification of existing feasibility studies.
- Sec. 204. Corps of Engineers reports.
- Sec. 205. GAO studies.
- Sec. 206. Annual report on harbor maintenance needs and trust fund expenditures.
- Sec. 207. Examination of reduction of microplastics.
- Sec. 208. Post-disaster watershed assessment for impacted areas.
- Sec. 209. Upper Barataria Basin and Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico Connection, Louisiana.
- Sec. 210. Upper Mississippi River System Flood Risk and Resiliency Study.
- Sec. 211. New Jersey hot spot erosion mitigation.
- Sec. 212. Oceanside, California.
- Sec. 213. Coastal Washington.
- Sec. 214. Cherryfield Dam, Narraguagus River, Maine.
- Sec. 215. Poor Farm Pond Dam, Worcester, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 216. National Academy of Sciences study on Upper Rio Grande Basin.
- Sec. 217. Chambers, Galveston, and Harris Counties, Texas.
- Sec. 218. Sea sparrow accounting.

#### TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS AND MODIFICATIONS

- Sec. 301. Deauthorization of inactive projects.
- Sec. 302. General reauthorizations.
- Sec. 303. Conveyances.
- Sec. 304. Lakes program.
- Sec. 305. Maintenance of navigation channels.
- Sec. 306. Asset divestiture.
- Sec. 307. Upper Mississippi River restoration program.
- Sec. 308. Coastal community flood control and other purposes.
- Sec. 309. Shore protection and restoration.
- Sec. 310. Hopper dredge McFarland replacement.
- Sec. 311. Acequias irrigation systems.
- Sec. 312. Pacific region.
- Sec. 313. Selma, Alabama.
- Sec. 314. Barrow, Alaska.
- Sec. 315. San Francisco Bay, California.
- Sec. 316. Santa Ana River Mainstem, California.
- Sec. 317. Faulkner Island, Connecticut.
- Sec. 318. Broadkill Beach, Delaware.
- Sec. 319. Federal Triangle Area, Washington, District of Columbia.
- Sec. 320. Washington Aqueduct.
- Sec. 321. Washington Metropolitan Area, Washington, District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia.
- Sec. 322. Northern estuaries ecosystem restoration, Florida.
- Sec. 323. Chicago shoreline protection, Illinois.
- Sec. 324. Dillard Road, Patoka Lake, Indiana.
- Sec. 325. Port Fourchon Belle Pass Channel, Louisiana.
- Sec. 326. Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and Dam, Minnesota.
- Sec. 327. Missouri River levee system, Missouri.

- Sec. 328. Table Rock Lake, Missouri and Arkansas.  
 Sec. 329. Missouri River mitigation, Missouri, Kansas, Iowa, and Nebraska.  
 Sec. 330. New York and New Jersey Harbor and Tributaries, New York and New Jersey.  
 Sec. 331. Western Lake Erie basin, Ohio, Indiana, and Michigan.  
 Sec. 332. Willamette Valley, Oregon.  
 Sec. 333. Columbia River Channel, Oregon and Washington.  
 Sec. 334. Buffalo Bayou Tributaries and Resiliency study, Texas.  
 Sec. 335. Matagorda Ship Channel Jetty Deficiency, Port Lavaca, Texas.  
 Sec. 336. San Antonio Channel, San Antonio, Texas.  
 Sec. 337. Western Washington State, Washington.  
 Sec. 338. Environmental infrastructure.  
 Sec. 339. Specific deauthorizations.

#### TITLE IV—WATER RESOURCES INFRASTRUCTURE

- Sec. 401. Project authorizations.  
 Sec. 402. Facility investment.

### 1 **SEC. 2. SECRETARY DEFINED.**

2       In this Act, the term “Secretary” means the Sec-  
 3 retary of the Army.

## 4 **TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

### 5 **SEC. 101. CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAMS.**

6       (a) PILOT PROGRAM FOR ALTERNATIVE PROJECT  
 7 DELIVERY FOR CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAM  
 8 PROJECTS.—

9           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days  
 10 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
 11 retary shall implement a pilot program, in accord-  
 12 ance with this subsection, allowing a non-Federal in-  
 13 terest or the Secretary to carry out a project under  
 14 a continuing authority program through the use of  
 15 an alternative delivery method.

16           (2) CONSISTENCY.—The Secretary shall imple-  
 17 ment the pilot program under this subsection

1 through a single office, which shall be headed by a  
2 Director.

3 (3) PARTICIPATION IN PILOT PROGRAM.—In  
4 carrying out paragraph (1), the Director shall—

5 (A) solicit project proposals from non-Fed-  
6 eral interests by posting program information  
7 on a public-facing website and reaching out to  
8 non-Federal interests that have previously sub-  
9 mitted project requests to the Secretary;

10 (B) review such proposals and select  
11 projects, taking into consideration geographic  
12 diversity among the selected projects and the  
13 alternative delivery methods used for the se-  
14 lected projects; and

15 (C) notify the Committee on Transpor-  
16 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-  
17 resentatives and the Committee on Environ-  
18 ment and Public Works of the Senate of each  
19 project selected under subparagraph (B), in-  
20 cluding—

21 (i) identification of the project name,  
22 type, and location, and the associated non-  
23 Federal interest;

1                   (ii) a description of the type of alter-  
2                   native delivery method being used to carry  
3                   out the project; and

4                   (iii) a description of how the project  
5                   meets the authorized purposes and require-  
6                   ments of the applicable continuing author-  
7                   ity program.

8                   (4) COST SHARE.—The Federal and non-Fed-  
9                   eral shares of the cost of a project carried out pur-  
10                  suant to this subsection shall be consistent with the  
11                  cost share requirements of the applicable continuing  
12                  authority program.

13                  (5) MODIFICATIONS TO PROCESSES.—With re-  
14                  spect to a project selected under paragraph (3), the  
15                  Secretary shall—

16                   (A) allow the non-Federal interest to con-  
17                   tribute more than the non-Federal share of the  
18                   project required under the applicable continuing  
19                   authority program;

20                   (B) allow the use of return on Federal in-  
21                   vestment as an alternative to benefit-cost anal-  
22                   ysis;

23                   (C) allow the use of a real estate acquisi-  
24                   tion audit process to replace existing crediting,

1 oversight, and review processes and procedures;  
2 and

3 (D) notwithstanding any otherwise applica-  
4 ble requirement of a continuing authority pro-  
5 gram, allow the use of a single contract with  
6 the non-Federal interest that incorporates the  
7 feasibility and construction phases, and may  
8 also include the operations and maintenance of  
9 the project.

10 (6) CREDIT OR REIMBURSEMENT.—

11 (A) IN GENERAL.—A project selected  
12 under paragraph (3) that is carried out by a  
13 non-Federal interest pursuant to this subsection  
14 shall be eligible for credit or reimbursement for  
15 the Federal share of the cost of the project if,  
16 before initiation of construction of the project—

17 (i) the non-Federal interest enters  
18 into a written agreement with the Sec-  
19 retary under section 221 of the Flood Con-  
20 trol Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), in-  
21 cluding an agreement to pay the non-Fed-  
22 eral share of the cost of operation and  
23 maintenance of the project, consistent with  
24 the applicable continuing authority pro-  
25 gram; and

1 (ii) the Director—

2 (I) reviews the plans for con-  
3 struction of the project developed by  
4 the non-Federal interest;

5 (II) determines that the project  
6 meets the requirements of the applica-  
7 ble continuing authority program;

8 (III) determines that the project  
9 outputs are consistent with the project  
10 scope;

11 (IV) determines that the plans  
12 comply with applicable Federal laws  
13 and regulations; and

14 (V) verifies that the construction  
15 documents, including supporting in-  
16 formation, have been signed by an  
17 Engineer of Record.

18 (B) APPLICATION OF CREDIT.—With re-  
19 spect to a project selected under paragraph (3),  
20 the Secretary may only apply credit under sub-  
21 paragraph (A) toward the non-Federal share of  
22 that project.

23 (C) APPLICATION OF REIMBURSEMENT.—  
24 The Secretary may only provide reimbursement



1 under subparagraph (A) if the Director certifies  
2 that—

3 (i) the non-Federal interest has obli-  
4 gated funds for the cost of the project se-  
5 lected under paragraph (3) and has re-  
6 quested reimbursement of the Federal  
7 share of the cost of the project; and

8 (ii) the project has been constructed  
9 in accordance with—

10 (I) all applicable permits or ap-  
11 provals; and

12 (II) the requirements of this sub-  
13 section.

14 (D) MONITORING.—The Director shall reg-  
15 ularly monitor and audit any project con-  
16 structed by a non-Federal interest pursuant to  
17 this subsection to ensure that—

18 (i) the construction is carried out in  
19 compliance with the requirements of this  
20 subsection; and

21 (ii) the costs of construction are rea-  
22 sonable.

23 (7) EVALUATIONS AND REPORTING.—The Di-  
24 rector shall annually submit to the Committee on  
25 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of

1 Representatives and the Committee on Environment  
2 and Public Works of the Senate a report on the  
3 progress and outcomes of projects carried out pursu-  
4 ant to this subsection, including—

5 (A) an assessment of whether the use of  
6 alternative delivery methods has resulted in cost  
7 savings or time efficiencies; and

8 (B) identification of changes to laws or  
9 policies needed in order to implement more  
10 projects using alternative delivery methods.

11 (8) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

12 (A) ALTERNATIVE DELIVERY METHOD.—  
13 The term “alternative delivery method” means  
14 a project delivery method that is not the tradi-  
15 tional design-bid-build method, including pro-  
16 gressive design-build, public-private partner-  
17 ships, and construction manager at risk.

18 (B) CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAM.—  
19 The term “continuing authority program” has  
20 the meaning given that term in the section  
21 7001(c)(1)(D) of Water Resources Reform and  
22 Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d).

23 (C) DIRECTOR.—The term “Director”  
24 means the Director of the office through which

1 the Secretary is implementing the pilot program  
2 under this subsection.

3 (D) RETURN ON FEDERAL INVESTMENT.—

4 The term “return on Federal investment”  
5 means, with respect to Federal investment in a  
6 water resources development project, the eco-  
7 nomic return on the investment for the Federal  
8 government, taking into consideration quali-  
9 tative returns for any anticipated life safety,  
10 risk reduction, economic growth, environmental,  
11 and social benefits accruing as a result of the  
12 investment.

13 (9) SUNSET.—The authority to commence pur-  
14 suant to this subsection a project selected under  
15 paragraph (3) shall terminate on the date that is 10  
16 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

17 (10) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

18 There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out  
19 this subsection \$50,000,000 for each fiscal year.

20 (b) MODIFICATIONS TO CONTINUING AUTHORITY  
21 PROGRAMS.—

22 (1) DELEGATION OF DECISION-MAKING AU-  
23 THORITY.—

24 (A) IN GENERAL.—Except with respect to  
25 a project carried out pursuant to subsection (a),

1 the Secretary shall delegate decision-making au-  
2 thority and review of projects under a con-  
3 tinuing authority program to the District Com-  
4 mander of the district of the Corps of Engi-  
5 neers in which the project is located.

6 (B) SCOPE OF AUTHORITY.—Authority  
7 delegated under subparagraph (A) shall include  
8 authority related to the approval of project ini-  
9 tiation, allocation of funds within statutory lim-  
10 its, and oversight of project implementation.

11 (2) PROCEDURE FOR EXTENDING COST LIM-  
12 ITS.—

13 (A) INITIAL DETERMINATION.—If, during  
14 the pre-construction phase of a project under a  
15 continuing authority program, the total Federal  
16 costs of the project are projected to exceed the  
17 established Federal per-project limit, the Dis-  
18 trict Commander to whom authority has been  
19 delegated under paragraph (1) with respect to  
20 the project shall conduct an assessment to de-  
21 termine whether the project can continue to be  
22 carried out with a revised scope.

23 (B) TRANSITION TO NEW FEASIBILITY  
24 STUDY CASE 1.—If the District Commander de-  
25 termines under subparagraph (A) that a project

1 cannot continue to be carried out with a revised  
2 scope within the existing authority for the  
3 project, and the cost of completing the project  
4 is not projected to exceed twice the applicable  
5 established per-project limit—

6 (i) the project may be considered a  
7 new feasibility study and shall be  
8 prioritized for investigation funds from the  
9 Secretary to minimize starts and stops on  
10 project implementation; and

11 (ii) such transition to a new feasibility  
12 study shall require approval from the Sec-  
13 retary and shall include a notification to  
14 Congress.

15 (C) TRANSITION TO NEW FEASIBILITY  
16 STUDY CASE 2.—If the District Commander de-  
17 termines under subparagraph (A) that a project  
18 cannot continue to be carried out with a revised  
19 scope within the existing authority for the  
20 project, and the cost of completing the project  
21 is projected to exceed twice the applicable es-  
22 tablished per-project limit, the project may only  
23 continue as a feasibility study subject to the re-  
24 quirements of section 105 of the Water Re-

1 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.  
2 2215).

3 (D) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—A project carried  
4 out pursuant to subparagraph (B) shall not  
5 count towards the annual program funding au-  
6 thorization limits for the applicable continuing  
7 authority program.

8 (3) CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAM DE-  
9 FINED.—In this subsection, the term “continuing  
10 authority program” has the meaning given that term  
11 in the section 7001(c)(1)(D) of Water Resources Re-  
12 form and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.  
13 2282d).

14 (c) EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE  
15 PROTECTION.—Section 14 of the Flood Control Act of  
16 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r) is amended by striking  
17 “\$25,000,000” and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

18 (d) STORM AND HURRICANE RESTORATION AND IM-  
19 PACT MINIMIZATION PROGRAM.—Section 3(c) of the Act  
20 of August 13, 1946 (33 U.S.C. 426g(c)) is amended—

21 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking  
22 “\$37,500,000” and inserting “\$62,500,000”; and

23 (2) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking  
24 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$12,500,000”.

1 (e) SMALL RIVER AND HARBOR IMPROVEMENT  
2 PROJECTS.—Section 107(b) of the River and Harbor Act  
3 of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577(b)) is amended by striking  
4 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$12,500,000”.

5 (f) AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.—Section  
6 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33  
7 U.S.C. 2330) is amended—

8 (1) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the  
9 following:

10 “(3) ANADROMOUS FISH.—Notwithstanding  
11 paragraph (1), for projects carried out under sub-  
12 section (a)(3), the non-Federal interest shall provide  
13 15 percent of the cost of construction, including pro-  
14 vision of all lands, easements, rights-of-way, and  
15 necessary relocations.”; and

16 (2) in subsection (d), by striking  
17 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

18 (g) REMOVAL OF OBSTRUCTIONS; CLEARING CHAN-  
19 NELS.—Section 2 of the Act of August 28, 1937 (33  
20 U.S.C. 701g) is amended by striking “\$500,000” and in-  
21 serting “\$1,000,000”.

22 (h) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF  
23 ENVIRONMENT OR DROUGHT RESILIENCY.—Section 1135  
24 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
25 U.S.C. 2309a) is amended—

1 (1) in the section heading, by inserting “**OR**  
2 **DROUGHT RESILIENCY**” after “**ENVIRONMENT**”;

3 (2) in subsection (a)—

4 (A) by striking “for the purpose of improv-  
5 ing” and inserting “for the purpose of—

6 “(1) improving”;

7 (B) by striking the period at the end and  
8 inserting “; or”; and

9 (C) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(2) providing drought resiliency.”;

11 (3) in subsection (b), by striking “(2) will im-  
12 prove” and inserting “(2) will provide for drought  
13 resilience or will improve”;

14 (4) in subsection (d), by striking  
15 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$12,500,000”;

16 (5) in subsection (h), by striking  
17 “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$62,000,000”; and

18 (6) by adding at the end the following:

19 “(j) **DROUGHT RESILIENCE**.—Drought resilience  
20 measures carried out under this section may include—

21 “(1) water conservation measures to mitigate  
22 and address drought conditions;

23 “(2) removal of sediment captured behind a  
24 dam for the purpose of restoring or increasing the  
25 authorized storage capacity of the project concerned;



1           “(3) the planting of native plant species that  
2 will reduce the risk of drought and the incidence of  
3 non-native species; and

4           “(4) other actions that increase drought resil-  
5 ience, water conservation, or water availability.”.

6           (i) **SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.**—

7           (1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 205 of the Flood  
8 Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s) is amended  
9 to read as follows:

10 **“SEC. 205. SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.**

11           “(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall carry out a  
12 program for the implementation, in partnership with non-  
13 Federal interests, of small structural or nonstructural  
14 projects for flood risk management, stormwater manage-  
15 ment, and related purposes not specifically authorized by  
16 Congress when in the opinion of the Chief of Engineers  
17 such work is advisable.

18           “(b) **COST SHARE.**—

19           “(1) **FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND**  
20 **STORMWATER PURPOSES.**—

21           “(A) **NON-FEDERAL SHARE.**—The non-  
22 Federal share for a project implemented under  
23 this section of the costs assigned to purposes  
24 described in subsection (a) shall be 35 percent.

1           “(B) REQUIREMENT.—The non-Federal  
2           interest for a project implemented under this  
3           section shall pay 5 percent of the costs assigned  
4           to purposes described in subsection (a) during  
5           construction of the project.

6           “(2) OTHER PURPOSES.—The non-Federal  
7           share for a project implemented under this section  
8           of the costs assigned to purposes not described in  
9           subsection (a) shall be consistent with the cost share  
10          requirements of section 103 of the Water Resources  
11          Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213).

12          “(3) LANDS.—The non-Federal interest for a  
13          project implemented under this section shall provide  
14          all lands, easements, rights-of-way, dredged material  
15          disposal areas, and perform all related necessary re-  
16          locations.

17          “(c) AGREEMENTS.—Construction of a project under  
18          this section shall be initiated only after a non-Federal in-  
19          terest has entered into an agreement with the Secretary  
20          to pay—

21                 “(1) the non-Federal share of the costs of con-  
22                 struction required by this section; and

23                 “(2) 100 percent of any operation, mainte-  
24                 nance, replacement, and rehabilitation costs associ-

1           ated with the project in accordance with regulations  
2           prescribed by the Secretary.

3           “(d) COMPLETENESS.—A project implemented under  
4 this section shall be complete in itself and shall not commit  
5 the United States to any additional improvement for the  
6 successful operation of the project.

7           “(e) FLEXIBILITY IN PROJECT DESIGN AND IMPLE-  
8 MENTATION.—The Secretary is authorized to, in coordina-  
9 tion with the non-Federal interest for a project imple-  
10 mented under this section, incorporate natural features  
11 and nature-based features, water reuse and recycling prac-  
12 tices, and other innovative stormwater management prac-  
13 tices and techniques, including green infrastructure, per-  
14 meable pavements, rain gardens, and retention basins into  
15 the project.

16           “(f) CONSIDERATION.—In implementing a project  
17 under this section, the Secretary shall, where appropriate,  
18 examine opportunities to include features for the reclama-  
19 tion, treatment, and reuse of flood water and stormwater  
20 associated with the project that will not result in—

21                   “(1) a determination that the project is not eco-  
22                   nomicallly justified; or

23                   “(2) the limitation described in subsection  
24                   (h)(1) conflicting with the required Federal share of  
25                   the cost of the project.

1       “(g) STORMWATER-RELATED PROJECTS.—For any  
2 project for stormwater management implemented under  
3 this section, the Secretary shall include management of  
4 stormwater that flows at a rate of less than 800 cubic  
5 feet per second for the 10-percent flood.

6       “(h) FUNDING.—

7               “(1) LIMITATION.—Not more than \$15,000,000  
8 in Federal funds may be allocated under this section  
9 for a single project within a single specific geo-  
10 graphic area, such as a city, town, or county.

11              “(2) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—  
12 There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out  
13 this section \$90,000,000 for each fiscal year.”.

14              “(2) EFFECT ON EXISTING AGREEMENTS.—  
15 Nothing in the amendment made by this subsection  
16 shall affect any agreement in effect on the date of  
17 enactment of this Act under section 205 of the  
18 Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s), except  
19 that, upon request by the non-Federal interest for  
20 the project that is the subject of such an agreement,  
21 the Secretary and the non-Federal interest may  
22 modify the agreement to reflect the requirements of  
23 such section 205, as so amended.

1 (j) COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION PROGRAM.—Sec-  
2 tion 165(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
3 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note) is amended—

4 (1) by striking the subsection heading and in-  
5 serting “COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION PROGRAM”;

6 (2) in paragraph (1), by striking “pilot pro-  
7 gram” and inserting “program”;

8 (3) in paragraph (2)—

9 (A) by amending subparagraph (A) to read  
10 as follows:

11 “(A) solicit project proposals from non-  
12 Federal interests by posting program informa-  
13 tion on a public-facing website and reaching out  
14 to non-Federal interests that have previously  
15 submitted project requests to the Secretary;  
16 and”;

17 (B) in subparagraph (B), by striking “a  
18 total of 20 projects” and inserting “projects”;

19 (4) by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the  
20 following:

21 “(4) PRIORITY PROJECTS.—In carrying out this  
22 subsection, the Secretary shall prioritize the fol-  
23 lowing projects:

1           “(A) Projects located in coastal commu-  
2           nities in western Alaska impacted by Typhoon  
3           Merbok.

4           “(B) The Hatch Dam project, Arizona,  
5           carried out pursuant to section 205 of the  
6           Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

7           “(C) Projects located in Guam.”; and  
8           (5) by adding at the end the following:

9           “(6) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—  
10          There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out  
11          this subsection \$50,000,000 for each fiscal year.”.

12 **SEC. 102. COMMUNITY PROJECT ADVISOR.**

13          (a) COMMUNITY PROJECT ADVISOR.—Not later than  
14 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
15 retary shall establish a single office to assist non-Federal  
16 interests in accessing Federal resources related to water  
17 resources development projects, which shall be headed by  
18 a community project advisor appointed by the Secretary.

19          (b) RESPONSIBILITIES.—The community project ad-  
20 visor appointed under this section shall—

21               (1) provide guidance to potential non-Federal  
22               interests on accessing programs, services, and other  
23               assistance made available by the Corps of Engineers  
24               relating to water resources development projects, in-  
25               cluding under—

1 (A) continuing authority programs (as  
2 such term is defined in section 7001(e)(1)(D) of  
3 the Water Resources Reform and Development  
4 Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d));

5 (B) section 14 of the Act of March 3, 1899  
6 (33 U.S.C. 408);

7 (C) section 206 of the Flood Control Act  
8 of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 709a);

9 (D) section 22 of the Water Resources De-  
10 velopment Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–16);

11 (E) section 203 of the Water Resources  
12 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231);

13 (F) section 204 of the Water Resources  
14 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2232);

15 (G) section 203 of the Water Resources  
16 Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269);

17 (H) section 5014 of the Water Resources  
18 Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33  
19 U.S.C. 2201 note); and

20 (I) the Water Infrastructure Finance and  
21 Innovation Act (33 U.S.C. 3901 et seq.);

22 (2) conduct outreach and workshops for poten-  
23 tial non-Federal interests to provide information on  
24 such assistance, including processes for accessing  
25 such assistance; and

1           (3) identify programs, services, and other as-  
2           sistance made available by other Federal and State  
3           agencies relating to water resources development  
4           projects for purposes of advising potential non-Fed-  
5           eral interests on the best available applicable assist-  
6           ance.

7           (c) PRIORITIZATION.—In carrying out activities  
8           under this section, to the maximum extent practicable, the  
9           community project advisor shall prioritize providing assist-  
10          ance with respect to water resources development projects  
11          that will benefit a rural community, a small community,  
12          or a community described in the guidance issued by the  
13          Secretary under section 160 of the Water Resources De-  
14          velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note).

15          (d) ELECTRONIC PORTAL.—

16               (1) DEVELOPMENT.—In carrying out this sec-  
17               tion, the Secretary shall develop an online, inter-  
18               active portal that—

19                       (A) contains information relating to the as-  
20                       sistance described in subsection (b); and

21                       (B) can be used by a potential non-Federal  
22                       interest as a succinct guide to accessing such  
23                       assistance based on the applicable potential  
24                       water resources development project.



1           (2) AVAILABILITY.—The Secretary shall ensure  
2           that the portal developed under paragraph (1) is  
3           made available in a prominent location on the pub-  
4           lic-facing website of the headquarters of the Corps  
5           of Engineers and of each district and division of the  
6           Corps of Engineers.

7           (e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
8           authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
9           \$10,000,000 for each fiscal year.

10 **SEC. 103. MINIMUM REAL ESTATE INTEREST.**

11           (a) REAL ESTATE PLAN.—The Secretary shall pro-  
12           vide to the non-Federal interest for an authorized water  
13           resources development project a real estate plan for the  
14           project that includes a description of the real estate inter-  
15           ests required for construction, operation and maintenance,  
16           repair, rehabilitation, or replacement of the project, in-  
17           cluding any specific details and legal requirements nec-  
18           essary for implementation of the project.

19           (b) IDENTIFICATION OF MINIMUM INTEREST.—

20           (1) IN GENERAL.—For each authorized water  
21           resources development project for which an interest  
22           in real property is required for any applicable con-  
23           struction, operation and maintenance, repair, reha-  
24           bilitation, or replacement, the Secretary shall iden-

1       tify the minimum interest in the property necessary  
2       to carry out the applicable activity.

3               (2) DETERMINATION.—In carrying out para-  
4       graph (1), the Secretary shall identify an interest  
5       that is less than fee simple title in cases where the  
6       Secretary determines that—

7                       (A) such an interest is sufficient for con-  
8                       struction, operation and maintenance, repair,  
9                       rehabilitation, and replacement of the applicable  
10                      project; and

11                     (B) the non-Federal interest cannot legally  
12                     make available to the Secretary an interest in  
13                     fee simple title for purposes of the project.

14       (c) REQUIREMENT.—The non-Federal interest for an  
15       authorized water resources development project shall pro-  
16       vide for the project an interest in the applicable real prop-  
17       erty that is the minimum interest identified under sub-  
18       section (b).

19       (d) ANNUAL REPORT.—The Secretary shall annually  
20       submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-  
21       structure of the House of Representatives and the Com-  
22       mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate  
23       a report containing—

24                     (1) a summary of all instances in which the  
25       Secretary identified under subsection (b) fee simple

1 title as the minimum interest necessary with respect  
2 to an activity for which the non-Federal interest re-  
3 quested the use of an interest less than fee simple  
4 title; and

5 (2) with respect to each such instance, a de-  
6 scription of the legal requirements that resulted in  
7 identifying fee simple title as the minimum interest.

8 (e) **EXISTING AGREEMENTS.**—At the request of a  
9 non-Federal interest, an agreement entered into under  
10 section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
11 1962d-5b) between the Secretary and the non-Federal in-  
12 terest before the date of enactment of this Act may be  
13 amended to reflect the requirements of this section.

14 **SEC. 104. STUDY OF WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT**  
15 **PROJECTS BY NON-FEDERAL INTERESTS.**

16 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 203 of the Water Re-  
17 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231) is  
18 amended—

19 (1) in subsection (a)—

20 (A) in paragraph (1)—

21 (i) by striking “may undertake a fed-  
22 erally authorized feasibility study of a pro-  
23 posed water resources development project,  
24 or,” and inserting the following: “may un-  
25 dertake and submit to the Secretary—

1           “(A) a federally authorized feasibility  
2 study of a proposed water resources develop-  
3 ment project; or”;

4           (ii) by striking “upon the written ap-  
5 proval” and inserting the following:

6           “(B) upon the determination”;

7           (iii) in subparagraph (B) (as so des-  
8 ignated)—

9           (I) by striking “undertake”; and

10           (II) by striking “, and submit the  
11 study to the Secretary” and inserting  
12 “or constructed by a non-Federal in-  
13 terest pursuant to section 204”;

14           (B) in paragraph (2)—

15           (i) in the matter preceding subpara-  
16 graph (A)—

17           (I) by striking “, as soon as prac-  
18 ticable,”; and

19           (II) by striking “non-Federal in-  
20 terests to” and inserting “non-Federal  
21 interests that”;

22           (ii) by striking subparagraph (A) and  
23 inserting the following:

24           “(A) provide clear, concise, and trans-  
25 parent guidance for the non-Federal interest to

1 use in developing a feasibility study that com-  
2 plies with requirements that would apply to a  
3 feasibility study undertaken by the Secretary;”;

4 (iii) in subparagraph (B), by striking  
5 the period at the end and inserting a semi-  
6 colon; and

7 (iv) by adding at the end the fol-  
8 lowing:

9 “(C) provide guidance to a non-Federal in-  
10 terest on obtaining support from the Secretary  
11 to complete elements of a feasibility study that  
12 may be considered inherently governmental and  
13 required to be done by a Federal agency; and

14 “(D) provide contacts for employees of the  
15 Corps of Engineers that a non-Federal interest  
16 may use to initiate coordination with the Sec-  
17 retary and identify at what stages coordination  
18 may be beneficial.”; and

19 (C) by adding at the end the following:

20 “(3) DETERMINATION.—If a non-Federal inter-  
21 est requests to undertake a feasibility study on a  
22 modification to a constructed water resources devel-  
23 opment project under paragraph (1)(B), the Sec-  
24 retary shall expeditiously provide to the non-Federal  
25 interest the determination required under such para-

1 graph with respect to whether conceptual modifica-  
2 tions, as presented by the non-Federal interest, are  
3 consistent with the authorized purposes of the  
4 project.”;

5 (2) in subsection (b)—

6 (A) in paragraph (3)—

7 (i) in subparagraph (B), by striking  
8 “receives a request under this paragraph”  
9 and inserting “receives a study submission  
10 under subsection (a) or receives a request  
11 under subparagraph (A)”;

12 (ii) by adding at the end the fol-  
13 lowing:

14 “(C) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE-  
15 QUIRED.—The Secretary shall notify a non-  
16 Federal interest if, upon initial review of a sub-  
17 mission received under subsection (a) or a re-  
18 ceipt of a request under subparagraph (A), the  
19 Secretary requires additional information to  
20 perform the required analyses, reviews, and  
21 compliance processes and include in such notifi-  
22 cation a detailed description of the required in-  
23 formation.”;

24 (B) by striking paragraph (4) and insert-  
25 ing the following:

1           “(4) NOTIFICATION.—Upon receipt of a study  
2           submission under subsection (a) or receipt of a re-  
3           quest under paragraph (3)(A), the Secretary shall  
4           notify the Committee on Transportation and Infra-  
5           structure of the House of Representatives and the  
6           Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
7           Senate of the submission or request and a timeline  
8           for completion of the required analyses, reviews, and  
9           compliance processes and shall notify the non-Fed-  
10          eral interest of such timeline.”; and

11                   (C) in paragraph (5), by striking “receiv-  
12                   ing a request under paragraph (3)” and insert-  
13                   ing “receiving a study submission under sub-  
14                   section (a) or a request under paragraph  
15                   (3)(A)”;

16                   (3) in subsection (d)—

17                           (A) by striking “If a project” and inserting  
18                           the following:

19                           “(1) IN GENERAL.—If a project”;

20                           (B) by inserting “or modification to the  
21                           project” before “an amount equal to”; and

22                           (C) by adding at the end the following:

23                           “(2) MAXIMUM AMOUNT.—Any credit provided  
24                           to a non-Federal interest under this subsection may  
25                           not exceed the maximum Federal cost for a feasi-

1 bility study initiated by the Secretary under section  
2 1001(a)(2) of the Water Resources Reform and De-  
3 velopment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282e(a)).”; and

4 (4) by adding at the end the following:

5 “(f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There  
6 is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary  
7 \$1,000,000 for each fiscal year to carry out this section.”.

8 (b) GUIDANCE.—Not later than 18 months after the  
9 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall update  
10 any guidance as necessary to reflect the amendments  
11 made by this section.

12 (c) IMPLEMENTATION.—Any non-Federal interest  
13 that has entered in a written agreement with the Secretary  
14 related to carrying out a feasibility study pursuant to sec-  
15 tion 203 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986  
16 (33 U.S.C. 2231) before the date of enactment of this Act  
17 may submit to the Secretary a request to amend such  
18 agreement to reflect the amendments made by this section.

19 **SEC. 105. CONSTRUCTION OF WATER RESOURCES DEVEL-**  
20 **OPMENT PROJECTS BY NON-FEDERAL INTER-**  
21 **ESTS.**

22 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 204 of the Water Re-  
23 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2232) is  
24 amended—

25 (1) in subsection (c)(1)—



1 (A) by striking “an appropriate non-Fed-  
2 eral interest” and inserting “a non-Federal in-  
3 terest carrying out a project, or separable ele-  
4 ment of a project, under this section”;

5 (B) by striking “on construction for any  
6 project” and inserting “for the construction of  
7 any project or separable element”; and

8 (C) by inserting “, consistent with the au-  
9 thorized cost share for the project,” after  
10 “United States funds”;

11 (2) in subsection (d)—

12 (A) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking  
13 clauses (i) through (iii) and inserting the fol-  
14 lowing:

15 “(i) the non-Federal interest—

16 “(I) enters into a written agree-  
17 ment with the Secretary under section  
18 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970  
19 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), including an  
20 agreement to pay the non-Federal  
21 share, if any, of the cost of operation  
22 and maintenance of the project;

23 “(II) makes any information rel-  
24 evant to carrying out the project

1 available to the Secretary to review;  
2 and

3 “(III) identifies features of the  
4 project or separable element that are  
5 outside the scope of the authorized  
6 project; and

7 “(ii) the Secretary—

8 “(I) reviews the plans for con-  
9 struction by the non-Federal interest;

10 “(II) determines the project out-  
11 puts are consistent with the author-  
12 ized project and construction would  
13 not result in life safety concerns;

14 “(III) determines that the plans  
15 comply with applicable Federal laws  
16 and regulations; and

17 “(IV) verifies that the construc-  
18 tion documents, including supporting  
19 information, have been signed by an  
20 Engineer of Record; and”;

21 (B) in paragraph (3)—

22 (i) by redesignating subparagraphs  
23 (B) and (C) as subparagraphs (C) and  
24 (D), respectively; and

1 (ii) by inserting after subparagraph  
2 (A) the following:

3 “(B) the non-Federal interest has obli-  
4 gated or expended funds for the cost of a dis-  
5 crete segment or separable element thereof and  
6 has requested reimbursement of the Federal  
7 share of the cost of the discrete segment or sep-  
8 arable element;”; and

9 (iii) in subparagraph (C) (as so reded-  
10 icated), by inserting “, discrete segment  
11 of the project, or separable element of the  
12 project,” after “the project”;

13 (C) in paragraph (5)—

14 (i) by striking subparagraph (A)(ii)  
15 and inserting the following:

16 “(ii) before the review and approval of  
17 plans under paragraph (1)(A)(ii), the Sec-  
18 retary makes the determinations required  
19 under subclauses (II) and (III) of para-  
20 graph (1)(A)(ii) with respect to the dis-  
21 crete segment.”;

22 (ii) in subparagraph (B)(ii), by strik-  
23 ing “plans approved under paragraph  
24 (1)(A)(i)” and inserting “the plans re-  
25 viewed under paragraph (1)(A)(ii)”;

1 (iii) in subparagraph (C)(i), by strik-  
2 ing “paragraph (1)(A)(iii)” and inserting  
3 “paragraph (1)(A)(i)”; and

4 (iv) in subparagraph (D)(i) by strik-  
5 ing “paragraph (1)(A)(iii)” and inserting  
6 “paragraph (1)(A)(i)”; and

7 (D) by adding at the end the following:

8 “(6) EXCLUSIONS.—The Secretary may not  
9 provide credit or reimbursement for—

10 “(A) activities required by the non-Federal  
11 interest to initiate design and construction that  
12 would otherwise not be required by the Sec-  
13 retary; or

14 “(B) delays incurred by the non-Federal  
15 interest resulting in project cost increases.”;  
16 and

17 (3) by adding at the end the following:

18 “(g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There  
19 is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary to carry  
20 out this section \$1,000,000 for each fiscal year.”.

21 (b) GUIDANCE.—Not later than 18 months after the  
22 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall update  
23 any guidance as necessary to reflect the amendments  
24 made by this section.

1           (c) IMPLEMENTATION.—Any non-Federal interest  
2 that has entered in a written agreement with the Secretary  
3 to carry out a water resources development project pursu-  
4 ant to section 204 of the Water Resources Development  
5 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2232) before the date of enact-  
6 ment of this Act may submit to the Secretary a request  
7 to amend such agreement to reflect the amendments made  
8 by this section.

9 **SEC. 106. REVIEW PROCESS.**

10           Section 14 of the Act of March 3, 1899 (33 U.S.C.  
11 408) is amended—

12                   (1) by redesignating subsections (c) and (d) as  
13 subsections (d) and (e), respectively, and inserting  
14 after subsection (b) the following:

15           “(c) REVIEW PROCESS.—

16                   “(1) CONSISTENCY.—The Secretary shall estab-  
17 lish a single office within the Corps of Engineers  
18 with the expertise to provide consistent and timely  
19 recommendations under subsection (a) for applica-  
20 tions for permission submitted pursuant to such sub-  
21 section.

22                   “(2) PRE-APPLICATION MEETING.—At the re-  
23 quest of a non-Federal entity that is planning on  
24 submitting an application for permission pursuant to  
25 subsection (a), the Secretary, acting through the of-

1        fice established under paragraph (1), shall meet with  
2        the non-Federal entity to—

3                “(A) provide clear, concise, and specific  
4                technical requirements for non-Federal entity to  
5                use in the development of the application;

6                “(B) recommend the number of design  
7                packages to submit for the proposed action, and  
8                the stage of development at which to submit  
9                such packages; and

10               “(C) identify potential concerns or conflicts  
11               with such proposed actions.

12               “(3) CONTRIBUTED FUNDS.—The Secretary  
13               may use funds accepted from a non-Federal entity  
14               under subsection (b)(3) for purposes of conducting  
15               a meeting described in paragraph (2).”; and

16               (2) in subsection (d), as so redesignated—

17               (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “the Sec-  
18               retary shall inform” and inserting “the Sec-  
19               retary, acting through the head of the office es-  
20               tablished under subsection (c), shall inform”;  
21               and

22               (B) in paragraph (2), in the matter pre-  
23               ceding subparagraph (A), by striking “the Sec-  
24               retary shall” and inserting “the Secretary, act-

1           ing through the head of the office established  
2           under subsection (c), shall”.

3 **SEC. 107. ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION AND TRACKING OF**  
4 **PERMIT APPLICATIONS.**

5           (a) **ELECTRONIC SYSTEM.**—Section 2040(a) of the  
6 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.  
7 2345(a)) is amended—

8           (1) in the subsection heading, by striking “DE-  
9 VELOPMENT OF ELECTRONIC” and inserting “ELEC-  
10 TRONIC”;

11           (2) by amending paragraph (1) to read as fol-  
12 lows:

13           “(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall imple-  
14 ment an electronic system to allow the electronic—

15           “(A) preparation and submission of appli-  
16 cations for permits and requests for jurisdic-  
17 tional determinations under the jurisdiction of  
18 the Secretary; and

19           “(B) tracking of documents related to Fed-  
20 eral environmental reviews for projects under  
21 the jurisdiction of the Secretary or for which  
22 the Corps of Engineers is designated as the  
23 lead Federal agency.”;

24           (3) in paragraph (2)—

1 (A) in subparagraph (E), by striking “;  
2 and” and inserting a semicolon;

3 (B) in subparagraph (F), by striking the  
4 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

5 (C) by adding at the end the following:

6 “(G) documents related to Federal envi-  
7 ronmental reviews for projects under the juris-  
8 diction of the Secretary or for which the Corps  
9 of Engineers is designated as the lead Federal  
10 agency.”; and

11 (4) by adding at the end the following:

12 “(5) COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES.—  
13 To the maximum extent practicable, the Secretary  
14 shall use the electronic system required under para-  
15 graph (1) to enhance interagency coordination in the  
16 preparation of documents related to Federal environ-  
17 mental reviews.”.

18 (b) SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS.—Section 2040(b) of  
19 the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.  
20 2345(b)) is amended—

21 (1) in paragraph (4), by striking “; and” and  
22 inserting a semicolon;

23 (2) in paragraph (5)(C), by striking the period  
24 at the end and inserting “; and”; and

25 (3) by adding at the end the following:



1           “(6) enable a non-Federal interest for a project  
2           to—

3                   “(A) submit information related to the  
4                   preparation of any Federal environmental re-  
5                   view document associated with the project; and

6                   “(B) track the status of a Federal environ-  
7                   mental review associated with the project.”.

8           (c) RECORD RETENTION.—Section 2040(d) of the  
9           Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.  
10          2345(d)) is amended—

11                   (1) in the subsection heading, by striking  
12                   “RECORD OF DETERMINATIONS” and inserting  
13                   “RECORD RETENTION”;

14                   (2) in paragraph (1), by inserting “, and all  
15                   Federal environmental review documents included in  
16                   the electronic system” before the period at the end;  
17                   and

18                   (3) in paragraph (2), by inserting “and all Fed-  
19                   eral environmental review documents included in the  
20                   electronic system,” before “after the 5-year”.

21           (d) AVAILABILITY OF RECORDS.—Section 2040(e) of  
22           the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.  
23          2345(e)) is amended—

24                   (1) in the subsection heading, by striking “DE-  
25                   TERMINATIONS” and inserting “RECORDS”; and

1           (2) in paragraph (1), by inserting “, and all  
2           final Federal environmental review documents in-  
3           cluded in the electronic system,” before “available to  
4           the public”.

5           (e) DEADLINE FOR ELECTRONIC SYSTEM IMPLE-  
6           MENTATION.—Section 2040(f)(1) of the Water Resources  
7           Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345(f)(1)) is  
8           amended by striking “2 years after the date of enactment  
9           of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022” and  
10          inserting “1 year after the date of enactment of the Water  
11          Resources Development Act of 2024”.

12          (f) APPLICABILITY.—Section 2040(g) of the Water  
13          Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345(g))  
14          is amended by inserting “, and the requirements described  
15          in subsections (d) and (e) relating to Federal environ-  
16          mental documents shall apply with respect to Federal envi-  
17          ronmental review documents that are prepared after the  
18          date of enactment of the Water Resources Development  
19          Act of 2024” before the period at the end.

20          (g) E-NEPA.—

21                 (1) CONSISTENCY.—Section 2040 of the Water  
22                 Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.  
23                 2345) is amended by adding at the end the fol-  
24                 lowing:

1       “(i) CONSISTENCY WITH E-NEPA.—In carrying out  
2 this section, the Secretary shall take into consideration the  
3 results of the permitting portal study conducted pursuant  
4 to the amendment made by section 321(b) of the Fiscal  
5 Responsibility Act of 2023 (137 Stat. 44).”.

6           (2) COOPERATION.—The Secretary shall co-  
7 operate with the Council on Environmental Quality  
8 in conducting the permitting portal study required  
9 pursuant to the amendment made by section 321(b)  
10 of the Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2023 (137 Stat.  
11 44).

12       (h) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 2040 of the  
13 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.  
14 2345) is amended in the section heading by striking  
15 “**PERMIT APPLICATIONS**” and inserting “**PERMIT AP-  
16 PPLICATIONS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS**”.

17 **SEC. 108. VERTICAL INTEGRATION AND ACCELERATION OF  
18 STUDIES.**

19       (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1001(a) of the Water Re-  
20 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.  
21 2282c(a)) is amended—

22           (1) in paragraph (1), by striking “of initiation”  
23 and inserting “on which the Secretary determines  
24 the Federal interest for purposes of the report pur-

1 suant to section 905(b) of the Water Resources De-  
2 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282(b)”; and

3 (2) in paragraph (2)—

4 (A) by striking “cost of \$3,000,000; and”  
5 and inserting the following: “cost of—

6 “(A) \$3,000,000 for a project with an esti-  
7 mated construction cost of less than  
8 \$500,000,000; and”; and

9 (B) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(B) \$5,000,000 for a project with an esti-  
11 mated construction cost of greater than or  
12 equal to \$500,000,000; and”.

13 (b) **ADJUSTMENT.**—Section 905(b)(2)(B) of the  
14 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.  
15 2282(b)(2)(B)) is amended by striking “\$200,000” and  
16 inserting “\$300,000”.

17 (c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 905(b)(4)  
18 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
19 U.S.C. 2282(b)(4)) is amended by striking “(A) TIM-  
20 ING.—” and all that follows through “The cost of” and  
21 inserting “The cost of”.

22 **SEC. 109. SYSTEMWIDE IMPROVEMENT FRAMEWORK AND**  
23 **ENCROACHMENTS.**

24 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 5(c) of the Act of August  
25 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n(c)(2)) is amended—

1           (1) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the  
2 following:

3           “(2) SYSTEMWIDE IMPROVEMENT PLAN.—

4           “(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding the  
5 status of compliance of a non-Federal interest  
6 with the requirements of a levee owner’s man-  
7 ual, or any other eligibility requirement estab-  
8 lished by the Secretary related to the mainte-  
9 nance and upkeep responsibilities of the non-  
10 Federal interest, the Secretary shall consider  
11 the non-Federal interest to be eligible for repair  
12 and rehabilitation assistance under this section  
13 if—

14           “(i) in coordination with the Sec-  
15 retary, the non-Federal interest develops a  
16 systemwide improvement plan that—

17           “(I) identifies any items of de-  
18 ferred or inadequate maintenance and  
19 upkeep, including any such items  
20 identified by the Secretary or through  
21 periodic inspection of the flood control  
22 work;

23           “(II) identifies any additional  
24 measures, including repair and reha-  
25 bilitation work, that the Secretary de-

1 termines necessary to ensure that the  
2 flood control work performs as de-  
3 signed and intended; and

4 “(III) includes specific timelines  
5 for addressing such items and meas-  
6 ures; and

7 “(ii) the Secretary—

8 “(I) determines that the system-  
9 wide improvement plan meets the re-  
10 quirements of clause (i); and

11 “(II) determines that the non-  
12 Federal interest makes satisfactory  
13 progress in meeting the timelines de-  
14 scribed in clause (i)(III).

15 “(B) GRANDFATHERED ENCROACH-  
16 MENTS.—At the request of the non-Federal in-  
17 terest, the Secretary—

18 “(i) shall review documentation devel-  
19 oped by the non-Federal interest showing a  
20 covered encroachment does not negatively  
21 impact the integrity of the flood control  
22 work;

23 “(ii) shall make a written determina-  
24 tion with respect to whether removal or  
25 modification of such covered encroachment

1 is necessary to ensure the encroachment  
2 does not negatively impact the integrity of  
3 the flood control work; and

4 “(iii) may not determine that a cov-  
5 ered encroachment is a deficiency requiring  
6 corrective action unless such action is nec-  
7 essary to ensure the encroachment does  
8 not negatively impact the integrity of the  
9 flood control work.”; and

10 (2) in paragraph (4), by adding at the end the  
11 following:

12 “(C) COVERED ENCROACHMENT.—The  
13 term ‘covered encroachment’ means a perma-  
14 nent non-project structure that—

15 “(i) is located inside the boundaries of  
16 a flood control work;

17 “(ii) is depicted on construction draw-  
18 ings or operation and maintenance plans  
19 for the flood control work that are signed  
20 by an engineer of record; and

21 “(iii) is determined, by the Secretary,  
22 to be an encroachment of such flood con-  
23 trol work.”.

1 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 3011 of the  
2 Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014  
3 (33 U.S.C. 701n note) is repealed.

4 (c) TRANSITION.—The amendments made by this  
5 section shall have no effect on any written agreement  
6 signed by the Secretary and a non-Federal interest pursu-  
7 ant to section 5(c)(2) of the Act of August 18, 1941 (as  
8 in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this  
9 Act) if the non-Federal interest otherwise continues to  
10 meet the requirements of section 5(c)(2) as in effect on  
11 the day before the date of enactment of this Act.

12 (d) PARTICIPATION IN PREPAREDNESS EXER-  
13 CISES.—The Secretary may not condition the eligibility of  
14 a non-Federal interest for rehabilitation assistance under  
15 section 5 of the Act of August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n)  
16 on the participation of the non-Federal interest in disaster  
17 preparedness exercises that are unrelated to necessary re-  
18 pairs, rehabilitation, maintenance, and upkeep of a flood  
19 control work.

20 **SEC. 110. FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION.**

21 Section 906 of the Water Resources Development Act  
22 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2283) is amended—

23 (1) in subsection (d)—

24 (A) in paragraph (1)—



1 (i) by striking “After November 17,  
2 1986, the Secretary” and inserting “The  
3 Secretary”; and

4 (ii) by striking “shall not submit” and  
5 all that follows through “unless such re-  
6 port contains” and inserting “may not ap-  
7 prove any proposal related to a water re-  
8 sources project unless the Secretary has  
9 prepared a report relating to the project  
10 that contains”;

11 (B) in paragraph (2)—

12 (i) by striking “The Secretary” and  
13 inserting the following:

14 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”; and

15 (ii) by adding at the end the fol-  
16 lowing:

17 “(B) IDENTIFICATION.—The Secretary  
18 shall consult with the non-Federal interest for  
19 a water resources project, and other stake-  
20 holders, to the maximum extent practicable—

21 “(i) to identify mitigation implementa-  
22 tion practices or accepted assessment  
23 methodologies used in the region of the  
24 water resources project and incorporate

1 such practices and methodologies into the  
2 mitigation plan for such project; and

3 “(ii) to identify projects that have not  
4 been constructed, or concepts described in  
5 mitigation plans for other water resources  
6 projects, that may be used to meet the res-  
7 toration or mitigation needs of the water  
8 resources project.”; and

9 (C) in paragraph (3)(B)(iv)(I), by insert-  
10 ing “or a description of the requirements for a  
11 third-party mitigation instrument that would be  
12 developed in the case that a contract for future  
13 delivery of credits will be used” after “to be  
14 used”;

15 (2) in subsection (i)(1)(A)—

16 (A) in clause (i), by inserting “, for imme-  
17 diate delivery or future delivery to be identified  
18 in the mitigation instrument” after “banks”;  
19 and

20 (B) in clause (ii), by inserting “, for imme-  
21 diate delivery or future delivery to be identified  
22 in the mitigation instrument” after “pro-  
23 grams”; and

24 (3) by adding at the end the following:

1       “(l) SEPARABLE ELEMENTS.—Mitigation of fish and  
2 wildlife losses required under this section that is provided  
3 in the form of credit shall be considered a separable ele-  
4 ment of a project without requiring further evaluation.

5       “(m) TRANSPARENCY.—The Secretary shall ensure  
6 that—

7               “(1) the mitigation requirements for each water  
8 resources project—

9                       “(A) are made publicly available (including  
10 on a website of the headquarters of the Corps  
11 of Engineers); and

12                      “(B) include the location of the project,  
13 the anticipated schedule for mitigation, the type  
14 of mitigation required, the amount of mitigation  
15 required, and the remaining mitigation needs;

16               “(2) the mitigation plan for such project is  
17 made publicly available, as applicable;

18               “(3) the information described in paragraph (1)  
19 is updated regularly; and

20               “(4) carrying out the requirements of this sub-  
21 section with respect to each water resources project  
22 is considered a project expense.

23       “(n) COORDINATION.—To the maximum extent prac-  
24 ticable, the Secretary shall ensure that the project delivery  
25 team and regulatory team of the Corps of Engineers work

1 in coordination to successfully carry out mitigation ef-  
2 forts.”.

3 **SEC. 111. HARBOR DEEPENING.**

4 (a) CONSTRUCTION.—Section 101(a)(1) of the Water  
5 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.  
6 2211(a)(1)) is amended by striking “50 feet” each place  
7 it appears and inserting “55 feet”.

8 (b) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—Section  
9 101(b)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
10 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2211(b)(1)) is amended by striking “50  
11 feet” and inserting “55 feet”.

12 **SEC. 112. EMERGING HARBORS.**

13 Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment  
14 of this Act, the Secretary shall—

15 (1) issue guidance for the purpose of carrying  
16 out section 210(e)(3)(B) of the Water Resources De-  
17 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238(e)(3)(B));  
18 and

19 (2) develop a mechanism to accept the non-Fed-  
20 eral share of funds from a non-Federal interest for  
21 maintenance dredging carried out under such sec-  
22 tion.

23 **SEC. 113. REMOTE AND SUBSISTENCE HARBORS.**

24 Section 2006 of the Water Resources Development  
25 Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2242) is amended—

1           (1) in subsection (a), by striking paragraphs  
2           (1) through (3) and inserting the following:

3           “(1) the project would be located in the State  
4           of Hawaii or Alaska, the Commonwealth of Puerto  
5           Rico, Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern  
6           Mariana Islands, the United States Virgin Islands,  
7           or American Samoa; and

8           “(2)(A) over 80 percent of the goods trans-  
9           ported through the harbor would be consumed with-  
10          in the United States, as determined by the Sec-  
11          retary, including consideration of information pro-  
12          vided by the non-Federal interest; or

13          “(B) the long-term viability of the community  
14          in which the project is located, or the long-term via-  
15          bility of a community that is located in the region  
16          that is served by the project and that will rely on  
17          the project, would be threatened without the harbor  
18          and navigation improvement.”; and

19          (2) in subsection (b)—

20                 (A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1),  
21                 by striking “benefits of the project to” and in-  
22                 serting “benefits of the project to any of”; and

23                 (B) in paragraph (4), by striking “; and”  
24                 and inserting “; or”.

1 **SEC. 114. ADDITIONAL PROJECTS FOR UNDERSERVED COM-**  
2 **MUNITY HARBORS.**

3 Section 8132 of the Water Resources Development  
4 Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2238e) is amended—

5 (1) in subsection (c)—

6 (A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1),  
7 by striking “section based on an assessment of”  
8 and all that follows through “the local or re-  
9 gional economic benefits of the project;” and in-  
10 serting the following: “section—

11 “(1) based on an assessment of—

12 “(A) the local or regional economic bene-  
13 fits of the project;”;

14 (B) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and  
15 (3) as subparagraphs (B) and (C), respectively;

16 (C) in subparagraph (C) (as so redesign-  
17 ated) by striking the period at the end and in-  
18 serting “; and”; and

19 (D) by adding at the end the following:

20 “(2) that are located—

21 “(A) in a harbor where passenger and  
22 freight service is provided to island communities  
23 dependent on that service; or

24 “(B) in a lake, or any related connecting  
25 channels, within the United States that is in-



1           “(3) have the potential to enhance the avail-  
2           ability of containerized cargo on inland waterways.”.

3 **SEC. 116. DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL FACILITY PART-**  
4 **NERSHIPS.**

5           Section 217(b) of the Water Resources Development  
6 Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2326a(b)) is amended—

7           (1) by amending paragraph (1) to read as fol-  
8           lows:

9           “(1) IN GENERAL.—

10           “(A) NON-FEDERAL USE.—The Sec-  
11           retary—

12           “(i) at the request of a non-Federal  
13           entity, may permit the use of any dredged  
14           material disposal facility under the juris-  
15           diction of, or managed by, the Secretary by  
16           the non-Federal entity if the Secretary de-  
17           termines that such use will not reduce the  
18           availability of the facility for the author-  
19           ized water resources development project  
20           on a channel in the vicinity of the disposal  
21           facility;

22           “(ii) at the request of a non-Federal  
23           entity, shall permit the non-Federal entity  
24           to use a non-Federal disposal facility for  
25           the disposal of material dredged by the



1 non-Federal entity, regardless of any con-  
2 nection to a Federal navigation project,  
3 if—

4 “(I) permission for such use has  
5 been granted by the owner of the non-  
6 Federal disposal facility; and

7 “(II) the Secretary determines  
8 that the dredged material disposal  
9 needs required to maintain, perform  
10 authorized deepening, or restore the  
11 navigability and functionality of au-  
12 thorized navigation channels in the vi-  
13 cinity of the non-Federal disposal fa-  
14 cility for the 20-year period following  
15 the date of the request, including all  
16 planned and routine dredging oper-  
17 ations necessary to maintain such  
18 channels for the authorized purposes  
19 during such period, can be met by the  
20 available gross capacity of other  
21 dredged material disposal facilities in  
22 the vicinity of the non-Federal dis-  
23 posal facility; and

1           “(iii) shall impose fees to recover cap-  
2           ital, operation, and maintenance costs as-  
3           sociated with such uses.

4           “(B) DETERMINATIONS.—The Secretary  
5           shall—

6                   “(i) delegate determinations under  
7                   clauses (i) and (ii)(II) of subparagraph (A)  
8                   to the District Commander of the district  
9                   in which the relevant disposal facility is lo-  
10                  cated; and

11                   “(ii) make such determinations not  
12                   later than 90 days after receiving the ap-  
13                   plicable request.”;

14           (2) in paragraph (2)—

15                   (A) in the paragraph heading, by striking  
16                   “USE OF FEES” and inserting “FEES”;

17                   (B) by striking “Notwithstanding” and in-  
18                   serting the following:

19                           “(A) USE.—Notwithstanding”; and

20                           (C) by adding at the end the following:

21                           “(B) REDUCTION IN AMOUNT.—In col-  
22                   lecting any fee under this subsection, the Sec-  
23                   retary shall reduce the amount imposed under  
24                   paragraph (1)(A)(iii) to account for improve-  
25                   ments made to the non-Federal disposal facility

1 by the non-Federal entity to recover the capac-  
2 ity of the non-Federal disposal facility.”; and  
3 (3) by adding at the end the following:

4 “(3) DISPOSITION STUDIES.—

5 “(A) REQUIREMENT.—Upon request by  
6 the owner of a non-Federal disposal facility, the  
7 Secretary shall carry out a disposition study of  
8 the non-Federal disposal facility, in accordance  
9 with section 1168 of the Water Resources De-  
10 velopment Act of 2018 (33 U.S.C. 578b), if—

11 “(i) the Secretary has not used the  
12 non-Federal disposal facility for the dis-  
13 posal of dredged material during the 20-  
14 year period preceding the date of the re-  
15 quest; and

16 “(ii) the Secretary determines that  
17 the non-Federal disposal facility is not  
18 needed for such use by the Secretary dur-  
19 ing the 20-year period following the date of  
20 the request.

21 “(B) CONCLUSIVE PRESUMPTIONS.—For  
22 purposes of carrying out a disposition study re-  
23 quired under subparagraph (A), the Secretary  
24 shall—

1 “(i) consider the non-Federal disposal  
2 facility to be a separable element of a  
3 project; and

4 “(ii) consider a Federal interest in the  
5 non-Federal disposal facility to no longer  
6 exist.

7 “(4) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

8 “(A) GROSS CAPACITY.—The term ‘gross  
9 capacity’ means the total quantity of dredged  
10 material that may be placed in a dredged mate-  
11 rial disposal facility, taking into consideration  
12 any additional capacity that can be constructed  
13 at the facility.

14 “(B) NON-FEDERAL DISPOSAL FACILITY.—  
15 The term ‘non-Federal disposal facility’ means  
16 a dredged material disposal facility under the  
17 jurisdiction of, or managed by, the Secretary  
18 that is owned by a non-Federal entity.”.

19 **SEC. 117. MAXIMIZATION OF BENEFICIAL USE.**

20 (a) BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL.—  
21 Section 1122 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
22 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2326 note) is amended—

23 (1) in subsection (a)—

24 (A) by striking “Not later than 90 days  
25 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-

1           retary shall establish a pilot program” and in-  
2           serting “The Secretary is authorized”; and

3                   (B) by striking paragraph (1) and insert-  
4           ing the following:

5                   “(1) promoting resiliency and reducing the risk  
6           to property and infrastructure of flooding and storm  
7           damage;”;

8                   (2) in subsection (b)—

9                           (A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1),  
10           by striking “the pilot program” and inserting  
11           “this section”;

12                   (B) by striking paragraph (1) and insert-  
13           ing the following:

14                   “(1) identify and carry out projects for the ben-  
15           eficial use of dredged material;”;

16                   (3) in subsection (c)(1)—

17                           (A) by striking “In carrying out the pilot  
18           program, the” and inserting “The”; and

19                           (B) by striking “under the pilot program”  
20           and inserting “under this section”;

21                   (4) in subsection (d), in the matter preceding  
22           paragraph (1), by striking “the pilot program” and  
23           inserting “this section”;

24                   (5) in subsection (f)—

1 (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “the pilot  
2 program” and inserting “this section”; and

3 (B) in paragraph (4), by striking “the pilot  
4 program” and inserting “the implementation of  
5 this section”; and

6 (6) by striking subsection (g) and redesignating  
7 subsection (h) as subsection (g).

8 (b) REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT.—Section  
9 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (33  
10 U.S.C. 2326) is amended—

11 (1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking “rehabilita-  
12 tion of projects” and inserting “rehabilitation of  
13 projects, including projects for the beneficial use of  
14 dredged materials described in section 1122 of the  
15 Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (33  
16 U.S.C. 2326 note),”;

17 (2) in subsection (f), by adding at the end the  
18 following:

19 “(12) Osceola County, Florida.”.

20 (c) BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL.—Sec-  
21 tion 125(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
22 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2326g) is amended—

23 (1) by striking “It is the policy” and inserting  
24 the following:

25 “(A) POLICY.—It is the policy”; and

1 (2) by adding at the end the following:

2 “(B) NATIONAL GOAL.—To the greatest  
3 extent practicable, the Secretary shall ensure  
4 that not less than 70 percent by tonnage of  
5 suitable dredged material obtained from the  
6 construction or operation and maintenance of  
7 water resources development projects is used  
8 beneficially.”.

9 (d) MAXIMIZATION OF BENEFICIAL USE IN  
10 DREDGED MATERIAL MANAGEMENT PLANS.—Each  
11 dredged material management plan for a federally author-  
12 ized water resources development project, and each re-  
13 gional sediment plan developed under section 204 of the  
14 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C.  
15 2326), including any such plan under development on the  
16 date of enactment of this Act, shall—

17 (1) maximize the beneficial use of suitable  
18 dredged material; and

19 (2) to the maximum extent practicable,  
20 prioritize the use of such dredged material in water  
21 resources development projects in areas vulnerable  
22 to coastal land loss or shoreline erosion.

23 (e) TRANSFER OF SUITABLE DREDGED MATE-  
24 RIAL.—The Secretary is authorized to transfer to a non-  
25 Federal interest at no cost, for the purpose of beneficial

1 use, suitable dredged material that the Secretary has de-  
2 termined is in excess of the amounts of such material iden-  
3 tified as needed for use by the Secretary.

4 **SEC. 118. ECONOMIC, HYDRAULIC, AND HYDROLOGIC MOD-**  
5 **ELING.**

6 (a) MODEL DEVELOPMENT.—The Secretary, in col-  
7 laboration with other Federal and State agencies, National  
8 Laboratories, and non-profit research institutions (includ-  
9 ing institutions of higher education and centers and lab-  
10 oratories focused on economics or water resources), shall  
11 develop, update, and maintain economic, hydraulic, and  
12 hydrologic models, including models for compound flood-  
13 ing, for use in the planning, design formulation, modifica-  
14 tion, and operation of water resources development  
15 projects and water resources planning.

16 (b) COORDINATION AND USE OF MODELS AND  
17 DATA.—In carrying out subsection (a), to the extent prac-  
18 ticable, the Secretary shall—

19 (1) work with the non-Federal interest for a  
20 water resources development project to identify ex-  
21 isting relevant economic, hydraulic, and hydrologic  
22 models and data;

23 (2) utilize, where appropriate, economic, hy-  
24 draulic, and hydrologic models and data provided to



1 the Secretary by the agencies, laboratories, and in-  
2 stitutions described in subsection (a); and

3 (3) upon written request by a non-Federal in-  
4 terest for a project, provide to the non-Federal inter-  
5 est draft or working economic, hydraulic, and hydro-  
6 logic models, and any data generated by such models  
7 with respect to the project, not later than 30 days  
8 after receiving such request; and

9 (4) in accordance with section 2017 of the  
10 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33  
11 U.S.C. 2342), make final economic, hydraulic, and  
12 hydrologic models, and any data generated by such  
13 models, available to the public, as quickly as prac-  
14 ticable, but not later than 30 days after receiving a  
15 written request for such models or data.

16 (c) MODEL OUTPUTS.—To the extent practicable and  
17 appropriate, the Secretary shall incorporate data gen-  
18 erated by models developed under this section into the for-  
19 mulation of feasibility studies for, and the operation of,  
20 water resources development projects.

21 (d) FUNDING.—The Secretary is authorized to trans-  
22 fer to other Federal and State agencies, National Labora-  
23 tories, and non-profit research institutions, including insti-  
24 tutions of higher education, such funds as may be nec-

1 essary to carry out subsection (a) from amounts available  
2 to the Secretary.

3 (e) IN-KIND CONTRIBUTION CREDIT.—A partnership  
4 agreement entered into under section 221 of the Flood  
5 Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b) may provide,  
6 at the request of the non-Federal interest for the applica-  
7 ble project, that the Secretary credit toward the non-Fed-  
8 eral share of the cost of the project the value of economic,  
9 hydraulic, and hydrologic models required for the project  
10 that are developed by the non-Federal interest in accord-  
11 ance with any policies and guidelines applicable to the rel-  
12 evant partnership agreement pursuant to such section.

13 (f) REVIEW.—The Secretary shall review economic,  
14 hydraulic, and hydrologic models developed under this sec-  
15 tion in the same manner as any such models developed  
16 under any other authority of the Secretary.

17 (g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

18 (1) COMPOUND FLOODING.—The term “com-  
19 pound flooding” means a flooding event in which two  
20 or more flood drivers, such as coastal storm surge-  
21 driven flooding and inland rainfall-driven flooding,  
22 occur simultaneously or in close succession and the  
23 potential adverse effects of the combined flood driv-  
24 ers may be greater than that of the individual flood  
25 driver components.

1           (2) **ECONOMIC.**—The term “economic”, as used  
2           in reference to models, means relating to the evalua-  
3           tion of benefits and cost attributable to a project for  
4           an economic justification under section 209 of the  
5           Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962–2).

6 **SEC. 119. FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS.**

7           (a) **IN GENERAL.**—In updating a water control man-  
8           ual for any reservoir constructed, owned, or operated by  
9           the Secretary, including a reservoir for which the Sec-  
10          retary is authorized to prescribe regulations for the use  
11          of storage allocated for flood control or navigation pursu-  
12          ant to section 7 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (33  
13          U.S.C. 709), the Secretary shall, to the maximum extent  
14          practicable, incorporate the use of forecast-informed res-  
15          ervoir operations.

16          (b) **GUIDELINES.**—The Secretary, in coordination  
17          with relevant Federal and State agencies and non-Federal  
18          interests, shall issue clear and concise guidelines for incor-  
19          porating the use of forecast-informed reservoir operations  
20          into water control manuals for reservoirs described in sub-  
21          section (a).

22          (c) **ASSESSMENT.**—

23                  (1) **REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary shall carry  
24                  out an assessment of geographically diverse res-  
25                  ervoirs described in subsection (a) to determine the

1 viability of using forecast-informed reservoir oper-  
2 ations at such reservoirs.

3 (2) PRIORITY AREAS.—In carrying out the as-  
4 sessment described in paragraph (1), the Secretary  
5 shall include an assessment of—

6 (A) each reservoir located in the South Pa-  
7 cific Division of the Corps of Engineers; and

8 (B) reservoirs located in each of the  
9 Northwestern Division and the South Atlantic  
10 Division of the Corps of Engineers.

11 (3) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out this sub-  
12 section, the Secretary shall consult with relevant  
13 Federal and State agencies and non-Federal inter-  
14 ests.

15 **SEC. 120. UPDATES TO CERTAIN WATER CONTROL MANU-**

16 **ALS.**

17 Section 8109 of the Water Resources Development  
18 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3702) is amended by inserting “or  
19 that incorporate the use of forecast-informed reservoir op-  
20 erations into such manuals” before the period at the end.

21 **SEC. 121. WATER SUPPLY MISSION.**

22 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall—

23 (1) include water supply as a primary mission  
24 of the Corps of Engineers in planning, prioritization,  
25 designing, constructing, modifying, operating, and

1 maintaining water resources development projects;  
2 and

3 (2) give equal consideration to the water supply  
4 mission in the planning, prioritization, designing,  
5 constructing, modifying, operating, and maintaining  
6 of water resources development projects.

7 (b) LIMITATIONS.—

8 (1) NO NEW AUTHORITY.—Nothing in sub-  
9 section (a) authorizes the Secretary to initiate a  
10 water resources development project or modify an  
11 authorized water resources development project.

12 (2) LIMITATIONS.—Nothing in subsection (a)  
13 affects—

14 (A) any existing authority of the Secretary,  
15 including—

16 (i) authorities of the Secretary with  
17 respect to navigation, flood control, and  
18 environmental protection and restoration;

19 (ii) the authority of the Secretary  
20 under section 6 of the Flood Control Act  
21 of 1944 (33 U.S.C. 708); and

22 (iii) the authority of the Secretary  
23 under section 301 of the Water Supply Act  
24 of 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b);

1           (B) any applications for permits under the  
2           jurisdiction of the Secretary, or lawsuits relat-  
3           ing to such permits or water resources develop-  
4           ment projects, pending as of the date of enact-  
5           ment of this Act;

6           (C) the application of any procedures to  
7           assure public notice and an opportunity for  
8           public hearing for such permits; or

9           (D) the authority of a State to manage,  
10          use, or allocate the water resources of that  
11          State.

12          (c) WATER STORAGE AT CORPS RESERVOIRS.—Sec-  
13          tion 301(b) of the Water Supply Act of 1958 (43 U.S.C.  
14          390b(b)) is amended by striking “for Corps of Engineers  
15          projects, not to exceed 30 percent” and replacing it with  
16          “for Corps of Engineers projects, not to exceed 100 per-  
17          cent”.

18          (d) REPORTS.—

19                (1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than one year  
20                after the date of enactment of this section, the Sec-  
21                retary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
22                tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
23                atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
24                lic Works of the Senate a report detailing—

1 (A) the steps taken to comply with sub-  
2 section (a); and

3 (B) actions identified by non-Federal inter-  
4 ests that may be taken, consistent with existing  
5 authorized purposes of the applicable water re-  
6 sources development projects, to—

7 (i) reallocate storage space in existing  
8 water resources development projects for  
9 municipal and industrial water supply pur-  
10 poses pursuant to section 301 of the Water  
11 Supply Act of 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b);

12 (ii) enter into surplus water supply  
13 contracts pursuant to section 6 of the  
14 Flood Control Act of 1944 (33 U.S.C.  
15 708);

16 (iii) modify the operations of an exist-  
17 ing water resources development project to  
18 produce water supply benefits incidental  
19 to, and consistent with, the authorized pur-  
20 poses of the project, including by—

21 (I) adjusting the timing of re-  
22 leases for other authorized purposes  
23 to create opportunities for water sup-  
24 ply conservation, use, and storage;

25 (II) capturing stormwater;

1 (III) releasing water from stor-  
2 age to replenish aquifer storage and  
3 recovery; and

4 (IV) carrying out other conserva-  
5 tion measures that enhance the use of  
6 a project for water supply; and

7 (iv) cooperate with State, regional,  
8 and local governments and planning au-  
9 thorities to identify strategies to augment  
10 water supply, enhance drought resiliency,  
11 promote contingency planning, and assist  
12 in the planning and development of alter-  
13 native water sources.

14 (2) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than 3 years  
15 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
16 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
17 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
18 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
19 lic Works of the Senate a report that includes—

20 (A) identification of—

21 (i) the steps taken to comply with  
22 subsection (a); and

23 (ii) the specific actions identified  
24 under paragraph (1)(B) that were taken;  
25 and



1 (B) an assessment of the results of such  
2 steps and actions.

3 **SEC. 122. REAL ESTATE ADMINISTRATIVE FEES.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the  
5 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall initiate  
6 the development of guidance to standardize processes for  
7 developing, updating, and tracking real estate administra-  
8 tive fees administered by the Corps of Engineers.

9 (b) GUIDANCE.—In developing guidance under sub-  
10 section (a), the Secretary shall—

11 (1) outline standard methodologies to estimate  
12 costs for purposes of setting real estate administra-  
13 tive fees;

14 (2) define the types of activities involved in  
15 managing real estate instruments that are included  
16 for purposes of setting such fees;

17 (3) establish cost-tracking procedures to cap-  
18 ture data relating to the activities described in para-  
19 graph (2) for purposes of setting such fees;

20 (4) outline a schedule for divisions or districts  
21 of the Corps of Engineers to review, and update as  
22 appropriate, real estate administrative fees, includ-  
23 ing specifying what such reviews should entail and  
24 the frequency of such reviews; and

1           (5) provide opportunities for stakeholder input  
2           on real estate administrative fees.

3           (c) PUBLICLY AVAILABLE.—The Secretary shall  
4           make publicly available on the website of each Corps of  
5           Engineers district—

6           (1) the guidance developed under this section;  
7           and

8           (2) any other relevant information on real es-  
9           tate administrative fees, including lists of real estate  
10          instruments requiring such fees, and methodologies  
11          used to set such fees.

12   **SEC. 123. CHALLENGE COST-SHARING PROGRAM FOR MAN-**  
13                           **AGEMENT OF RECREATION FACILITIES.**

14          Section 225 of the Water Resources Development Act  
15          of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2328) is amended—

16           (1) in subsection (b)—

17                   (A) by striking “To implement” and in-  
18                   serting the following:

19                   “(1) IN GENERAL.—To implement”.

20                   (B) in paragraph (1) (as so designated), by  
21                   striking “non-Federal public and private enti-  
22                   ties” and inserting “non-Federal public entities  
23                   and private nonprofit entities”; and

24                   (C) by adding at the end the following:

1           “(2) REQUIREMENTS.—Before entering into an  
2 agreement under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall  
3 ensure that the non-Federal public entity or private  
4 nonprofit entity has the authority and capability—

5                   “(A) to carry out the terms of the agree-  
6 ment; and

7                   “(B) to pay damages, if necessary, in the  
8 event of a failure to perform.”;

9           (2) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the  
10 following:

11           “(c) USER FEES.—

12                   “(1) COLLECTION OF FEES.—

13                           “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may  
14 allow a non-Federal public entity or private  
15 nonprofit entity that has entered into an agree-  
16 ment pursuant to subsection (b) to collect user  
17 fees for the use of developed recreation sites  
18 and facilities, whether developed or constructed  
19 by the non-Federal public entity or private non-  
20 profit entity or the Department of the Army.

21                           “(B) USE OF VISITOR RESERVATION SERV-  
22 ICES.—

23                                   “(i) IN GENERAL.—A non-Federal  
24 public entity or a private nonprofit entity  
25 described in subparagraph (A) may use, to

1 manage fee collections and reservations  
2 under this section, any visitor reservation  
3 service that the Secretary has provided for  
4 by contract or interagency agreement, sub-  
5 ject to such terms and conditions as the  
6 Secretary determines to be appropriate.

7 “(ii) TRANSFER.—The Secretary may  
8 transfer, or cause to be transferred by an-  
9 other Federal agency, to a non-Federal  
10 public entity or a private nonprofit entity  
11 described in subparagraph (A) user fees  
12 received by the Secretary or other Federal  
13 agency under a visitor reservation service  
14 described in clause (i) for recreation facili-  
15 ties and natural resources managed by the  
16 non-Federal public entity or private non-  
17 profit entity pursuant to a cooperative  
18 agreement entered into under subsection  
19 (b).

20 “(2) USE OF FEES.—

21 “(A) IN GENERAL.—A non-Federal public  
22 entity or private nonprofit entity that collects a  
23 user fee under paragraph (1)—

1           “(i) may retain up to 100 percent of  
2           the fees collected, as determined by the  
3           Secretary; and

4           “(ii) notwithstanding section  
5           210(b)(4) of the Flood Control Act of  
6           1968 (16 U.S.C. 460d–3(b)(4)), shall use  
7           any retained amounts for operation, main-  
8           tenance, and management activities relat-  
9           ing to recreation and natural resources at  
10          recreation site at which the fee is collected.

11          “(B) REQUIREMENTS.—The use by a non-  
12          Federal public entity or private nonprofit entity  
13          of user fees collected under paragraph (1)—

14                 “(i) shall remain subject to the direc-  
15                 tion and oversight of the Secretary; and

16                 “(ii) shall not affect any existing  
17                 third-party property interest, lease, or  
18                 agreement with the Secretary.

19          “(3) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The authority  
20          of a non-Federal public entity or private nonprofit  
21          entity under this subsection shall be subject to such  
22          terms and conditions as the Secretary determines to  
23          be necessary to protect the interests of the United  
24          States.”; and

25                 (3) in subsection (d)—

1 (A) by striking “For purposes” and insert-  
2 ing the following:

3 “(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes”; and

4 (B) by striking “non-Federal public and  
5 private entities. Any funds received by the Sec-  
6 retary under this section” and inserting the fol-  
7 lowing: “non-Federal public entities, private  
8 nonprofit entities, and other private entities.

9 “(2) DEPOSIT OF FUNDS.—Any funds received  
10 by the Secretary under this subsection”; and

11 (4) by adding at the end the following:

12 “(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

13 “(1) NON-FEDERAL PUBLIC ENTITY.—The term  
14 ‘non-Federal public entity’ means a non-Federal  
15 public entity as defined in the memorandum issued  
16 by the Corp of Engineers on April 4, 2018, and ti-  
17 tled ‘Implementation Guidance for Section 1155,  
18 Management of Recreation Facilities, of the Water  
19 Resources Development Act (WRDA) of 2016, Pub-  
20 lic Law 114- 322’.

21 “(2) PRIVATE NONPROFIT ENTITY.—The term  
22 ‘private nonprofit entity’ means an organization that  
23 is described in section 501(c) of the Internal Rev-  
24 enue Code of 1986 and exempt from taxation under  
25 section 501(a) of that Code.”.

1 **SEC. 124. RETENTION OF RECREATION FEES.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 210(b) of the Flood Con-  
3 trol Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C. 460d–3(b)) is amended—

4 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking “Notwith-  
5 standing” and all that follows through “to establish”  
6 and inserting “Subject to paragraphs (2) and (3),  
7 the Secretary of the Army may establish”;

8 (2) in paragraph (3), by striking “vehicle. Such  
9 maximum amount” and inserting “vehicle, which  
10 amount”; and

11 (3) by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the  
12 following:

13 “(4) DEPOSIT IN TREASURY.—Subject to para-  
14 graph (5), the fees collected under this subsection  
15 shall be deposited in the Treasury of the United  
16 States as miscellaneous receipts.

17 “(5) RETENTION AND USE BY SECRETARY.—

18 “(A) RETENTION.—Of the fees collected  
19 under this subsection, the Secretary may retain,  
20 for use in accordance with subparagraph  
21 (B)(ii)—

22 “(i) for each fiscal year during the  
23 10-year period beginning on the date of en-  
24 actment of this paragraph an amount  
25 equal to the difference between—

1           “(I) the total amount of fees col-  
2           lected under this subsection for the  
3           applicable fiscal year;  
4           “(II) for fiscal year 2024,  
5           \$61,000,000;  
6           “(III) for fiscal year 2025,  
7           \$63,000,000;  
8           “(IV) for fiscal year 2026,  
9           \$64,000,000;  
10          “(V) for fiscal year 2027,  
11          \$66,000,000;  
12          “(VI) for fiscal year 2028,  
13          \$67,000,000;  
14          “(VII) for fiscal year 2029,  
15          \$69,000,000;  
16          “(VIII) for fiscal year 2030,  
17          \$71,000,000; and  
18          “(IX) for fiscal year 2031,  
19          \$72,000,000; and  
20          “(ii) for the first fiscal year after the  
21          10-year period described in clause (i), and  
22          each fiscal year thereafter, the total  
23          amount of fees collected under this sub-  
24          section for the fiscal year.



1           “(B) USE.—The amounts retained by the  
2           Secretary under subparagraph (A) shall—

3                   “(i) be deposited in a special account,  
4                   to be established in the Treasury; and

5                   “(ii) be available for use, without fur-  
6                   ther appropriation, for the operation and  
7                   maintenance of recreation sites and facili-  
8                   ties under the jurisdiction of the Secretary,  
9                   subject to the condition that not less than  
10                  80 percent of fees collected at a specific  
11                  recreation site shall be used at such site.

12           “(6) TREATMENT.—Fees collected under this  
13           subsection—

14                   “(A) shall be in addition to annual appro-  
15                   priated funding provided for the operation and  
16                   maintenance of recreation sites and facilities  
17                   under the jurisdiction of the Secretary; and

18                   “(B) shall not be used as a basis for re-  
19                   ducing annual appropriated funding for such  
20                   operation and maintenance.”.

21           (b) SPECIAL ACCOUNTS.—Amounts in the special ac-  
22           count for the Corps of Engineers described in section  
23           210(b)(4) of the Flood Control Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C.  
24           460d–3(b)(4)) (as in effect on the day before the date of

1 enactment of this Act) that are unobligated on that date  
2 shall—

3           (1) be transferred to the special account estab-  
4           lished under paragraph (5)(B)(i) of section 210(b)  
5           of the Flood Control Act of 1968 (as added by sub-  
6           section (a)(3)); and

7           (2) be available to the Secretary of the Army  
8           for operation and maintenance of any recreation  
9           sites and facilities under the jurisdiction of the Sec-  
10          retary of the Army, without further appropriation,  
11          subject to paragraph (5)(B)(ii) of such section (as  
12          added by subsection (a)(3)).

13 **SEC. 125. DATABASES OF CORPS RECREATIONAL SITES.**

14          The Secretary shall regularly update publicly avail-  
15          able databases maintained, or cooperatively maintained,  
16          by the Corps of Engineers with information on sites oper-  
17          ated or maintained by the Secretary that are used for rec-  
18          reational purposes, including the operational status of,  
19          and the recreational opportunities available at, such sites.

20 **SEC. 126. SERVICES OF VOLUNTEERS.**

21          The Secretary may recognize a volunteer providing  
22          services under the heading “Department of Defense—  
23          Civil—Department of the Army—Corps of Engineers—  
24          Civil—General Provisions” in chapter IV of title I of the  
25          Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1983 (33 U.S.C. 569c)

1 through an award or other appropriate means, except that  
2 such award may not be in the form of a cash award.

3 **SEC. 127. NON-RECREATION OUTGRANT POLICY.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the  
5 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall update  
6 the policy guidance of the Corps of Engineers for the eval-  
7 uation and approval of non-recreational real estate  
8 outgrant requests for the installation, on lands and waters  
9 operated and maintained by the Secretary, of infrastruc-  
10 ture for the provision of broadband services.

11 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—In updating the policy guid-  
12 ance under subsection (a), the Secretary shall ensure that  
13 the policy guidance—

14 (1) requires the consideration of benefits to the  
15 public in evaluating a request described in sub-  
16 section (a);

17 (2) requires the Secretary to consider financial  
18 factors when determining whether there is a viable  
19 alternative to the installation for which approval is  
20 requested as described in subsection (a);

21 (3) requires that a request described in sub-  
22 section (a) be expeditiously approved or denied after  
23 submission of a completed application for such re-  
24 quest; and

1           (4) requires the Secretary to include in any de-  
2           nial of such a request detailed information on the  
3           justification for the denial.

4           (c) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section af-  
5           fects or alters the responsibility of the Secretary—

6           (1) to sustain and protect the natural resources  
7           of lands and waters operated and maintained by the  
8           Secretary; or

9           (2) to carry out a water resources development  
10          project consistent with the purposes for which such  
11          project is authorized.

12 **SEC. 128. NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS AND LOW-HEAD**  
13 **DAMS.**

14          (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6 of the National Dam  
15          Safety Program Act (33 U.S.C. 467d) is amended to read  
16          as follows:

17 **“SEC. 6. NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS AND LOW-HEAD**  
18 **DAMS.**

19          “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Army shall  
20          maintain and update information on the inventory of dams  
21          and low-head dams in the United States.

22          “(b) DAMS.—The inventory maintained under sub-  
23          section (a) shall include any available information assess-  
24          ing each dam based on inspections completed by a Federal

1 agency, a State dam safety agency, or a Tribal govern-  
2 ment.

3 “(c) LOW-HEAD DAMS.—The inventory maintained  
4 under subsection (a) shall include—

5 “(1) the location, ownership, description, cur-  
6 rent use, condition, height, and length of each low-  
7 head dam;

8 “(2) any information on public safety conditions  
9 at each low-head dam; and

10 “(3) any other relevant information concerning  
11 low-head dams.

12 “(d) DATA.—In carrying out this section, the Sec-  
13 retary shall—

14 “(1) coordinate with Federal and State agen-  
15 cies, Tribal governments, and other relevant entities;  
16 and

17 “(2) use data provided to the Secretary by  
18 those agencies and entities.

19 “(e) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The Secretary shall  
20 make the inventory maintained under subsection (a) pub-  
21 licly available (including on a publicly available website),  
22 including—

23 “(1) public safety information on the dangers of  
24 low-head dams; and



1 (B) by adding at the end the following:

2 “(2) EXCEPTION.—For a project under this  
3 section for which the Federal share of the costs is  
4 expected to exceed \$60,000,000, the Secretary may  
5 expend more than such amount only if—

6 “(A) the Secretary submits to Congress  
7 the determination made under subsection (a)  
8 with respect to the project; and

9 “(B) construction of the project substan-  
10 tially in accordance with the plans, and subject  
11 to the conditions, described in such determina-  
12 tion is specifically authorized by Congress.”;  
13 and

14 (2) in subsection (f), by striking “2017 through  
15 2026” and inserting “2025 through 2030”.

16 **SEC. 130. TREATMENT OF PROJECTS IN COVERED COMMU-**  
17 **NITIES.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out a feasibility study  
19 for a project that serves a covered community, the Sec-  
20 retary shall adjust the calculation of the benefit-cost ratio  
21 for the project in order to equitably compare such project  
22 to projects carried out in the contiguous States of the  
23 United States and the District of Columbia.

24 (b) EVALUATION.—In carrying out this section, the  
25 Secretary shall—

1           (1) compute the benefit-cost ratio without ad-  
2           justing the calculation as described in subsection (a);

3           (2) compute an adjusted benefit-cost ratio by  
4           adjusting the construction costs for the project to re-  
5           flect what construction costs would be if the project  
6           were carried out in a comparable community in the  
7           contiguous States that is nearest to the community  
8           in which the project will be carried out;

9           (3) include in the documentation associated  
10          with the feasibility study for the project the ratios  
11          calculated under paragraph (1) and paragraph (2);  
12          and

13          (4) consider the adjusted benefit-cost ratio cal-  
14          culated under paragraph (2) in selecting the ten-  
15          tatively selected plan for the project.

16          (c) COVERED COMMUNITY DEFINED.—In this sec-  
17          tion, the term “covered community” means a community  
18          located in the State of Hawaii, Alaska, the Commonwealth  
19          of Puerto Rico, Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern  
20          Mariana Islands, the United States Virgin Islands, or  
21          American Samoa.

22          **SEC. 131. ABILITY TO PAY.**

23          (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 103(m) of the Water Re-  
24          sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m))  
25          is amended—



1           (1) in paragraph (1) by striking “an agricul-  
2           tural” and inserting “a”;

3           (2) by striking paragraphs (2) and (3) and in-  
4           serting the following:

5           “(2) CRITERIA.—The Secretary shall determine  
6           the ability of a non-Federal interest to pay under  
7           this subsection by considering—

8                   “(A) per capita income data for the county  
9                   or counties in which the project is to be located;

10                   “(B) the per capita non-Federal cost of  
11                   construction of the project for the county or  
12                   counties in which the project is to be located;

13                   “(C) the financial capabilities of the non-  
14                   Federal interest for the project;

15                   “(D) the guidance issued under section  
16                   160 of the Water Resources Development Act  
17                   of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note); and

18                   “(E) any additional criteria relating to the  
19                   non-Federal interest’s financial ability to carry  
20                   out its cost-sharing responsibilities determined  
21                   appropriate by the Secretary.

22           “(3) PROCEDURES.—For purposes of carrying  
23           out paragraph (2), the Secretary shall develop proce-  
24           dures—

1           “(A) to allow a non-Federal interest to  
2 identify the amount such non-Federal interest  
3 would likely be able to pay; and

4           “(B) for a non-Federal interest to submit  
5 a request to the Secretary to reduce the re-  
6 quired non-Federal share.”; and

7           (3) by adding at the end the following:

8           “(5) BENEFITS ANALYSIS CONSIDERATIONS.—

9           In calculating the benefits and costs of project alter-  
10 natives relating to the height of a flood risk reduc-  
11 tion project for purposes of determining the national  
12 economic development benefits of the project, the  
13 Secretary—

14           “(A) shall include insurance costs incurred  
15 by homeowners; and

16           “(B) may consider additional costs in-  
17 curred by households, as appropriate.

18           “(6) EXCEPTION.—This subsection shall not  
19 apply to project costs greater than the national eco-  
20 nomic determination plan.

21           “(7) REPORT.—

22           “(A) IN GENERAL.—Not less frequently  
23 than annually, the Secretary shall submit to the  
24 Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-  
25 ture of the House of Representatives and the

1 Committee on Environment and Public Works  
2 of the Senate a report describing all determina-  
3 tions of the Secretary under this subsection re-  
4 garding the ability of a non-Federal interest to  
5 pay.

6 “(B) CONTENTS.—The Secretary shall in-  
7 clude in each report required under subpara-  
8 graph (A) a description, for the applicable year,  
9 of—

10 “(i) requests by a non-Federal inter-  
11 est to reduce the non-Federal share re-  
12 quired in a cost-sharing agreement;

13 “(ii) the determination of the Sec-  
14 retary with respect to each such request;  
15 and

16 “(iii) the basis for each such deter-  
17 mination.

18 “(C) INCLUSION IN CHIEF’S REPORT.—  
19 The Secretary shall include each determination  
20 to reduce the non-Federal share required in a  
21 cost-sharing agreement for construction of a  
22 project in the report of the Chief of Engineers  
23 for the project.”.

24 (b) UPDATE TO GUIDANCE.—Not later than 1 year  
25 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall

1 update any agency guidance or regulation relating to the  
2 ability of a non-Federal interest to pay as necessary to  
3 reflect the amendments made by this section.

4 (c) PRIORITY PROJECTS.—The Secretary shall make  
5 a determination under section 103(m) of the Water Re-  
6 sources Development Act of 1986, as amended by this sec-  
7 tion, of the ability to pay of the non-Federal interest for  
8 the following projects:

9 (1) Any authorized water resources development  
10 project for which the Secretary waives the cost-shar-  
11 ing requirement under section 1156 of the Water  
12 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.  
13 2310).

14 (2) Any authorized watercraft inspection and  
15 decontamination station established, operated, or  
16 maintained pursuant to section 104(d) of the River  
17 and Harbor Act of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610(d)).

18 (3) The Chattahoochee River Program, author-  
19 ized by section 8144 of the Water Resources Devel-  
20 opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3724).

21 (4) The project for navigation, Craig Harbor,  
22 Alaska, authorized by section 1401(1) of the Water  
23 Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat.  
24 1709).

1           (5) The project for flood risk management,  
2           Westminster, East Garden Grove, California Flood  
3           Risk Management, authorized by section 401(2) of  
4           the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134  
5           Stat. 2735).

6           (6) Modifications to the L-29 levee component  
7           of the Central and Southern Florida project, author-  
8           ized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1948  
9           (62 Stat. 1176), in the vicinity of the Tigertail  
10          camp.

11          (7) Any authorized water resources development  
12          projects in Guam.

13          (8) The project for flood risk management, Ala  
14          Wai Canal, Hawaii, authorized by section 1401(2) of  
15          the Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (132  
16          Stat. 3837).

17          (9) The project for flood control Kentucky  
18          River and its tributaries, Kentucky, authorized by  
19          section 6 of the Act of August 11, 1939 (chapter  
20          699, 53 Stat. 1416).

21          (10) The project for flood risk management on  
22          the Kentucky River and its tributaries and water-  
23          sheds in Breathitt, Clay, Estill, Harlan, Lee, Leslie,  
24          Letcher, Owsley, Perry, and Wolfe Counties, Ken-  
25          tucky, authorized by section 8201(a)(31) of the

1 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136  
2 Stat. 3746).

3 (11) The project for flood control, Williamsport,  
4 Pennsylvania, authorized by section 5 of the Act of  
5 June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1573).

6 (12) The project for ecosystem restoration,  
7 Resacas, in the vicinity of the City of Brownsville,  
8 Texas, authorized by section 1401(5) of the Water  
9 Resources Development Act of 2018 (132 Stat.  
10 3839).

11 (13) Construction of any critical restoration  
12 project in the Lake Champlain watershed, Vermont  
13 and New York, authorized by section 542 of the  
14 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114  
15 Stat. 2671; 121 Stat. 1150; 134 Stat. 2680; 136  
16 Stat. 3822).

17 (14) Any authorized flood control and storm  
18 damage reduction project in the United States Vir-  
19 gin Islands that was impacted by Hurricanes Irma  
20 and Maria.

21 (15) Construction of dredged material stabiliza-  
22 tion and retaining structures related to the project  
23 for navigation, Lower Willamette and Columbia Riv-  
24 ers, from Portland, Oregon, to the sea, authorized

1 by the first section of the Act of June 18, 1878  
2 (chapter 267, 20 Stat. 157, chapter 264).

3 (16) Any water-related environmental infra-  
4 structure project authorized by section 219 of the  
5 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (Public  
6 Law 102–580).

7 **SEC. 132. TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**

8 Section 203 of the Water Resources Development Act  
9 of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269) is amended—

10 (1) in subsection (a), by striking “the term ‘In-  
11 dian tribe’ has the meaning given the term” and in-  
12 serting “the terms ‘Indian tribe’ and ‘Indian Tribe’  
13 have the meanings given the terms”;

14 (2) in subsection (b)—

15 (A) in paragraph (1)(B)—

16 (i) by striking “or in proximity” and  
17 inserting “, in proximity”; and

18 (ii) by inserting “, or in proximity to  
19 a river system or other aquatic habitat  
20 with respect to which an Indian Tribe has  
21 Tribal treaty rights” after “Alaska Native  
22 villages”;

23 (B) in paragraph (2)(A), by inserting “and  
24 stormwater management (including manage-  
25 ment of stormwater that flows at a rate of less

1 than 800 cubic feet per second for the 10-per-  
2 cent flood)” after “erosion control”; and

3 (C) in paragraph (4), by striking  
4 “\$26,000,000” each place it appears and in-  
5 serting “\$28,500,000”; and

6 (3) by striking subsection (e).

7 **SEC. 133. FUNDING TO PROCESS PERMITS.**

8 Section 214(a) of the Water Resources Development  
9 Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2352(a)) is amended—

10 (1) in paragraph (1), by adding at the end the  
11 following:

12 “(D) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term ‘Indian  
13 Tribe’ means—

14 “(i) an Indian Tribe, as such term is  
15 defined in section 4 of the Indian Self-De-  
16 termination and Education Assistance Act  
17 (25 U.S.C. 5304); and

18 “(ii) any entity formed under the au-  
19 thority of one or more Indian Tribes, as so  
20 defined.”;

21 (2) in paragraph (2)—

22 (A) by inserting “Indian Tribe,” after  
23 “public-utility company,” each place it appears;  
24 and



1 (B) in subparagraph (A), by inserting “,  
2 including an aquatic ecosystem restoration  
3 project” before the period at the end; and  
4 (3) by striking paragraph (4).

5 **SEC. 134. PROJECT STUDIES SUBJECT TO INDEPENDENT**  
6 **EXTERNAL PEER REVIEW.**

7 Section 2034 of the Water Resources Development  
8 Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2343) is amended—

9 (1) in subsection (d)(2)—

10 (A) by striking “assess the adequacy and  
11 acceptability of the economic” and insert the  
12 following: “assess the adequacy and accept-  
13 ability of—

14 “(A) the economic”;

15 (B) in subparagraph (A), as so redesign-  
16 nated, by adding “and” at the end; and

17 (C) by adding at the end the following:

18 “(B) the consideration of nonstructural al-  
19 ternatives under section 73(a) of the Water Re-  
20 sources Development Act of 1974 (33 U.S.C.  
21 701b-11(a)) for projects for flood risk manage-  
22 ment;”;

23 (2) by striking subsection (h); and

24 (3) by redesignating subsections (i) through (l)  
25 as subsections (h) through (k), respectively.

1 **SEC. 135. CONTROL OF AQUATIC PLANT GROWTHS AND**  
2 **INVASIVE SPECIES.**

3 Section 104 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958  
4 (33 U.S.C. 610) is amended—

5 (1) in subsection (e)(3), by inserting “, and  
6 monitoring and contingency planning for,” after  
7 “early detection of”; and

8 (2) in subsection (g)(2)(A), by inserting “the  
9 Connecticut River Basin,” after “the Ohio River  
10 Basin,”.

11 **SEC. 136. REMOTE OPERATIONS AT CORPS DAMS.**

12 During the 10-year period beginning on the date of  
13 enactment of this Act, with respect to a water resources  
14 development project owned, operated, or managed by the  
15 Corps of Engineers, the Secretary may not use remote op-  
16 eration activities at a navigation or hydroelectric power  
17 generating facility at such project as a replacement for  
18 activities performed, as of the date of enactment of this  
19 Act, by personnel under the direction of the Secretary at  
20 such project unless the Secretary provides to the Com-  
21 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House  
22 of Representatives and the Committee on Environment  
23 and Public Works of the Senate written notice that—

24 (1) use of the remote operation activities—

1 (A) does not affect activities described in  
2 section 314 of the Water Resources Develop-  
3 ment Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2321);

4 (B) will address any cyber and physical se-  
5 curity risks to such project in accordance with  
6 applicable Federal law and agency guidance;  
7 and

8 (C) is necessary to increase the availability  
9 and capacity, as applicable, of such project, in-  
10 cluding a project on a lower-use waterway; and

11 (2) the remote operation activities were devel-  
12 oped under a public process that included engage-  
13 ment with such personnel and other stakeholders  
14 who may be affected by the use of such activities.

15 **SEC. 137. HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOM DEMONSTRATION PRO-**  
16 **GRAM.**

17 Section 128 of the Water Resources Development Act  
18 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note) is amended—

19 (1) in subsection (a), by inserting “or affecting  
20 water bodies of regional, national, or international  
21 importance” after “projects”;

22 (2) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “and State  
23 agencies” and inserting “, State, and local agencies,  
24 institutions of higher education, and private organi-  
25 zations, including nonprofit organizations”;

1           (3) in subsection (c) in paragraph (6), insert  
2           “Watershed” after “Okeechobee”;

3           (4) in subsection (e), by striking “\$25,000,000”  
4           and inserting “\$35,000,000”; and

5           (5) by adding at the end the following:

6           “(f) PRIORITY.—In carrying out the demonstration  
7 program under subsection (a), the Secretary shall, to the  
8 maximum extent possible, prioritize carrying out program  
9 activities that—

10           “(1) reduce nutrient pollution;

11           “(2) utilize natural and nature-based ap-  
12 proaches, including oysters;

13           “(3) protect, enhance, or restore wetlands or  
14 flood plains, including river and streambank sta-  
15 bilization;

16           “(4) develop technologies for remote sensing,  
17 monitoring, or early detection of harmful algal  
18 blooms, or other emerging technologies; and

19           “(5) combine removal of harmful algal blooms  
20 with a beneficial use, including conversion of re-  
21 trieved algae biomass into biofuel, fertilizer, or other  
22 products.

23           “(g) AGREEMENTS.—In carrying out the demonstra-  
24 tion program under subsection (a), the Secretary may  
25 enter into agreements with a non-Federal entity for the

1 use or sale of successful technologies developed under this  
2 section.”.

3 **SEC. 138. SUPPORT OF ARMY CIVIL WORKS MISSIONS.**

4 Section 8159 of the Water Resources Development  
5 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3740) is amended—

6 (1) in paragraph (3), by striking “; and” and  
7 inserting a semicolon;

8 (2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at  
9 the end and inserting “; and”; and

10 (3) by adding at the end the following:

11 “(5) Western Washington University, Bel-  
12 lingham to conduct academic research on water  
13 quality, aquatic ecosystem restoration (including  
14 aquaculture), and the resiliency of water resources  
15 development projects in the Pacific Northwest to  
16 natural disasters;

17 “(6) the University of North Carolina Wil-  
18 mington to conduct academic research on flood miti-  
19 gation, coastal resiliency, water resource ecology,  
20 water quality, aquatic ecosystem restoration (includ-  
21 ing aquaculture), coastal restoration, and resource-  
22 related emergency management in North Carolina  
23 and Mid-Atlantic region; and

24 “(7) California State Polytechnic University,  
25 Pomona to conduct academic research on integrated

1 design and management of water resources develop-  
2 ment projects, including for the purposes of flood  
3 risk management, ecosystem restoration, water sup-  
4 ply, water conservation, and sustainable aquifer  
5 management.”.

6 **SEC. 139. NATIONAL COASTAL MAPPING PROGRAM.**

7 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to  
8 carry out a national coastal mapping program to provide  
9 recurring national coastal mapping along the coasts of the  
10 United States to support Corps of Engineers navigation,  
11 flood risk management, environmental restoration, and  
12 emergency operations missions.

13 (b) SCOPE.—In carrying out the program under sub-  
14 section (a), the Secretary shall—

15 (1) disseminate coastal mapping data and new  
16 or advanced geospatial information and remote sens-  
17 ing tools for coastal mapping derived from the anal-  
18 ysis of such data to the Corps of Engineers, other  
19 Federal agencies, States, and other stakeholders;

20 (2) implement coastal surveying based on find-  
21 ings of the national coastal mapping study carried  
22 out under section 8110 of the Water Resources De-  
23 velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3702);

24 (3) conduct research and development on bathy-  
25 metric liDAR and ancillary technologies necessary to

1 advance coastal mapping capabilities in order to ex-  
2 ploit data with increased efficiency and greater ac-  
3 curacy;

4 (4) with respect to any region affected by a  
5 hurricane rated category 3 or higher—

6 (A) conduct coastal mapping of such re-  
7 gion;

8 (B) determine volume changes at Federal  
9 projects in such region;

10 (C) quantify damage to navigation infra-  
11 structure in such region;

12 (D) assess environmental impacts to such  
13 region, measure any coastal impacts; and

14 (E) make any data gathered under this  
15 paragraph publicly available not later than 2  
16 weeks after the acquisition of such data;

17 (5) at the request of another Federal entity or  
18 a State or local government entity, provide subject  
19 matter expertise, mapping services, and technology  
20 evolution assistance;

21 (6) enter into an agreement with another Fed-  
22 eral agency or a State agency to accept funds from  
23 such agency to expand the coverage of the program  
24 to efficiently meet the needs of such agency;

1           (7) coordinate with representatives of the Naval  
2 Meteorology and Oceanography Command, the Na-  
3 tional Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,  
4 United States Geological Survey, and any other rep-  
5 resentative of a Federal agency that the Secretary  
6 determines necessary, to support any relevant Fed-  
7 eral, State, or local agency through participation in  
8 working groups, committees, and organizations.

9           (8) maintain the panel of senior leaders estab-  
10 lished under section 8110(e) of the Water Resources  
11 Development Act of 2022;

12           (9) convene an annual coastal mapping commu-  
13 nity of practice meeting to discuss and identify tech-  
14 nical topics and challenges to inform such panel in  
15 carrying out the duties of such panel; and

16           (10) to the maximum extent practicable, to pro-  
17 cure any surveying or mapping services in accord-  
18 ance with chapter 11 of title 40, United States  
19 Code.

20       (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
21 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section for  
22 each fiscal year \$15,000,000, to remain available until ex-  
23 pended.



1 **SEC. 140. WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.**

2 Section 729 of the Water Resources Development Act  
3 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2267a) is amended—

4 (1) in subsection (d)—

5 (A) in paragraph (12), by striking “; and”  
6 and inserting a semicolon;

7 (B) in paragraph (13), by striking the pe-  
8 riod at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

9 (C) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(14) Connecticut River Watershed, Con-  
11 necticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and  
12 Vermont;

13 “(15) Lower Rouge River Watershed, Michigan;  
14 and

15 “(16) Grand River Watershed, Michigan.”; and

16 (2) by adding at the end the following:

17 “(g) FEASIBILITY REPORT ON PROJECT SPECIFIC  
18 RECOMMENDATIONS FROM ASSESSMENTS.—

19 “(1) IN GENERAL.—At the request of a non-  
20 Federal interest for an assessment completed under  
21 this section, the Secretary is authorized to prepare  
22 a feasibility report, in accordance with the require-  
23 ments of section 905, recommending the construc-  
24 tion or modification of a water resources develop-  
25 ment project to address a water resources need of a

1 river basin or watershed of the United States identi-  
2 fied in the assessment.

3 “(2) PRIORITY WATERSHEDS.—In carrying out  
4 this subsection, the Secretary shall give priority to—

5 “(A) the watersheds of the island of Maui,  
6 Hawaii, including the Wahikuli, Honokōwai,  
7 Kahana, Honokahua, and Honolua watersheds,  
8 including the coral reef habitat north of  
9 Lahaina off the northwestern coast of the is-  
10 land of Maui; and

11 “(B) the watersheds of the Northern Mar-  
12 iana Islands, American Samoa, and Guam.”.

13 **SEC. 141. REMOVAL OF ABANDONED VESSELS.**

14 Section 19 of the Act of March 3, 1899 (33 U.S.C.  
15 414) is amended—

16 (1) by striking “**SEC. 19. (a)** That whenever”  
17 and inserting the following:

18 **“SEC. 19. VESSEL REMOVAL BY CORPS OF ENGINEERS.**

19 “(a) REMOVAL OF OBSTRUCTIVE VESSELS.—

20 “(1) IN GENERAL.—That whenever”;

21 (2) in subsection (b)—

22 (A) by striking “described in this section”

23 and inserting “described in this subsection”;

24 and

1 (B) by striking “under subsection (a)” and  
2 inserting “under paragraph (1)”;

3 (3) by striking “(b) The owner” and inserting  
4 the following:

5 “(2) LIABILITY OF OWNER, LESSEE, OR OPER-  
6 ATOR.—The owner”; and

7 (4) by adding at the end the following:

8 “(b) REMOVAL OF ABANDONED VESSEL.—

9 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized  
10 to remove from the navigable waters of the United  
11 States a covered vessel that does not obstruct the  
12 navigation of such waters, if—

13 “(A) such removal is determined to be in  
14 the public interest by the Secretary, in con-  
15 sultation with any State in which the vessel is  
16 located or any Indian Tribe with jurisdiction  
17 over the area in which the vessel is located, as  
18 applicable; and

19 “(B) in the case of a vessel that is not  
20 under the control of the United States by rea-  
21 son of seizure or forfeiture, the Commandant of  
22 the Coast Guard determines that the vessel is  
23 abandoned.

1           “(2) INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS.—In remov-  
2           ing a covered vessel under this subsection, the Sec-  
3           retary—

4                   “(A) shall enter into an interagency agree-  
5                   ment with the head of any Federal department,  
6                   agency, or instrumentality that has control of  
7                   such vessel; and

8                   “(B) is authorized to accept funds from  
9                   such department, agency, or instrumentality for  
10                  the removal of such vessel.

11           “(3) LIABILITY.—The owner of a covered vessel  
12           shall be liable to the United States for the costs of  
13           removal, destruction, and disposal of such vessel  
14           under this subsection.

15           “(4) COVERED VESSEL DEFINED.—

16                   “(A) IN GENERAL.—In this subsection, the  
17                   term ‘covered vessel’ means a vessel—

18                           “(i) determined to be abandoned by  
19                           the Commandant of the Coast Guard; or

20                           “(ii) under the control of the United  
21                           States by reason of seizure or forfeiture  
22                           pursuant to any law.

23                   “(B) EXCLUSION.—The term ‘covered ves-  
24                   sel’ does not include—

1           “(i) any vessel for which the Secretary  
2           has removal authority under subsection (a)  
3           or section 20;

4           “(ii) an abandoned barge for which  
5           the Commandant of the Coast Guard has  
6           the authority to remove under chapter 47  
7           of title 46, United States Code; and

8           “(iii) a vessel—

9                   “(I) for which the owner is not  
10                  identified, unless determined to be  
11                  abandoned by the Commandant of the  
12                  Coast Guard; or

13                   “(II) for which the owner has not  
14                  agreed to pay the costs of removal,  
15                  destruction, or disposal.

16           “(5) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—  
17           There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out  
18           this section \$10,000,000 for each of fiscal years  
19           2025 through 2029.”.

20 **SEC. 142. CORROSION PREVENTION.**

21           Section 1033(c) of the Water Resources Reform and  
22           Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2350(c)) is amend-  
23           ed—

24                   (1) in paragraph (2), by striking “; and” and  
25                  inserting a semicolon;

1           (2) by redesignating paragraph (3) as para-  
2 graph (4); and

3           (3) by inserting after paragraph (2) the fol-  
4 lowing:

5           “(3) the carrying out of an activity described in  
6 paragraph (1), (2), or (3) through a program in cor-  
7 rosion prevention that is—

8                   “(A) offered or accredited by an organiza-  
9 tion that sets industry standards for corrosion  
10 mitigation and prevention; or

11                   “(B) an industrial coatings applicator pro-  
12 gram that is—

13                           “(i) an employment and training ac-  
14 tivity (as defined in section 3 of the Work-  
15 force Innovation and Opportunity Act (29  
16 U.S.C. 3102)); or

17                           “(ii) registered under the Act of Au-  
18 gust 16, 1937 (commonly known as the  
19 ‘National Apprenticeship Act’; 50 Stat.  
20 664, chapter 663; 29 U.S.C. 50 et seq.);  
21 and”.

22 **SEC. 143. MISSOURI RIVER EXISTING FEATURES PROTEC-**  
23 **TION.**

24           (a) IN GENERAL.—Before carrying out a covered ac-  
25 tion with respect to a covered in-river feature, the Sec-

1   retary shall perform an analysis to identify whether such  
2   action will—

3           (1) contribute to adverse effects of increased  
4           water levels during flood events adjacent to the cov-  
5           ered in-river feature;

6           (2) increase risk of flooding on commercial and  
7           residential structures and critical infrastructure ad-  
8           jacent to the covered in-river feature;

9           (3) decrease water levels during droughts adja-  
10          cent to the covered in-river feature;

11          (4) affect the navigation channel, including  
12          crossflows, velocity, channel depth, and channel  
13          width, adjacent to the covered in-river feature;

14          (5) contribute to bank erosion on private lands  
15          adjacent to the covered in-river feature;

16          (6) affect ports or harbors adjacent to the cov-  
17          ered in-river feature; or

18          (7) affect harvesting of sand adjacent to the  
19          covered in-river feature.

20          (b) MITIGATION.—If the Secretary determines that  
21          a covered action will result in an outcome described in sub-  
22          section (a), the Secretary shall mitigate such outcome.

23          (c) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section may  
24          be construed to affects the requirements of section 906

1 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
2 U.S.C. 2283).

3 (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

4 (1) COVERED ACTION.—The term “covered ac-  
5 tion” means the construction of, modification of,  
6 operational changes to, or implementation of a cov-  
7 ered in-river feature.

8 (2) COVERED IN-RIVER FEATURE.—The term  
9 “covered in-river feature” means in-river features on  
10 the Missouri River used to create and maintain dike  
11 notches, chutes, and complexes for interception or  
12 rearing authorized pursuant to section 601(a) of the  
13 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100  
14 Stat. 4143; 113 Stat. 306; 121 Stat. 1155) and sec-  
15 tion 334 of the Water Resources Development Act  
16 of 1999 (113 Stat. 306; 136 Stat. 3799).

17 **SEC. 144. FEDERAL BREAKWATERS AND JETTIES.**

18 Section 8101 of the Water Resources Development  
19 Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2351b) is amended—

20 (1) by inserting “, pile dike,” after “jetty” each  
21 place it appears; and

22 (2) in subsection (b)(2)—

23 (A) by striking “if” and all that follows  
24 through “the Secretary” and inserting “if the  
25 Secretary”;



1 (B) by striking “breakwater; and” and in-  
2 serting “breakwater and—”

3 (C) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as  
4 subparagraph (A);

5 (D) in subparagraph (A) (as so redesign-  
6 nated), by striking the period at the end and in-  
7 serting “; or”; and

8 (E) by adding at the end the following:

9 “(B) the pile dike has disconnected from  
10 an authorized navigation project as a result of  
11 a lack of such regular and routine Federal  
12 maintenance activity.”.

13 **SEC. 145. TEMPORARY RELOCATION ASSISTANCE PILOT**  
14 **PROGRAM.**

15 Section 8154(g)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-  
16 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3734) is amended by adding  
17 at the end the following:

18 “(F) Project for hurricane and storm dam-  
19 age risk reduction, Norfolk Coastal Storm Risk  
20 Management, Virginia, authorized by section  
21 401(3) of the Water Resources Development  
22 Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2738).”.

1 **SEC. 146. EASEMENTS FOR HURRICANE AND STORM DAM-**  
2 **AGE REDUCTION PROJECTS.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—With respect to a project for hur-  
4 ricane and storm damage reduction that has been author-  
5 ized before the date of enactment of this Act and for which  
6 the Secretary is requiring a perpetual easement, the Sec-  
7 retary shall, upon request by the non-Federal interest for  
8 the project, certify real estate availability and proceed to  
9 construction of such project with a non-perpetual ease-  
10 ment if—

11 (1) such certification and construction are in  
12 compliance with the terms of the report of the Chief  
13 of Engineers for the project and the applicable  
14 project partnership agreement; and

15 (2) the Secretary provides the non-Federal in-  
16 terest with formal notice that, in the event in which  
17 the non-perpetual easement expires and is not ex-  
18 tended, the Secretary will be unable to—

19 (A) fulfill the Federal responsibility with  
20 respect to the project or carry out any required  
21 nourishment of the project under the existing  
22 project authorization;

23 (B) carry out repair and rehabilitation of  
24 the project under section 5 of the Act of August  
25 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n); and

1 (C) provide any other relevant Federal as-  
2 sistance with respect to the project.

3 (b) DISCLOSURE.—For any project for hurricane  
4 storm damage risk reduction, or a proposal to modify such  
5 a project, that is authorized after the date of enactment  
6 of this Act for which a perpetual easement is required for  
7 Federal participation in the project, the Secretary shall  
8 include in the report of the Chief of Engineers for the  
9 project a disclosure of such requirement.

10 (c) MANAGEMENT.—To the maximum extent prac-  
11 ticable, the Secretary shall, at the request of the non-Fed-  
12 eral interest for a project for hurricane storm damage risk  
13 reduction, identify and accept the minimum real estate in-  
14 terests necessary to carry out the project, in accordance  
15 with section 103.

16 (d) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section may  
17 be construed to affect the requirements of section 103(d)  
18 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
19 U.S.C. 2213(d)).

20 **SEC. 147. SHORELINE AND RIVERINE PROTECTION AND**  
21 **RESTORATION.**

22 Section 212(e)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-  
23 ment Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2332(e)(2)) is amended by  
24 adding at the end the following:

1                   “(L) Shoreline of the State of Con-  
2                   necticut.”.

3 **SEC. 148. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATED TO WATER DATA.**

4           It is the sense of Congress that, for the purpose of  
5 improving water resources management, the Secretary  
6 should—

7                   (1) develop and implement a framework for in-  
8                   tegrating, sharing, and using water data;

9                   (2) identify and prioritize key water data need-  
10                  ed to support water resources management and  
11                  planning, including—

12                               (A) water data sets, types, and associated  
13                               metadata; and

14                               (B) water data infrastructure, tech-  
15                               nologies, and tools;

16                   (3) in consultation with other Federal agencies,  
17                  States, Indian Tribes, local governments, and rel-  
18                  evant stakeholders, develop and adopt common na-  
19                  tional standards for collecting, sharing, and inte-  
20                  grating water data, infrastructure, technologies, and  
21                  tools;

22                   (4) ensure that water data is publicly accessible  
23                  and interoperable;



1           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after  
2 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
3 shall submit to the Committees on Transportation  
4 and Infrastructure and Appropriations of the House  
5 of Representatives and the Committees on Environ-  
6 ment and Public Works and Appropriations of the  
7 Senate a report detailing the status of the reports  
8 described in paragraph (2).

9           (2) REPORTS DESCRIBED.—The reports de-  
10 scribed in this paragraph are the following:

11           (A) The comprehensive backlog and oper-  
12 ation and maintenance report required under  
13 section 1001(b)(2) of the Water Resources De-  
14 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 579a(b)(2)).

15           (B) The report on managed aquifer re-  
16 charge required under section 8108(d) of the  
17 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (33  
18 U.S.C. 2357(d)).

19           (C) The plan on beneficial use of dredged  
20 material required under section 8130(a) of the  
21 Water Resources Development Act of 2022  
22 (136 Stat. 3717).

23           (D) The updated report on Corps of Engi-  
24 neers Reservoirs required under section 8153 of

1 the Water Resources Development Act of 2022  
2 (136 Stat. 3734).

3 (E) The report on dredge capacity require  
4 under section 8205 of the Water Resources De-  
5 velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3754).

6 (F) The report on the assessment of the  
7 consequences of changing operation and mainte-  
8 nance responsibilities required under section  
9 8206 of the Water Resources Development Act  
10 of 2022 (136 Stat. 3756).

11 (G) The report on the western infrastruc-  
12 ture study required under section 8208 of the  
13 Water Resources Development Act of 2022  
14 (136 Stat. 3756).

15 (H) The report on excess lands for Whit-  
16 tier Narrows Dam, California required under  
17 section 8213 of the Water Resources Develop-  
18 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3758).

19 (I) The report on recreational boating in  
20 the Great Lakes basin required under section  
21 8218 of the Water Resources Development Act  
22 of 2022 (136 Stat. 3761).

23 (J) The report on the disposition study on  
24 hydropower in the Willamette Valley, Oregon  
25 required under section 8220 of the Water Re-

1 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat  
2 3762).

3 (K) The report on corrosion prevention ac-  
4 tivities required under section 8234 of the  
5 Water Resources Development Act of 2022  
6 (136 Stat. 3767).

7 (3) ELEMENTS.—The Secretary shall include in  
8 the report required under paragraph (1) the fol-  
9 lowing information with respect to each report de-  
10 scribed in paragraph (2):

11 (A) A summary of the status of each such  
12 report, including if the report has been initi-  
13 ated.

14 (B) The amount of funds that—

15 (i) have been made available to carry  
16 out each such report; and

17 (ii) the Secretary requires to complete  
18 each such report.

19 (C) A detailed assessment of how the Sec-  
20 retary intends to complete each such report, in-  
21 cluding an anticipated timeline for completion.

22 (D) Any available information that is rel-  
23 evant to each such report that would inform the  
24 committees described in paragraph (1).

25 (b) ANNUAL REPORTS.—



1           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 10 days after  
2           the date on which the budget of the President for  
3           each fiscal year is submitted to Congress pursuant  
4           to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the  
5           Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Trans-  
6           portation and Infrastructure and Appropriations of  
7           the House of Representatives and the Committees  
8           on Environment and Public Works and Appropria-  
9           tions of the Senate a report on the status of each  
10          covered report.

11          (2) ELEMENTS.—The Secretary shall include in  
12          the report required under paragraph (1) the fol-  
13          lowing information:

14                 (A) A summary of the status of each cov-  
15                 ered report, including if each such report has  
16                 been initiated.

17                 (B) The amount of funds that—

18                         (i) have been made available to carry  
19                         out each such report; and

20                         (ii) the Secretary requires to complete  
21                         each such report.

22                 (C) A detailed assessment of how the Sec-  
23                 retary intends to complete each covered report,  
24                 including an anticipated timeline for comple-  
25                 tion.

1           (3) PUBLICLY AVAILABLE.—The Secretary shall  
2           make each report required under paragraph (1) pub-  
3           licly available on the website of the Corps of Engi-  
4           neers.

5           (4) NOTIFICATION OF COMMITTEES.—The Sec-  
6           retary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
7           tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
8           atives and the Committee on the Environment and  
9           Public Works of the Senate on an annual basis a  
10          draft of each covered report.

11          (5) DEFINITION OF COVERED REPORT.—In this  
12          subsection, the term “covered report”—

13                 (A) means any report or study required to  
14                 be submitted by the Secretary under this Act or  
15                 any Act providing authorizations for water re-  
16                 sources development projects enacted after the  
17                 date of enactment of this Act to the Committee  
18                 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the  
19                 House of Representatives and the Committee  
20                 on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-  
21                 ate that has not been so submitted; and

22                 (B) does not include a feasibility study (as  
23                 such term is defined in section 105 of the  
24                 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
25                 U.S.C. 2215(d)).

1                   **TITLE II—STUDIES AND**  
2                   **REPORTS**

3 **SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF PROPOSED FEASIBILITY**  
4                   **STUDIES.**

5           (a) NEW PROJECTS.—The Secretary is authorized to  
6 conduct a feasibility study for the following projects for  
7 water resources development and conservation and other  
8 purposes, as identified in the reports titled “Report to  
9 Congress on Future Water Resources Development” sub-  
10 mitted to Congress pursuant to section 7001 of the Water  
11 Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33  
12 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise reviewed by Congress:

13                   (1) LUXAPALLILA CREEK, MILLPORT, ALA-  
14 BAMA.—Project for flood risk management, Town of  
15 Millport and vicinity, Alabama.

16                   (2) YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA.—Project for  
17 flood risk management, Yavapai County, in the vi-  
18 cinity of the City of Cottonwood, Arizona.

19                   (3) CLEAR LAKE, CALIFORNIA.—Project for  
20 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,  
21 Clear Lake, Lake County, California.

22                   (4) COSUMNES RIVER WATERSHED, CALI-  
23 FORNIA.—Project for flood risk management, eco-  
24 system restoration, water supply, and related pur-  
25 poses, Cosumnes River watershed, California.

1           (5) HESPERIA, CALIFORNIA.—Project for flood  
2 risk management, city of Hesperia, California.

3           (6) PILLAR POINT HARBOR, CALIFORNIA.—  
4 Project for flood risk management and storm dam-  
5 age risk reduction, Pillar Point Harbor, California.

6           (7) RIALTO CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA.—Project  
7 for flood risk management, Rialto Channel, city of  
8 Rialto and vicinity, California.

9           (8) SALINAS RIVER, CALIFORNIA.—Project for  
10 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,  
11 Salinas River, California.

12           (9) SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA.—Project  
13 for flood risk management, city of San Bernardino,  
14 California.

15           (10) SAN DIEGO BAY, CALIFORNIA.—Project for  
16 flood risk management, San Diego Bay, California.

17           (11) SAN DIEGO AND ORANGE COUNTIES, CALI-  
18 FORNIA.—Project for flood and coastal storm risk  
19 management and ecosystem restoration, San Diego  
20 and Orange Counties, California.

21           (12) SAN FELIPE LAKE AND PAJARO RIVER,  
22 SAN BENITO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Project for  
23 flood risk management, San Felipe Lake and Pajaro  
24 River, San Benito County, California.

1           (13) CITY OF SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA.—  
2           Project for flood risk management, including  
3           stormwater runoff reduction, City of San Mateo,  
4           California.

5           (14) SANTA ANA RIVER, ANAHEIM, CALI-  
6           FORNIA.—Project for flood risk management, water  
7           supply, and recreation, Santa Ana River, Anaheim,  
8           California.

9           (15) SANTA ANA RIVER, JURUPA VALLEY, CALI-  
10          FORNIA.—Project for ecosystem restoration and  
11          recreation, Santa Ana River, Jurupa Valley, Cali-  
12          fornia.

13          (16) SWEETWATER RESERVOIR, CALIFORNIA.—  
14          Project for ecosystem restoration and water supply,  
15          Sweetwater Reservoir, California.

16          (17) FOUNTAIN CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, COL-  
17          ORADO.—Project for flood risk management and  
18          ecosystem restoration, Fountain Creek, Colorado  
19          Springs and Pueblo, Colorado.

20          (18) CITY OF NORWALK, CONNECTICUT.—  
21          Project for flood risk management, City of Norwalk,  
22          Connecticut, in the vicinity of the Norwalk waste-  
23          water treatment plant.

24          (19) CONNECTICUT SHORELINE, CON-  
25          NECTICUT.—Project for hurricane and storm dam-

1 age risk reduction, Connecticut shoreline, Con-  
2 necticut.

3 (20) PARK RIVER CONDUIT, CITY OF HART-  
4 FORD, CONNECTICUT.—Project for flood risk man-  
5 agement, including stormwater management, City of  
6 Hartford, Connecticut and vicinity.

7 (21) WESTPORT BEACHES, CONNECTICUT.—  
8 Project for hurricane and storm damage risk reduc-  
9 tion and ecosystem restoration, Westport, Con-  
10 necticut.

11 (22) DELAWARE INLAND BAYS WATERSHED,  
12 DELAWARE.—Project for flood risk management,  
13 hurricane and storm risk reduction, and ecosystem  
14 restoration, including shoreline stabilization, Dela-  
15 ware Inland Bays watershed, Delaware.

16 (23) TOWN OF MILTON, DELAWARE.—Project  
17 for flood risk management, Town of Milton, Dela-  
18 ware.

19 (24) CITY OF WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.—  
20 Project for flood risk management and hurricane  
21 and storm risk reduction, City of Wilmington, Dela-  
22 ware.

23 (25) ANACOSTIA RIVER BANK AND SEAWALLS,  
24 DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND MARYLAND.—Project  
25 for navigation, ecosystem restoration, and recre-

1 ation, including dredging and sediment management,  
2 Anacostia River bank and seawalls, Washington,  
3 District of Columbia and Prince George's County,  
4 Maryland.

5 (26) FLETCHERS COVE, DISTRICT OF COLUM-  
6 BIA.—Project for recreation, including dredging,  
7 Fletchers Cove, District of Columbia.

8 (27) EAST LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA, FLORIDA.—  
9 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem  
10 restoration, including sediment and debris manage-  
11 ment, East Lake Tohopekaliga, Florida.

12 (28) FLORIDA SPACEPORT SYSTEM MARINE  
13 INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION WHARF, FLORIDA.—  
14 Project for navigation, Florida Spaceport System  
15 Marine Intermodal Transportation Wharf, in the vi-  
16 cinity of Cape Canaveral, Florida.

17 (29) FORT GEORGE INLET, JACKSONVILLE,  
18 FLORIDA.—Project for coastal storm risk manage-  
19 ment, including shoreline damage prevention and  
20 mitigation, Fort George Inlet, city of Jacksonville,  
21 Florida.

22 (30) LAKE CONWAY, FLORIDA.—Project for  
23 flood risk management, navigation, and ecosystem  
24 restoration, including sediment and debris manage-  
25 ment, Lake Conway, Florida.

1           (31) MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, TAMPA, FLOR-  
2           IDA.—Project for hurricane and storm damage risk  
3           reduction and ecosystem restoration in the vicinity  
4           of MacDill Air Force Base, City of Tampa, Florida.

5           (32) PALATKA BARGE PORT, PUTNAM COUNTY,  
6           FLORIDA.—Project for navigation, Palatka Barge  
7           Port, Putnam County, Florida.

8           (33) CAMP CREEK TRIBUTARY, GEORGIA.—  
9           Project for flood risk management and ecosystem  
10          restoration, including stream restoration, along the  
11          Camp Creek Tributary in Fulton County, Georgia.

12          (34) COLLEGE PARK, GEORGIA.—Project for  
13          flood risk management, City of College Park, Geor-  
14          gia.

15          (35) PROCTOR CREEK, SMYRNA, GEORGIA.—  
16          Project for flood risk management, Proctor Creek,  
17          Smyrna, Georgia, including Jonquil Driver  
18          Stormwater Park.

19          (36) TYBEE ISLAND, GEORGIA.—Project for  
20          ecosystem restoration and hurricane and storm dam-  
21          age risk reduction, Tybee Island, Georgia, including  
22          by incorporating other Federal studies conducted on  
23          the effect of the construction of Savannah Harbor  
24          Channel on the shoreline of Tybee Island.



1           (37) GUAM.—Project for flood risk manage-  
2           ment and coastal storm risk management, Guam.

3           (38) KAUA‘I, HAWAII.—Project for flood and  
4           coastal storm risk management, County of Kaua‘i,  
5           Hawaii.

6           (39) KAIKA-WAIALUA WATERSHED, HAWAII.—  
7           Project for flood risk management, Kaiaka-Waialua  
8           watershed, O‘ahu, Hawaii.

9           (40) BERWYN, ILLINOIS.—Project for com-  
10          prehensive flood risk management, City of Berwyn,  
11          Illinois.

12          (41) BUTTERFIELD CREEK, ILLINOIS.—Project  
13          for flood risk management and ecosystem restora-  
14          tion, Butterfield Creek, Illinois.

15          (42) FRANKLIN PARK, ILLINOIS.—Project for  
16          flood risk management, ecosystem restoration, and  
17          water supply, Village of Franklin Park, Illinois.

18          (43) ROCKY RIPPLE, INDIANA.—Project for  
19          flood risk management, Town of Rocky Ripple, Indi-  
20          ana.

21          (44) BAYOU RIGAUD TO CAMINADA PASS, LOU-  
22          ISIANA.—Project for navigation, Bayou Rigaud to  
23          Caminada Pass, Louisiana.

1           (45) HAGAMAN CHUTE, LAKE PROVIDENCE,  
2           LOUISIANA.—Project for navigation, including wid-  
3           ening, Hagaman Chute, Lake Providence, Louisiana.

4           (46) LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN STORM SURGE RE-  
5           DUCTION PROJECT, LOUISIANA.—Project for hurri-  
6           cane and storm damage risk reduction, Lake Pont-  
7           chartrain, Orleans, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa, Liv-  
8           ingston, St. James, St. John, St. Charles, Jefferson,  
9           and St. Bernard Parishes, Louisiana.

10          (47) NATCHITOCHES, LOUISIANA.—Project for  
11          flood risk management, City of Natchitoches, Lou-  
12          isiana.

13          (48) NEW ORLEANS METRO AREA, LOU-  
14          ISIANA.—Project for ecosystem restoration and  
15          water supply, including mitigation of saltwater  
16          wedges, for the City of New Orleans and metro area,  
17          Louisiana.

18          (49) PILOTTOWN, LOUISIANA.—Project for  
19          navigation and flood risk management, including  
20          dredging, in the vicinity of Pilottown, Plaquemines  
21          Parish, Louisiana.

22          (50) BALTIMORE INLAND FLOODING, MARY-  
23          LAND.—Project for inland flood risk management,  
24          City of Baltimore and Baltimore County, Maryland.

1           (51) BEAVERDAM CREEK, PRINCE GEORGE’S  
2 COUNTY, MARYLAND.—Project for flood risk man-  
3 agement, Beaverdam Creek, Prince George’s County,  
4 Maryland, in the vicinity of United States Route 50  
5 and railroads.

6           (52) MARYLAND BEACHES, MARYLAND.—  
7 Project for hurricane and storm damage risk reduc-  
8 tion and flood risk management in the vicinity of  
9 United States Route 1, Maryland.

10          (53) CAPE COD CANAL, MASSACHUSETTS.—  
11 Project for recreation, Cape Cod Canal, in the vicin-  
12 ity of Tidal Flats Recreation Area, Massachusetts.

13          (54) LEOMINSTER, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project  
14 for flood risk management, City of Leominster, Mas-  
15 sachusetts.

16          (55) LOWER COBB BROOK, MASSACHUSETTS.—  
17 Project for flood risk management, Lower Cobb  
18 Brook, City of Taunton, Massachusetts.

19          (56) SUNSET BAY, CHARLES RIVER, MASSACHU-  
20 SETTS.—Project for navigation, flood risk manage-  
21 ment, recreation, and ecosystem restoration, includ-  
22 ing dredging, in the vicinity of Sunset Bay, Charles  
23 River, cities of Boston, Watertown, and Newton,  
24 Massachusetts.

1           (57) SQUANTUM CAUSEWAY, MASSACHU-  
2           SETTS.—Project for flood and coastal storm risk  
3           management, Squantum, in the vicinity of East  
4           Squantum Street and Dorchester Street Causeway,  
5           Quincy, Massachusetts.

6           (58) TOWN NECK BEACH, SANDWICH, MASSA-  
7           CHUSETTS.—Project for flood risk management and  
8           coastal storm risk management, including shoreline  
9           damage prevention and mitigation, Town Neck  
10          Beach, town of Sandwich, Massachusetts.

11          (59) WESTPORT HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS.—  
12          Project for flood risk management, hurricane and  
13          storm damage risk reduction, and navigation, includ-  
14          ing improvements to the breakwater at Westport  
15          Harbor, Town of Westport, Massachusetts.

16          (60) ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN.—Project for  
17          water supply, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

18          (61) KALAMAZOO RIVER WATERSHED, MICHIGAN.—  
19          Project for flood risk management and eco-  
20          system restoration, Kalamazoo River Watershed and  
21          tributaries, Michigan.

22          (62) MCCOMB, MISSISSIPPI.—Project for flood  
23          risk management, city of McComb, Mississippi.

24          (63) MILES CITY, MONTANA.—Project for flood  
25          risk management, Miles City, Montana.

1           (64) BERKELEY HEIGHTS, NEW PROVIDENCE,  
2           AND SUMMIT, NEW JERSEY.—Project for flood risk  
3           management, Township of Berkeley Heights, Bor-  
4           ough of New Providence, and City of Summit, New  
5           Jersey.

6           (65) BERRY'S CREEK, NEW JERSEY.—Project  
7           for flood risk management, Berry's Creek, New Jer-  
8           sey.

9           (66) FLEISCHER BROOK, NEW JERSEY.—  
10          Project for flood risk management, Fleischer Brook,  
11          New Jersey.

12          (67) GUTTENBERG, NEW JERSEY.—Project for  
13          flood risk management, Guttenberg, New Jersey, in  
14          the vicinity of John F. Kennedy Boulevard East.

15          (68) PASSAIC RIVER BASIN, NEW JERSEY.—  
16          Project for flood risk management and ecosystem  
17          restoration, Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Morris, and  
18          Passaic Counties, New Jersey.

19          (69) PASSAIC RIVER, PATERSON, NEW JER-  
20          SEY.—Project for navigation and flood risk manage-  
21          ment, Passaic River, Paterson, New Jersey.

22          (70) GREAT FALLS RACEWAYS, PATERSON, NEW  
23          JERSEY.—Project for flood risk management and  
24          hydropower, Paterson, New Jersey.

1           (71) PAULSBORO, NEW JERSEY.—Project for  
2 navigation, Borough of Paulsboro, New Jersey.

3           (72) VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD, NEW JERSEY.—  
4 Project for flood risk management along the Ho-Ho-  
5 Kus Brook and Saddle River, Village of Ridgewood,  
6 New Jersey.

7           (73) WOLF CREEK, NEW JERSEY.—Project for  
8 flood risk management, Wolf Creek, Ridgefield, New  
9 Jersey.

10          (74) DOÑA ANA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.—  
11 Project for water supply, Doña Ana County, New  
12 Mexico.

13          (75) HATCH, NEW MEXICO.—Project for flood  
14 risk management, including the Hatch Dam Project,  
15 Village of Hatch, New Mexico.

16          (76) NAMBE RIVER WATERSHED, NEW MEX-  
17 ICO.—Project for flood risk management and eco-  
18 system restoration, including sediment and debris  
19 management, Nambe River Watershed, New Mexico.

20          (77) OTERO COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.—Project  
21 for flood risk management, Otero County, New Mex-  
22 ico.

23          (78) BABYLON, NEW YORK.—Project for flood  
24 risk management, hurricane and storm damage risk

1 reduction, navigation, and ecosystem restoration,  
2 Town of Babylon, New York.

3 (79) BRONX RIVER, NEW YORK.—Project for  
4 flood risk management and hurricane and storm  
5 damage risk reduction, Bronxville, Tuckahoe, and  
6 Yonkers, New York.

7 (80) BROOKHAVEN, NEW YORK.—Project for  
8 flood risk management, hurricane and storm damage  
9 risk reduction, and ecosystem restoration, Town of  
10 Brookhaven, New York.

11 (81) HIGHLANDS, NEW YORK.—Project for  
12 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,  
13 Highland Brook (also known as “Buttermilk Falls  
14 Brook”) and tributaries, Town of Highlands, Orange  
15 County, New York.

16 (82) INWOOD HILL PARK, NEW YORK.—Project  
17 for ecosystem restoration, Inwood Hill Park,  
18 Spuyten Duyvil Creek, Manhattan, New York.

19 (83) ISLIP, NEW YORK.—Project for flood risk  
20 management, Town of Islip, New York.

21 (84) OYSTER BAY, NEW YORK.—Project for  
22 coastal storm risk management and flood risk man-  
23 agement in the vicinity of Tobay Beach, Town of  
24 Oyster Bay, New York.

1           (85) PASCACK BROOK, ROCKLAND COUNTY,  
2           NEW YORK.—Project for flood risk management,  
3           Pascack Brook, Rockland County, New York, includ-  
4           ing the Village of Spring Valley.

5           (86) SPARKILL CREEK, ORANGETOWN, NEW  
6           YORK.—Project for flood risk management and ero-  
7           sion, Sparkill Creek, Orangetown, New York.

8           (87) TURTLE COVE, NEW YORK.—Project for  
9           ecosystem restoration, Pelham Bay Park,  
10          Eastchester Bay, in the vicinity of Turtle Cove,  
11          Bronx, New York.

12          (88) SOMERS, NEW YORK.—Project for eco-  
13          system restoration and water supply, Town of  
14          Somers, New York.

15          (89) CAPE FEAR RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,  
16          NORTH CAROLINA.—Project for flood risk manage-  
17          ment, in the vicinity of Northeast Cape Fear River  
18          and Black River, North Carolina.

19          (90) LELAND, NORTH CAROLINA.—Project for  
20          flood risk management, navigation, ecosystem res-  
21          toration, and recreation, including bank stabiliza-  
22          tion, for Jackeys Creek in the Town of Leland,  
23          North Carolina.

24          (91) MARION, NORTH CAROLINA.—Project for  
25          flood risk management, including riverbank sta-



1       bilization, along the Catawba River, City of Marion,  
2       North Carolina.

3           (92) PENDER COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
4       Project for flood risk management in the vicinity of  
5       North Carolina Highway 53, Pender County, North  
6       Carolina.

7           (93) PIGEON RIVER, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
8       Project for flood risk management, Pigeon River, in  
9       the vicinity of the towns of Clyde and Canton, Hay-  
10      wood County, North Carolina.

11          (94) UNION COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.—  
12      Project for flood risk management, water supply,  
13      and recreation, Union County, South Carolina.

14          (95) OGALLALA AQUIFER.—Project for water  
15      supply, including aquifer recharge, for the Ogallala  
16      Aquifer, Colorado, Kansas, New Mexico, Oklahoma,  
17      and Texas.

18          (96) COE CREEK, OHIO.—Project for flood risk  
19      management, Coe Creek, City of Fairview Park,  
20      Ohio.

21          (97) GREAT MIAMI RIVER, OHIO.—Project for  
22      flood risk management, ecosystem restoration, and  
23      recreation, including incorporation of existing levee  
24      systems, for the Great Miami River, Ohio.

1           (98) LAKE TEXOMA, OKLAHOMA AND TEXAS.—  
2           Project for water supply, including increased needs  
3           in southern Oklahoma, Lake Texoma, Oklahoma and  
4           Texas.

5           (99) SARDIS LAKE, OKLAHOMA.—Project for  
6           water supply, Sardis Lake, Oklahoma.

7           (100) SIUSLAW RIVER, FLORENCE, OREGON.—  
8           Project for flood risk management and streambank  
9           erosion, Siuslaw River, Florence, Oregon.

10          (101) WILLAMETTE RIVER, LANE COUNTY, OR-  
11          EGON.—Project for flood risk management and eco-  
12          system restoration, Willamette River, Lane County,  
13          Oregon.

14          (102) ALLEGHENY RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
15          Project for navigation and ecosystem restoration, Al-  
16          legheny River, Pennsylvania.

17          (103) BOROUGH OF POTTSTOWN, PENNSYLV-  
18          VANIA.—Project for alternate water supply, Borough  
19          of Pottstown, Pennsylvania.

20          (104) BOROUGH OF NORRISTOWN, PENNSYLV-  
21          VANIA.—Project for flood risk management, includ-  
22          ing dredging along the Schuylkill River, in the Bor-  
23          ough of Norristown and vicinity, Pennsylvania.

24          (105) WEST NORRITON TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLV-  
25          VANIA.—Project for flood risk management and

1 streambank erosion, Stony Creek, in the vicinity of  
2 Whitehall Road, West Norriton Township, Pennsyl-  
3 vania.

4 (106) GUAYAMA, PUERTO RICO.—Project for  
5 flood risk management, Río Guamaní, Guayama,  
6 Puerto Rico.

7 (107) NARANJITO, PUERTO RICO.—Project for  
8 flood risk management, Río Guadiana, Naranjito,  
9 Puerto Rico.

10 (108) OROCOVIS, PUERTO RICO.—Project for  
11 flood risk management, Río Orocovis, Orocovis,  
12 Puerto Rico.

13 (109) PONCE, PUERTO RICO.—Project for flood  
14 risk management, Río Inabón, Ponce, Puerto Rico.

15 (110) SANTA ISABEL, PUERTO RICO.—Project  
16 for flood risk management, Río Descalabrado, Santa  
17 Isabel, Puerto Rico.

18 (111) YAUCO, PUERTO RICO.—Project for flood  
19 risk management, Río Yauco, Yauco, Puerto Rico.

20 (112) GREENE COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—Project  
21 for water supply, including evaluation of Nolichucky  
22 River capabilities, Greene County, Tennessee.

23 (113) DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—  
24 Project for flood risk management, City of Nashville,  
25 Davidson County, Tennessee.

1           (114) GUADALUPE COUNTY, TEXAS.—Project  
2 for flood risk management, Guadalupe County, in-  
3 cluding City of Santa Clara, Texas.

4           (115) WINOOSKI RIVER BASIN, VERMONT.—  
5 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem  
6 restoration, Winooski River basin, Vermont.

7           (116) CEDARBUSH CREEK, GLOUCESTER COUN-  
8 TY, VIRGINIA.—Project for navigation, Cedarbush  
9 Creek, Gloucester County, Virginia.

10          (117) CHICKAHOMINY RIVER, JAMES CITY  
11 COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—Project for flood and coastal  
12 storm risk management, Chickahominy River, James  
13 City County, Virginia.

14          (118) JAMES CITY COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—Project  
15 for flood risk management and navigation, James  
16 City County, Virginia.

17          (119) TIMBERNECK CREEK, GLOUCESTER  
18 COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—Project for navigation,  
19 Timberneck Creek, Gloucester County, Virginia.

20          (120) YORK RIVER, YORK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—  
21 Project for flood risk management and coastal storm  
22 risk management, York River, York County, Vir-  
23 ginia.

24          (121) WAHKIAKUM COUNTY, WASHINGTON.—  
25 Project for flood risk management and sediment

1 management, Grays River, in the vicinity of  
2 Rosburg, Wahkiakum County, Washington.

3 (122) ARCADIA, WISCONSIN.—Project for flood  
4 risk management, city of Arcadia, Wisconsin.

5 (123) CITY OF LA CROSSE, WISCONSIN.—  
6 Project for flood risk management, City of La  
7 Crosse, Wisconsin.

8 (124) RIVER FALLS, WISCONSIN.—Project for  
9 ecosystem restoration, city of River Falls, Wisconsin.

10 (b) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—The Secretary is au-  
11 thorized to conduct a feasibility study for the following  
12 project modifications:

13 (1) BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS,  
14 ALABAMA.—Modifications to the project for naviga-  
15 tion, Coffeeville Lock and Dam, authorized pursuant  
16 to section 4 of the Act of July 5, 1884 (chapter 229,  
17 23 Stat. 148; 35 Stat. 818), and portion of the  
18 project for navigation, Warrior and Tombigbee Riv-  
19 ers, Alabama and Mississippi, consisting of the  
20 Demopolis Lock and Dam on the Warrior-  
21 Tombigbee Waterway, Alabama, authorized by sec-  
22 tion 2 of the Act of March 2, 1945 (59 Stat. 17),  
23 for construction of new locks to maintain naviga-  
24 bility.

1           (2) OSCEOLA HARBOR, ARKANSAS.—Modifica-  
2           tions to the project for navigation, Osceola Harbor,  
3           Arkansas, constructed pursuant to section 107 of  
4           the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577)  
5           and modified by section 3010 of the Water Re-  
6           sources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1108),  
7           to evaluate expansion of the harbor.

8           (3) FARMINGTON DAM, CALIFORNIA.—Modifica-  
9           tions to the project for flood control and other pur-  
10          poses, the Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and  
11          tributaries, California, authorized by section 10 of  
12          the Act of December 22, 1944 (chapter 665, 58  
13          Stat. 902), for improved flood risk management and  
14          to support water supply recharge and storage.

15          (4) HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CALI-  
16          FORNIA.—Modifications to the project for naviga-  
17          tion, Humboldt Harbor and Bay, California, author-  
18          ized by the first section of the Act of July 3, 1930  
19          (chapter 847, 46 Stat. 932; 82 Stat. 732; 110 Stat.  
20          3663), for additional deepening.

21          (5) SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, CALIFORNIA.—  
22          Modifications to the project for flood control, Sac-  
23          ramento-San Joaquin Basin Streams, California, au-  
24          thorized pursuant to the resolution of the Committee  
25          on Public Works of the House of Representatives

1 adopted on May 8, 1964 (docket number 1371), for  
2 improved flood risk management, including dredg-  
3 ing.

4 (6) MADERA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Modifica-  
5 tions to the project for flood risk management,  
6 water supply, and ecosystem restoration, Chowchilla  
7 River, Ash Slough, and Berenda Slough, Madera  
8 County, California, authorized pursuant to section 6  
9 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat.  
10 1595; 52 Stat. 1225).

11 (7) SACRAMENTO RIVER INTEGRATED FLOOD-  
12 PLAIN MANAGEMENT, CALIFORNIA.—Modifications  
13 to the project for flood control, Sacramento River,  
14 California, authorized by section 2 of the Act of  
15 March 1, 1917 (chapter 144, 39 Stat. 949; 76 Stat.  
16 1197), to enhance flood risk reduction, to incor-  
17 porate natural and nature-based features, and to in-  
18 corporate modifications to the portion of such  
19 project north of the Freemont Weir for the purposes  
20 of integrating management of such system with the  
21 adjacent floodplain.

22 (8) THAMES RIVER, CONNECTICUT.—Modifica-  
23 tions to the project for navigation, Thames River,  
24 Connecticut, authorized by the first section of the

1 Act of March 2, 1945 (59 Stat. 13), to increase au-  
2 thorized depth.

3 (9) HANAPĒPĒ RIVER, HAWAII.—Modifications  
4 to the project for local flood protection, Hanapēpē  
5 River, Island of Kaua‘i, Hawaii, authorized by sec-  
6 tion 10 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (chapter  
7 665, 58 Stat. 903), to improve protection provided  
8 by levees and flood control features.

9 (10) LAUPĀHOEHOE HARBOR, HAWAII.—Modi-  
10 fications to the project for navigation, Laupāhoehoe  
11 Harbor, Hawaii, authorized pursuant to section 107  
12 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (74 Stat. 486),  
13 for seawall repair and mitigation.

14 (11) WAIMEA RIVER, KAUA‘I, HAWAII.—Modi-  
15 fications to the project for coastal storm risk man-  
16 agement and ecosystem restoration, Waimea River,  
17 Kaua‘i, Hawaii, authorized pursuant to section 205  
18 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s),  
19 to improve protection provided by levees and flood  
20 control features.

21 (12) CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DIS-  
22 PERSAL BARRIER, ILLINOIS.—Modifications to the  
23 project for Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal and  
24 Dispersal Barrier, Illinois, initiated under section  
25 1135 of the Water Resources Development Act of



1 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2294 note; 100 Stat. 4251; 118  
2 Stat. 1352), for the construction of an emergency  
3 access boat ramp in the vicinity of Romeoville, Illi-  
4 nois.

5 (13) EAST SAINT LOUIS AND VICINITY, ILLI-  
6 NOIS.—Modifications to the project for ecosystem  
7 restoration and recreation, authorized by section  
8 1001(18) of the Water Resources Development Act  
9 of 2007 (121 Stat. 1052) to reevaluate levels of  
10 flood risk management and integrate the Spring  
11 Lake Project, as recommended in the report of the  
12 Chief of Engineers issued on December 22, 2004.

13 (14) LOUISVILLE METROPOLITAN FLOOD PRO-  
14 TECTION SYSTEM RECONSTRUCTION, JEFFERSON  
15 AND BULLITT COUNTIES, KENTUCKY.—Modifications  
16 to the project for flood risk management, Louisville  
17 Metropolitan Flood Protection System Reconstruc-  
18 tion, Jefferson and Bullitt Counties, Kentucky, au-  
19 thorized by section 401(2) of the Water Resources  
20 Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2735), to ex-  
21 pand project scope and incorporate features identi-  
22 fied in the document prepared for the non-Federal  
23 sponsor of the project, issued in June 2017, and ti-  
24 tled “20-Year Comprehensive Facility Plan, Critical

1 Repair and Reinvestment Plan, Volume 4: Ohio  
2 River Flood Protection”.

3 (15) CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LOU-  
4 ISIANA.—Modifications to the project for navigation,  
5 Calcasieu River and Pass, Louisiana, authorized by  
6 section 101 of River and Harbor Act of 1960 (74  
7 Stat. 481), to include channel deepening and jetty  
8 extension.

9 (16) MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,  
10 OUACHITA RIVER, LOUISIANA.—Modifications to the  
11 project for flood control of the Mississippi River in  
12 it alluvial valley and for its improvement from the  
13 Head of Passes to Cape Girardeau, Missouri, au-  
14 thorized by the first section of the Act of May 15,  
15 1928 (chapter 569, 45 Stat. 534), to include bank  
16 stabilization on the portion of the project consisting  
17 of the Ouachita River from Monroe to Caldwell Par-  
18 ishes, Louisiana.

19 (17) MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,  
20 OUACHITA RIVER, LOUISIANA.—Modifications to the  
21 project for flood control of the Mississippi River in  
22 it alluvial valley and for its improvement from the  
23 Head of Passes to Cape Girardeau, Missouri, au-  
24 thorized by the first section of the Act of May 15,  
25 1928 (45 Stat. 534, chapter 569), to study the fea-

1 sibility of adding 62 miles of the east bank of the  
2 Ouchita River Levee System at and below Monroe  
3 Parish to Caldwell Parish, Louisiana.

4 (18) HODGES VILLAGE DAM, OXFORD, MASSA-  
5 CHUSETTS.—Modifications to the project for flood  
6 risk management, Hodges Village Dam, Oxford,  
7 Massachusetts, authorized pursuant to section 205  
8 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s),  
9 to add recreation and ecosystem restoration as a  
10 project purpose, including in the vicinity of  
11 Greenbriar Park.

12 (19) NEW BEDFORD, FAIRHAVEN, AND  
13 ACUSHNET, MASSACHUSETTS.—Modifications to the  
14 project for hurricane-flood protection at New Bed-  
15 ford, Fairhaven, and Acushnet, Massachusetts, au-  
16 thorized by section 201 of the Flood Control Act of  
17 1958 (72 Stat. 305), for navigation improvements  
18 and evaluation of the current barrier function.

19 (20) HOLLAND HARBOR, MICHIGAN.—Modifica-  
20 tions to the portion of the project for navigation  
21 Holland (Black Lake), Michigan, authorized by the  
22 first section of the Act of June 14, 1880 (chapter  
23 211, 21 Stat. 183; 30 Stat. 1130; 46 Stat. 929; 49  
24 Stat. 1036; 68 Stat. 1252), consisting of the Federal

1 Channel of Holland Harbor, for additional deep-  
2 ening.

3 (21) MONROE HARBOR, MICHIGAN.—Modifica-  
4 tions to the project for navigation, Monroe Harbor,  
5 Michigan, authorized by the first section of the Act  
6 of July 3, 1930 (chapter 847, 46 Stat. 930), for ad-  
7 ditional deepening.

8 (22) PORT HURON, MICHIGAN.—Modifications  
9 to the project for navigation, Channels in Lake  
10 Saint Clair Michigan, authorized by the first section  
11 of the Act of August 30, 1935 (chapter 831, 49  
12 Stat. 1036), for additional deepening at the mouth  
13 of the Black River, Port Huron, Michigan.

14 (23) SAINT JOSEPH HARBOR, MICHIGAN.—  
15 Modifications to the portion of the project for navi-  
16 gation, Saint Joseph, Michigan, authorized by the  
17 first section of the Act of June 14, 1880 (chapter  
18 211, 21 Stat. 183; 30 Stat. 1130; 49 Stat. 1036; 72  
19 Stat. 299), consisting of the Federal Channel of  
20 Saint Joseph Harbor, for additional deepening.

21 (24) SAINT MARYS RIVER, MICHIGAN.—Modi-  
22 fications to the project for navigation Middle and  
23 West Neebish channels, Saint Marys River, Michi-  
24 gan, authorized by the first section of the Act of  
25 June 13, 1902 (chapter 1079; 32 Stat. 361; 70

1 Stat. 54), to bring the channels to a consistent  
2 depth.

3 (25) SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE DAM, NEW HAMP-  
4 SHIRE.—Modifications to the project for flood pro-  
5 tection and recreation, Surry Mountain Lake dam,  
6 authorized pursuant to section 5 of the Act of June  
7 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1572; 52 Stat.  
8 1216; 58 Stat. 892) to add ecosystem restoration as  
9 a project purpose, and to install the proper gates  
10 and related equipment at Surry Mountain Lake to  
11 support stream flow augmentation releases.

12 (26) BAYONNE, NEW JERSEY.—Modifications to  
13 the project for navigation, Jersey Flats and Ba-  
14 yonne, New Jersey, authorized by the first section of  
15 the Act of September 22, 1922 (chapter 427; 42  
16 Stat. 1038) for improvements to the navigation  
17 channel, including channel extension, widening and  
18 deepening, in the vicinity of Bayonne Dry Dock,  
19 New Jersey.

20 (27) LONG BEACH, NEW YORK.—Modifications  
21 to the project for storm damage reduction, Atlantic  
22 Coast of Long Island from Jones Inlet to East  
23 Rockaway Inlet, Long Beach Island, New York, au-  
24 thorized by section 101(a)(21) of the Water Re-  
25 sources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3665),

1 to include additional replacement of beach groins to  
2 offer storm protection, erosion prevention, and re-  
3 duce the need for future renourishment.

4 (28) BALD HEAD ISLAND, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
5 Modifications to the project for hurricane-flood con-  
6 trol protection, Cape Fear to the North Carolina-  
7 South Carolina State line, North Carolina, author-  
8 ized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1966  
9 (80 Stat. 1419), to add coastal storm risk manage-  
10 ment and hurricane and storm damage risk reduc-  
11 tion, including shoreline stabilization, as an author-  
12 ized purpose of the project for the village of Bald  
13 Head Island, North Carolina.

14 (29) RENO BEACH-HOWARD FARMS, OHIO.—  
15 Modifications to the project for flood control, Reno  
16 Beach-Howard Farms, Ohio, authorized by section  
17 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (62 Stat.  
18 1178), to improve project levees and to provide flood  
19 damage risk reduction to the portions of Jerusalem  
20 Township, Ohio, not currently benefitted by the  
21 project.

22 (30) DELAWARE RIVER MAINSTEM AND CHAN-  
23 NEL DEEPENING, DELAWARE, NEW JERSEY, AND  
24 PENNSYLVANIA.—Modifications to the project for  
25 navigation, Delaware River Mainstem and Channel

1 Deepening, Delaware, New Jersey, and Pennsyl-  
2 vania, authorized by section 101(6) of the Water Re-  
3 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4802;  
4 113 Stat. 300; 114 Stat. 2602), to increase the au-  
5 thorized depth.

6 (31) DELAWARE RIVER, MANTUA CREEK (FORT  
7 MIFFLIN) AND MARCUS HOOK, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
8 Modifications to the project for navigation, Delaware  
9 River, Philadelphia to the sea, authorized by the  
10 first section of the Act of June 25, 1910 (chapter  
11 382, 36 Stat. 637; 46 Stat. 921; 49 Stat. 1030; 52  
12 Stat. 803; 59 Stat. 14; 68 Stat. 1249; 72 Stat.  
13 297), to deepen the anchorage areas at Mantua  
14 Creek (Fort Mifflin) and Marcus Hook.

15 (32) CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—Modi-  
16 fications to the project for navigation, Charleston  
17 Harbor, South Carolina, authorized by section  
18 1401(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
19 2016 (130 Stat. 1708), including improvements to  
20 the portion of the project that serves the North  
21 Charleston Terminal.

22 (33) GALVESTON BAY AREA, TEXAS.—Modifica-  
23 tions to the following projects for deepening and as-  
24 sociated dredged material placement, disposal, and  
25 environmental mitigation navigation:

1 (A) The project for navigation, Galveston  
2 Bay Area, Texas City Channel, Texas, author-  
3 ized by section 201 of the Water Resources De-  
4 velopment Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4090).

5 (B) The project for navigation and envi-  
6 ronmental restoration, Houston-Galveston Navi-  
7 gation Channels, Texas, authorized by section  
8 101(a)(30) of the Water Resources Develop-  
9 ment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3666).

10 (C) The project for navigation, Galveston  
11 Harbor Channel Extension Project, Houston-  
12 Galveston Navigation Channels, Texas, author-  
13 ized by section 1401(1) of the Water Resources  
14 Development Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3836).

15 (D) The project for navigation, Houston  
16 Ship Channel Expansion Channel Improvement  
17 Project, Harris, Chambers, and Galveston  
18 Counties, Texas, authorized by section 401(1)  
19 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
20 2020 (134 Stat. 2734).

21 (34) GALVESTON HARBOR CHANNEL EXTEN-  
22 SION PROJECT, HOUSTON-GALVESTON NAVIGATION  
23 CHANNELS, TEXAS.—Modifications to the project for  
24 navigation, Galveston Harbor Channel Extension  
25 Project, Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels,



1 Texas, authorized by section 1401(1) of the Water  
2 Resources Development Act of 2018 (132 Stat.  
3 3836) to include further deepening and extension of  
4 the Federal channel and Turning Basin 2.

5 (35) GATHRIGHT RESERVOIR AND FALLING  
6 SPRING DAM, VIRGINIA.—Modifications to the  
7 project for navigation and flood control, Gathright  
8 Reservoir and Falling Spring dam, Virginia, author-  
9 ized by section 10 of the Flood Control Act of 1946  
10 (60 Stat. 645), to include recreation as an author-  
11 ized project purpose.

12 (36) MOUNT ST. HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL,  
13 WASHINGTON.—Modifications to the project for sedi-  
14 ment control and navigation, Mount St. Helens,  
15 Washington, authorized by chapter IV of title I of  
16 the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1985 (99  
17 Stat. 318; 114 Stat. 2612), to include dredging to  
18 address flood risk management and navigation for  
19 federally authorized channels on the Cowlitz River  
20 and at the confluence of the Cowlitz and Columbia  
21 Rivers.

22 (c) SPECIAL RULE.—Each study authorized by sub-  
23 section (b) shall be considered a new phase investigation  
24 and afforded the same treatment as a general reevalua-  
25 tion.

1 **SEC. 202. EXPEDITED COMPLETION.**

2 (a) FEASIBILITY STUDIES.—The Secretary shall ex-  
3 pedite the completion of a feasibility study for each of the  
4 following projects, and if the Secretary determines that  
5 the project is justified in a completed report, may proceed  
6 directly to preconstruction planning, engineering, and de-  
7 sign of the project:

8 (1) Project for ecosystem restoration, Claiborne  
9 and Millers Ferry Locks and Dams Fish Passage,  
10 Lower Alabama River, Alabama, authorized pursu-  
11 ant to section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1970  
12 (84 Stat. 1830).

13 (2) Project for navigation, Akutan Harbor  
14 Navigational Improvements, Alaska, authorized pur-  
15 suant to section 203 of the Water Resources Devel-  
16 opment Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269).

17 (3) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central  
18 and South Florida, Comprehensive Everglades Res-  
19 toration Program, Lake Okeechobee Watershed Res-  
20 toration, Florida, authorized by section 601(b)(1) of  
21 the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114  
22 Stat. 2681).

23 (4) Project for coastal storm risk management,  
24 Miami-Dade Back Bay, Florida, authorized pursu-  
25 ant to the Act of June 15, 1955 (chapter 140, 69  
26 Stat. 132).

1           (5) Project for navigation, Tampa Harbor,  
2           Pinellas and Hillsborough Counties, Florida, Deep  
3           Draft Navigation, authorized by the Resolution of  
4           the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure  
5           of the House of Representatives, dated July 23,  
6           1997.

7           (6) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central  
8           and South Florida, Comprehensive Everglades Res-  
9           toration Program, Western Everglades Restoration  
10          Project, Florida, authorized by section 601(b)(1) of  
11          the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114  
12          Stat. 2681).

13          (7) Project for flood risk management, Ala Wai  
14          Canal General Reevaluation, Hawaii, authorized by  
15          section 1401(2) of the Water Resources Develop-  
16          ment Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3837).

17          (8) Project for flood risk management, Amite  
18          River and Tributaries, East of the Mississippi, Lou-  
19          isiana, authorized by the Resolution of the Com-  
20          mittee on Public Works of the United States Senate,  
21          adopted April 14, 1967.

22          (9) Project for coastal storm risk management,  
23          Baltimore Metropolitan, Baltimore City, Maryland,  
24          authorized by the Resolution of the Committee on

1 Public Works and Transportation of the House of  
2 Representatives, dated April 30, 1992.

3 (10) Project for coastal storm risk manage-  
4 ment, Nassau County Back Bays, New York, au-  
5 thORIZED pursuant to the Act of June 15, 1955  
6 (chapter 140, 69 Stat. 132).

7 (11) Project for coastal storm risk manage-  
8 ment, Surf City, North Carolina, authorized by sec-  
9 tion 7002(3) of the Water Resources Reform and  
10 Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1367).

11 (12) Project for flood risk management, Tar-  
12 Pamlico River Basin, North Carolina, authorized by  
13 the resolutions adopted by the Committee on Trans-  
14 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-  
15 resentatives dated April 11, 2000 and May 21,  
16 2003.

17 (13) Project for coastal storm risk manage-  
18 ment, Puerto Rico, authorized by section 204 of the  
19 Flood Control Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1828).

20 (14) Project for ecosystem restoration, Hatchie-  
21 Loosahatchie, Mississippi River Miles 775-736, Ten-  
22 nessee and Arkansas, authorized by section 1202(a)  
23 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2018  
24 (132 Stat. 3803).

1 (b) POST-AUTHORIZATION CHANGE REPORTS.—The  
2 Secretary shall expedite completion of a post-authorization  
3 change report for the following projects:

4 (1) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central  
5 and South Florida, Comprehensive Everglades Res-  
6 toration Program, Biscayne Bay Coastal Wetlands,  
7 Florida, authorized by section 601(b)(1) of the  
8 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114  
9 Stat. 2681).

10 (2) Project for water reallocation, Stockton  
11 Lake Reallocation Study, Missouri, at the project for  
12 flood control, hydropower, water supply, and recre-  
13 ation, Stockton Lake, Missouri, authorized by the  
14 Flood Control Act of 1954 (Public Law 83–780).

15 **SEC. 203. EXPEDITED MODIFICATION OF EXISTING FEASI-**  
16 **BILITY STUDIES.**

17 The Secretary shall expedite the completion of the  
18 following feasibility studies, as modified by this section,  
19 and if the Secretary determines that a project that is the  
20 subject of the feasibility study is justified in the completed  
21 report, may proceed directly to preconstruction planning,  
22 engineering, and design of the project:

23 (1) MARE ISLAND STRAIT, CALIFORNIA.—The  
24 study for navigation, Mare Island Straight channel,  
25 authorized by section 406 of the Water Resources

1 Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 323; 136 Stat.  
2 3753), is modified to authorize the Secretary to con-  
3 sider the benefits of deepening the channel to sup-  
4 port activities of the Secretary of the department in  
5 which the Coast Guard is operating.

6 (2) SAVANNAH HARBOR, GEORGIA.—Section  
7 8201(b)(4) of the Water Resources Development Act  
8 of 2022 (136 Stat. 3750) is amended by striking “,  
9 without evaluation of additional deepening” and in-  
10 sserting “, including evaluation of additional deep-  
11 ening”.

12 (3) HONOLULU HARBOR, HAWAII.—The study  
13 to modify the project for navigation, Honolulu, Ha-  
14 waii, authorized by the first section of the Act of  
15 March 3, 1905 (chapter 1482, 33 Stat. 1146; 136  
16 Stat. 3750) is modified to authorize the Secretary to  
17 consider the benefits of the project modification on  
18 disaster resilience and enhanced national security  
19 from utilization of the harbor by the Department of  
20 Defense.

21 (4) ALEXANDRIA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO,  
22 LOUISIANA.—The study for flood control, navigation,  
23 wetland conservation and restoration, wildlife habi-  
24 tat, commercial and recreational fishing, saltwater  
25 intrusion, freshwater and sediment diversion, and

1 other purposes, in the area drained by the inter-  
2 cepted drainage system of the West Atchafalaya  
3 Basin Protection Levee, from Alexandria, Louisiana  
4 to the Gulf of Mexico, being carried out under Com-  
5 mittee Resolution 2535 of the Committee on Trans-  
6 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-  
7 resentatives, adopted July 23, 1997, is modified to  
8 include the parishes of Pointe Coupee, Allen,  
9 Calcasieu, Jefferson Davis, Acadia, Iberville, and  
10 Cameron within the scope of the study.

11 (5) SAW MILL RIVER, NEW YORK.—The study  
12 for flood risk management and ecosystem restoration  
13 to address areas in the City of Yonkers and the Vil-  
14 lage of Hastings-on-the-Hudson within the 100-year  
15 flood zone, Saw Mill River, New York, authorized by  
16 section 8201(a)(70) of the Water Resources Devel-  
17 opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3748), is modified  
18 to authorize the Secretary to include within the  
19 scope of the study areas surrounding City of Yon-  
20 kers and the Village of Hastings-on-the-Hudson and  
21 the Village of Elmsford and the Village of Ardsley.

22 **SEC. 204. CORPS OF ENGINEERS REPORTS.**

23 (a) REPORT ON RECREATIONAL ACCESS FOR INDI-  
24 VIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES.—

1           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
2 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
3 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
4 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
5 and the Committee on Environment and Public  
6 Works of the Senate a report on access for individ-  
7 uals with disabilities to covered recreational areas.

8           (2) REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary shall in-  
9 clude in the report submitted under paragraph (1)—

10           (A) existing policies or guidance for com-  
11 plying with the requirements of the Americans  
12 with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101  
13 et seq.) at covered recreational areas;

14           (B) a complete list of covered recreational  
15 areas, and the status of each covered rec-  
16 reational area with respect to compliance with  
17 the requirements of such Act;

18           (C) identification of policy changes, inter-  
19 nal guidance changes, or changes to shoreline  
20 management plans that may result in increased  
21 access for individuals with disabilities to cov-  
22 ered recreational areas, including access to fish-  
23 ing-related recreational activities at covered rec-  
24 reational areas;



1 (D) an analysis of barriers that exist for  
2 covered recreational areas to fully comply with  
3 the requirements of such Act; and

4 (E) identification of specific covered rec-  
5 reational areas that could be improved or modi-  
6 fied to better accommodate visitors with disabil-  
7 ities, including to increase recreational fishing  
8 access for individuals with disabilities.

9 (3) COVERED RECREATIONAL AREA DE-  
10 FINED.—In this subsection, the term “covered rec-  
11 reational area” means all sites constructed, owned,  
12 operated, or maintained by the Secretary that are  
13 used for recreational purposes.

14 (b) REPORT ON TURBIDITY IN THE WILLAMETTE  
15 VALLEY, OREGON.—

16 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
17 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
18 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
19 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
20 and the Committee on Environment and Public  
21 Works of the Senate a report on instances of high  
22 turbidity in a reservoir in the Willamette Valley re-  
23 sulting from a drawdown in the reservoir.

24 (2) SCOPE.—In carrying out subsection (a), the  
25 Secretary shall—

1 (A) collaborate with any relevant Federal,  
2 State, and non-Federal entities;

3 (B) identify and report instances during  
4 the 10-year period preceding the date of enact-  
5 ment of this Act in which turbidity concerns  
6 have arisen following a drawdown at a reservoir  
7 in the Willamette Valley, including Foster Lake  
8 and Green Peter Lake;

9 (C) report on turbidity monitoring that the  
10 Secretary performs during drawdowns to iden-  
11 tify, and if necessary correct, turbidity issues;

12 (D) provide a summary of turbidity moni-  
13 toring records collected during drawdowns with  
14 respect to which turbidity concerns have been  
15 raised by the public, including a comparison be-  
16 tween turbidity prior to a drawdown, during a  
17 drawdown, and following refilling;

18 (E) identify lessons learned associated with  
19 turbidity resulting from drawdowns and indi-  
20 cate how changes based on those lessons  
21 learned are being implemented; and

22 (F) identify opportunities to minimize  
23 monetary strains on non-Federal entities caused  
24 by increased turbidity levels.

1 (c) REPORT ON SECURITY AT SOO LOCKS, MICHIGAN.—  
2

3 (1) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the  
4 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall  
5 submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-  
6 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the  
7 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
8 Senate a report that—

9 (A) highlights any security deficiencies  
10 that exist with respect to the Soo Locks;

11 (B) highlights any supply chain, logistical,  
12 and economic effects that would result from a  
13 malfunction or failure of the Soo Locks;

14 (C) highlights any effects on the Great  
15 Lakes Navigation System that would result  
16 from such a malfunction or failure;

17 (D) highlights any potential threats to the  
18 integrity of the Soo Locks;

19 (E) details the Corps of Engineers security  
20 measures in place to protect the Soo Locks; and

21 (F) contains recommendations, as nec-  
22 essary, and cost estimates for such rec-  
23 ommendations, for—

24 (i) strengthening security measures  
25 for the Soo Locks; and

1 (ii) reducing the effects on the supply  
2 chain that would result from a malfunction  
3 or failure of the Soo Locks.

4 (2) SOO LOCKS DEFINED.—In this subsection,  
5 the term “Soo Locks” means the locks at Sault  
6 Sainte Marie, Michigan, authorized by section 1149  
7 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986  
8 (100 Stat. 4254; 121 Stat. 1131; 136 Stat. 3844).

9 (d) REPORT ON FLORIDA SEA GRASS REHABILITA-  
10 TION.—

11 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year  
12 after the date of enactment of this Act, and each  
13 year thereafter for four years, the Secretary shall  
14 submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-  
15 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the  
16 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
17 Senate a report on any planned or ongoing efforts  
18 to promote, rehabilitate, and enhance the growth of  
19 seagrasses in Florida stormwater treatment areas.

20 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out sub-  
21 section (a), the Secretary shall coordinate with rel-  
22 evant Federal, State, and local agencies and other  
23 regional stakeholders.

24 (3) FLORIDA STORMWATER TREATMENT AREA  
25 DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “Florida

1 stormwater treatment area” means a stormwater  
2 treatment area in the State of Florida authorized by  
3 or pursuant to section 601 of the Water Resources  
4 Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680; 121  
5 Stat. 1268; 132 Stat. 3786).

6 (e) REPORT ON SHORELINE USE PERMITS.—

7 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
8 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
9 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
10 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
11 and the Committee on Environment and Public  
12 Works of the Senate a report describing the use of  
13 the authority under part 327 of title 36, Code of  
14 Federal Regulations, with respect to the issuance of  
15 new, or modifications to existing, shoreline use per-  
16 mits at the Table Rock Lake project of the Corps  
17 of Engineers, located in Missouri and Arkansas, au-  
18 thorized as one of the multi-purpose reservoir  
19 projects in the White River Basin by section 4 of the  
20 Act of June 28, 1938 (52 Stat. 1218).

21 (2) CONTENTS.—The Secretary shall include in  
22 the report required under paragraph (1)—

23 (A) a review of existing regulatory and ad-  
24 ministrative requirements related to the lease,  
25 rent, sublease, or other usage agreement by a

1 permittee for permitted facilities under a shore-  
2 line use permit, including a floating, non-float-  
3 ing, or fixed-floating structure;

4 (B) a description of the authority and pub-  
5 lic-interest rationale for such requirements, in-  
6 cluding impacts on local businesses, property  
7 owners, and prospective lessors, renters, or  
8 other contractual users of such facilities; and

9 (C) a description of the authority for the  
10 transfer of shoreline use permits upon transfer  
11 of the permitted facility by sale or other means.

12 (f) REPORT ON RELOCATION.—

13 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year  
14 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
15 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
16 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
17 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
18 lic Works of the Senate a report on the policies of  
19 the Corps of Engineers relating to using property  
20 buyouts as part of coastal storm risk management  
21 projects.

22 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—In developing the report  
23 under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consider  
24 ways in which current policies on mandatory prop-  
25 erty buyouts may—

1 (A) diminish the incentives for local com-  
2 munities to work with the Corps of Engineers;  
3 and

4 (B) increase vulnerabilities of communities  
5 to flood risk, including communities described  
6 in the guidance issued by the Secretary under  
7 section 160 of the Water Resources Develop-  
8 ment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note)).

9 (g) REPORT ON FUEL EFFICIENCY.—

10 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after  
11 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
12 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
13 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
14 and the Committee on Environment and Public  
15 Works of the Senate a report on fuel efficiency of  
16 each vessel within the fleet of vessels owned by the  
17 Corps of Engineers.

18 (2) CONTENTS.—In the report submitted under  
19 paragraph (1), the Secretary shall include the fol-  
20 lowing:

21 (A) A list of vessels that are commercially  
22 available and may be used to carry out the mis-  
23 sions of the Corps of Engineers that can be in-  
24 corporated into the fleet of vessels owned by the

1 Corps of Engineers to increase fuel efficiency of  
2 such fleet.

3 (B) A list of modifications that can be  
4 made to increase fuel efficiency of such fleet  
5 and the associated cost of such modifications.

6 (C) A life cycle cost analysis of replacing  
7 vessels owned by the Corps of Engineers with  
8 vessels that are more fuel efficient;

9 (D) A description of technologies used or  
10 available to the Secretary to evaluate fuel effi-  
11 ciency of each vessel owned by the Corps of En-  
12 gineers.

13 (E) A description of other opportunities to  
14 increase fuel efficiency of each such vessel.

15 (F) A description of potential cost savings  
16 by increasing fuel efficiency of such vessels.

17 (G) A description of State or local policies  
18 or requirements regarding efficiencies or emis-  
19 sions of vessels, or related technology, that the  
20 Secretary must comply with at water resources  
21 development projects, and any impact such poli-  
22 cies and requirements have on project costs.

23 **SEC. 205. GAO STUDIES.**

24 (a) STUDY ON DONOR PORTS.—



1           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
2 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller  
3 General of the United States shall initiate a review  
4 of the treatment of donor ports under section 2106  
5 of the Water Resources Reform and Development  
6 Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2238c) that includes—

7           (A) a description of the funding available  
8 to donor ports under such section, including a  
9 description of how eligibility for such donor  
10 ports has been modified;

11           (B) a summary of all funds that have been  
12 provided to donor ports under such section;

13           (C) an assessment of how the Secretary  
14 provides funding under such section to donor  
15 ports, including—

16           (i) a complete description of the proc-  
17 ess and data used to determine eligibility;  
18 and

19           (ii) the impact construction and main-  
20 tenance projects, including maintenance  
21 dredging and deep draft navigation con-  
22 struction projects, have on donor port eligi-  
23 bility;

24           (D) an assessment of other major con-  
25 tainer ports that are not currently eligible as a

1 donor port under such section and a description  
2 of the criteria that exclude such container ports  
3 from eligibility; and

4 (E) recommendations to improve the provi-  
5 sion of funds under such section.

6 (2) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review  
7 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-  
8 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
9 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
10 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
11 lic Works of the Senate a report containing the re-  
12 sults of such review.

13 (b) STUDY ON DIGITAL INFRASTRUCTURE.—

14 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
15 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller  
16 General of the United States shall complete an anal-  
17 ysis of—

18 (A) the extent to which the Corps of Engi-  
19 neers utilizes digital infrastructure technologies  
20 for delivery of authorized water resources devel-  
21 opment projects, including 3D modeling;

22 (B) the digital technology systems utilized  
23 by the Corps of Engineers;

24 (C) the digital technology systems utilized  
25 by non-Federal entities working with the Sec-

1           retary on authorized water resources develop-  
2           ment projects;

3           (D) the cost to the Government of sup-  
4           porting multiple digital technology systems uti-  
5           lized by the Corps of Engineers;

6           (E) available digital technology systems  
7           that may be used to for the delivery of author-  
8           ized water resources development projects;

9           (F) any security concerns related to the  
10          use of digital technology systems and how such  
11          concerns may be addressed;

12          (G) the benefits of expanding the adoption  
13          of digital technology systems for use by the  
14          Corps of Engineers, including for delivery of  
15          authorized water resources development  
16          projects, in order to—

17                 (i) maximize interoperability with  
18                 other systems, products, tools, or applica-  
19                 tions;

20                 (ii) boost productivity;

21                 (iii) manage complexity;

22                 (iv) reduce project delays and cost  
23                 overruns;

24                 (v) enhance safety and quality;

1 (vi) reduce total costs for the entire  
2 lifecycle of authorized water resources de-  
3 velopment projects;

4 (vii) reduce emissions and quantify  
5 other sustainable and resilient impacts;

6 (viii) promote more timely and pro-  
7 ductive information-sharing; and

8 (ix) increase transparency as the re-  
9 sult of the real-time sharing of informa-  
10 tion; and

11 (H) how the Corps of Engineers could bet-  
12 ter leverage digital technology systems to enable  
13 3D model delivery and digital project delivery  
14 for—

15 (i) seamless application integration;

16 (ii) workflow and State-based access  
17 control capabilities;

18 (iii) audit trails; and

19 (iv) automation capabilities sup-  
20 porting a closed-loop process.

21 (2) REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis  
22 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-  
23 eral of the United States shall submit to the Com-  
24 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the  
25 House of Representatives and the Committee on En-

1 vironment and Public Works of the Senate a report  
2 on the findings of such analysis.

3 (c) STUDY ON CORPS OF ENGINEERS DISASTER PRE-  
4 PAREDNESS, RESPONSE, AND RELATED INFORMATION  
5 COLLECTION.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
7 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller  
8 General of the United States shall initiate an anal-  
9 ysis of Corps of Engineers disaster preparedness and  
10 response activities, including—

11 (A) an accounting of post-disaster expendi-  
12 tures from the “Corp of Engineers–Civil–Flood  
13 Control and Coastal Emergencies” account for  
14 each fiscal year beginning with fiscal year 2004,  
15 including—

16 (i) the amounts transferred to such  
17 account from other accounts of the Corps  
18 of Engineers to cover post-disaster activi-  
19 ties in each fiscal year;

20 (ii) the name and location of the au-  
21 thorized water resources development  
22 projects impacted by the transfer of funds  
23 described in clause (i);

24 (iii) a summary of the activities and  
25 actions carried out with amounts available

1 in such account, including the amount pro-  
2 vided for salaries and expenses; and

3 (iv) trends in the provision of post-  
4 disaster assistance that may impact future  
5 spending through such account;

6 (B) an evaluation of—

7 (i) the publicly available information  
8 on disaster response and preparedness re-  
9 lated to authorized water resources devel-  
10 opment projects, such as levees;

11 (ii) the impacts of natural disasters  
12 on authorized water resources development  
13 projects, including how such disasters af-  
14 fect the performance of such projects and  
15 resiliency of such projects to such disas-  
16 ters; and

17 (iii) whether the Corps of Engineers  
18 utilizes, or shares with non-Federal inter-  
19 ests, information regarding such impacts  
20 in assessing whether modifications to such  
21 projects would reduce the likelihood of re-  
22 petitive impacts or be in the public inter-  
23 est; and

24 (C) recommendations to improve the provi-  
25 sion of assistance for response to natural disas-

1           ters under section 5 of the Act of August 18,  
2           1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n).

3           (2) REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis  
4           required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-  
5           eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
6           tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
7           atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
8           lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of  
9           such analysis.

10          (d) STUDY ON HOMELESS ENCAMPMENTS ON CORPS  
11        OF ENGINEERS PROPERTY.—

12           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
13           the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller  
14           General of the United States shall initiate an anal-  
15           ysis of—

16           (A) unauthorized homeless encampments  
17           on water resources development projects con-  
18           structed by the Corps of Engineers and lands  
19           owned or under the control of the Corps of En-  
20           gineers;

21           (B) any actual or potential impacts of such  
22           encampments on the construction, operation  
23           and maintenance, or management of such  
24           projects and lands, including potential impacts

1 on flood risk reduction or ecosystem restoration  
2 efforts, water quality, or public safety;

3 (C) efforts to remove or deter such en-  
4 campments from such projects and lands, or re-  
5 move any materials associated with such en-  
6 campments that are unauthorized to be present  
7 and pose a potential threat to public safety, in-  
8 cluding manmade, flammable materials in  
9 urban and arid regions; and

10 (D) constraints on the ability of the Corps  
11 of Engineers to remove or deter such encamp-  
12 ments due to Federal, State, or local laws, reg-  
13 ulations, or ordinances.

14 (2) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out the anal-  
15 ysis required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller  
16 General shall consult with the Secretary, the Admin-  
17 istrator of the Federal Emergency Management  
18 Agency, the Administrator of the Environmental  
19 Protection Agency, and other relevant Federal,  
20 State, and local government officials and interested  
21 parties.

22 (3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis  
23 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-  
24 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
25 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-



1       atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
2       lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of  
3       such analysis.

4       (e) STUDY ON FEDERAL-STATE DATA SHARING EF-  
5 FORTS.—

6           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
7       the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller  
8       General of the United States shall initiate an anal-  
9       ysis of the coordination of the Secretary with other  
10      Federal and State agencies and academic institu-  
11      tions in carrying out the development, update, mod-  
12      ernization, and utilization of scientific, peer-reviewed  
13      data on the predictability of future resiliency, sea-  
14      level rise, and flood impacts.

15          (2) SCOPE.—In conducting the analysis re-  
16      quired under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-  
17      eral shall—

18           (A) consult with the Secretary, the heads  
19      of other relevant Federal and State agencies,  
20      and academic institutions that collect, analyze,  
21      synthesize, and utilize scientific, peer-reviewed  
22      data on the predictability of future resiliency,  
23      sea-level rise, and flooding events;

1 (B) examine the methodologies and mecha-  
2 nisms for collecting, analyzing, synthesizing,  
3 and verifying such data; and

4 (C) review and report on the opportunities  
5 for, and appropriateness of, the Secretary and  
6 relevant non-Federal interests to utilize such  
7 data in the planning, design, construction, and  
8 operation and maintenance of authorized water  
9 resources development projects.

10 (3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis  
11 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-  
12 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
13 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
14 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
15 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of  
16 such analysis.

17 (f) STUDY ON INSTITUTIONAL BARRIERS TO NA-  
18 TURE-BASED FEATURES.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
20 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller  
21 General of the United States shall initiate an anal-  
22 ysis of—

23 (A) nature-based features that are incor-  
24 porated into authorized water resources devel-

1           opment projects by the Corps of Engineers and  
2           the type of such projects;

3           (B) any limitation on the authority of the  
4           Secretary to incorporate nature-based features  
5           into authorized water resources development  
6           projects;

7           (C) regulatory processes necessary for the  
8           use of nature-based features, including permit-  
9           ting timelines;

10          (D) the level of efficacy and effectiveness  
11          of nature-based features at authorized water re-  
12          sources development projects that have—

13                 (i) utilized such nature-based features;

14                 and

15                 (ii) undergone extreme weather  
16                 events, including hurricanes; and

17          (E) institutional barriers within the Corps  
18          of Engineers preventing broader consideration  
19          and integration of nature-based features, in-  
20          cluding—

21                 (i) staff experience with, and expertise  
22                 on, nature-based features;

23                 (ii) official Corps of Engineers guid-  
24                 ance on nature-based features;

1 (iii) time constraints or other expedi-  
2 ency expectations; or

3 (iv) life cycle costs associated with in-  
4 corporating nature-based features into  
5 water resources development projects.

6 (2) REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis  
7 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-  
8 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
9 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
10 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
11 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of  
12 such analysis.

13 (3) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection, the term  
14 “nature-based feature” has the meaning given the  
15 terms “natural feature” and “nature-based feature”  
16 in section 1184 of the Water Resources Development  
17 Act of 2016 (32 U.S.C. 2289a).

18 (g) STUDY ON ECOSYSTEM SERVICES.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
20 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller  
21 General of the United States shall initiate an anal-  
22 ysis of the use of ecosystem restoration by the Corps  
23 of Engineers for flood control or flood risk manage-  
24 ment projects.

1           (2) SCOPE.—In conducting the analysis under  
2 paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall as-  
3 sess—

4                   (A) how the Corps of Engineers complies,  
5 integrates, and prioritizes ecosystem restoration  
6 in benefit-cost analysis and generation of  
7 project alternatives;

8                   (B) the geographic distribution and fre-  
9 quency of ecosystem restoration for flood con-  
10 trol or flood risk management projects;

11                  (C) the rationale and benefit-cost analyses  
12 that drive decisions to incorporate ecosystem  
13 restoration into flood control or flood risk man-  
14 agement projects;

15                  (D) the additional long-term comprehen-  
16 sive benefits to local communities related to  
17 ecosystem restoration for flood control or flood  
18 risk management projects;

19                  (E) recommendations for prioritizing eco-  
20 system restoration as a tool for flood control  
21 and flood risk management projects; and

22                  (F) the percentage of the annual construc-  
23 tion budget utilized for ecosystem restoration  
24 projects over the past 5 years at flood control  
25 or flood risk management projects.

1           (3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis  
2 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-  
3 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
4 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
5 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
6 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of  
7 such analysis.

8 (h) STUDY ON TRIBAL COORDINATION.—

9           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
10 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller  
11 General of the United States shall initiate a review  
12 of the Corps of Engineers procedures to address the  
13 discovery of Tribal historic or cultural resources, in-  
14 cluding village sites, burial sites, and human re-  
15 mains, at authorized water resources development  
16 projects.

17           (2) SCOPE.—In conducting the review required  
18 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General  
19 shall—

20                   (A) evaluate the implementation of the  
21 Tribal Liaison requirements under section 8112  
22 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
23 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2281a);

24                   (B) describe the procedures used by the  
25 Corps of Engineers when Tribal historic or cul-

1 tural resources are identified at authorized  
2 water resources development projects, includ-  
3 ing—

4 (i) coordination with relevant Tribes,  
5 Federal, State, and local agencies;

6 (ii) the role and effectiveness of the  
7 Tribal Liaison;

8 (iii) recovery and reburial standards;

9 (iv) any differences in procedures used  
10 by each Corps of Engineers district; and

11 (v) as applicable, the implementation  
12 of the requirements of section 306108 of  
13 title 54, United States Code (formerly  
14 known as section 106 of the National His-  
15 toric Preservation Act) or the Native  
16 American Graves Protection and Repatri-  
17 ation Act (25 U.S.C. 3001 et. seq); and

18 (C) provide recommendations to improve  
19 the coordination between the Corps of Engi-  
20 neers and Tribes for the identification and re-  
21 covery of Tribal historic and cultural resources  
22 discovered at authorized water resources devel-  
23 opment projects.

24 (3) PRIORITIZATION.—In conducting the review  
25 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-

1 eral shall prioritize reviewing procedures used by the  
2 Sacramento District in the South Pacific Division of  
3 the Corps of Engineers.

4 (4) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review  
5 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-  
6 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
7 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
8 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
9 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of  
10 such review.

11 (i) STUDY ON RISK RATING 2.0.—

12 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
13 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller  
14 General of the United States shall initiate a review  
15 on the Risk Rating 2.0 initiative.

16 (2) CONTENTS.—The Comptroller General shall  
17 include in the review required under paragraph (1)  
18 the following:

19 (A) A description of—

20 (i) the Corps of Engineers processes  
21 for communicating changes to floodplain  
22 maps made as a result of Risk Rating 2.0  
23 to affected communities and property own-  
24 ers; and



1           (ii) any measures the Corps of Engi-  
2           neers has put in place to assist owners of  
3           property that has been included in flood-  
4           plain maps as a result of Risk Rating 2.0,  
5           including any options for mitigating flood  
6           risk and financial support programs.

7           (B) An evaluation of the transparency and  
8           clarity of information provided to property own-  
9           ers about such changes, including an assess-  
10          ment of the adequacy of outreach and education  
11          efforts to inform such property owners about  
12          available resources for flood risk mitigation.

13          (C) An assessment of—

14           (i) the broader effects of changes to  
15           floodplain maps as a result of Risk Rating  
16           2.0 on communities, including potential  
17           economic and social effects of increased  
18           floodplain designations;

19           (ii) the role of local governments and  
20           community organizations in responding to  
21           and managing such changes;

22           (iii) how such changes may affect the  
23           benefit-cost analysis used by the Corps of  
24           Engineers; and

1 (iv) whether such changes affect the  
2 prioritization and justification of flood risk  
3 management projects.

4 (3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review  
5 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-  
6 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
7 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
8 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
9 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of  
10 such review.

11 **SEC. 206. ANNUAL REPORT ON HARBOR MAINTENANCE**  
12 **NEEDS AND TRUST FUND EXPENDITURES.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—On the date on which the budget  
14 of the President is submitted to Congress pursuant to sec-  
15 tion 1105 of title 31, United States Code, for fiscal year  
16 2026, and for each fiscal year thereafter, the Secretary  
17 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-  
18 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the Com-  
19 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate  
20 a report describing—

21 (1) with respect to the fiscal year for which the  
22 budget is submitted, the operation and maintenance  
23 costs associated with harbors and inland harbors de-  
24 scribed in section 210(a)(2) of the Water Resources  
25 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238(a)(2)),

1 including a description of the costs required to  
2 achieve and maintain the constructed width and  
3 depth for such harbors and inland harbors and the  
4 costs for expanded uses at eligible harbors and in-  
5 land harbors (as defined in section 210(d)(2) of such  
6 Act), on a project-by-project basis;

7 (2) as of the date on which the report is sub-  
8 mitted, expenditures and deposits into the Harbor  
9 Maintenance Trust Fund established under section  
10 9505 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;

11 (3) an identification of the amount of funding  
12 requested in the budget of the President for the op-  
13 eration and maintenance costs associated with such  
14 harbors and inland harbors, on a project-by-project  
15 basis;

16 (4) an explanation of how the amount of fund-  
17 ing described in paragraph (2) complies with the re-  
18 quirements of section 102 of the Water Resources  
19 Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2238 note);

20 (5) an identification of the unmet operation and  
21 maintenance needs associated with such harbors and  
22 inland harbors, on a project-by-project basis, that  
23 remains after accounting for the amount identified  
24 under paragraph (3); and

1           (6) a description of deposits made into the Har-  
2           bor Maintenance Trust Fund in the fiscal year pre-  
3           ceding the fiscal year of the applicable budget sub-  
4           mission and the sources of such deposits.

5           (b) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENT.—In the first report  
6           required to be submitted under subsection (a), the Sec-  
7           retary shall identify, to the maximum extent practicable,  
8           transportation cost savings realized by achieving and  
9           maintaining the constructed width and depth for the har-  
10          bors and inland harbors described in section 210(a)(2) of  
11          the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, on a  
12          project-by-project basis.

13          (c) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The Secretary shall  
14          make the report submitted under subsection (a) available  
15          to the public, including on the internet.

16          (d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

17                 (1) ASSESSMENT OF HARBORS AND INLAND  
18                 HARBORS.—Section 210(e)(3) of the Water Re-  
19                 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.  
20                 2238(e)(3)) is repealed.

21                 (2) HARBOR MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND DE-  
22                 POSITS AND EXPENDITURES.—Section 330 of the  
23                 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (26  
24                 U.S.C. 9505 note) and the item related to such sec-

1       tion in the table of contents for such Act, are re-  
2       pealed.

3 **SEC. 207. EXAMINATION OF REDUCTION OF MICROPLAS-**  
4                                   **TICS.**

5       (a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the availability of ap-  
6       propriations, the Secretary, acting through the Director  
7       of the Engineer Research and Development Center and,  
8       where appropriate, in consultation with other Federal  
9       agencies, shall carry out research and development activi-  
10      ties relating to measures that may be implemented to re-  
11      duce the release of microplastics into the environment as-  
12      sociated with carrying out the civil works missions of the  
13      Corps of Engineers.

14      (b) FOCUS AREAS.—In carrying out subsection (a),  
15      the Secretary shall, at a minimum—

16           (1) review efforts to reduce the release of  
17           microplastics associated with sandblasting or hydro-  
18           blasting vessels owned or operated by the Corps of  
19           Engineers;

20           (2) research whether natural features or na-  
21           ture-based features can be used effectively to reduce  
22           the release of microplastics into the environment;  
23           and

24           (3) describe the potential costs and benefits,  
25           and the effects on the timeline for carrying out

1 water resources development projects, of imple-  
2 menting measures to reduce the release of micro-  
3 plastics into the environment.

4 **SEC. 208. POST-DISASTER WATERSHED ASSESSMENT FOR**  
5 **IMPACTED AREAS.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a  
7 post-disaster watershed assessment under section 3025 of  
8 the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of  
9 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2267b) for the following areas:

10 (1) Areas of Maui, Hawaii impacted by the Au-  
11 gust 2023 wildfires.

12 (2) Areas near Belen, New Mexico impacted by  
13 the April 2022 wildfires.

14 (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18  
15 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
16 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
17 and Infrastructure of the House of Representative and the  
18 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-  
19 ate a report on the status of the post-disaster watershed  
20 assessments carried out under subsection (a).

21 **SEC. 209. UPPER BARATARIA BASIN AND MORGANZA TO**  
22 **THE GULF OF MEXICO CONNECTION, LOU-**  
23 **ISIANA.**

24 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall evaluate con-  
25 structing a connection between the Upper Barataria Basin

1 Hurricane and Storm Damage Risk Reduction project,  
2 Louisiana, authorized by section 8401(3) of the Water Re-  
3 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 U.S.C. 3839), and  
4 the project for hurricane and storm damage reduction,  
5 Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana, authorized by  
6 section 1001(24) of the Water Resources Development Act  
7 of 2007 (121 Stat. 1053).

8 (b) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later than one  
9 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
10 shall complete the evaluation described in subsection (a)  
11 and submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-  
12 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the Com-  
13 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate  
14 any recommendations related to constructing a connection  
15 between the projects described in such subsection.

16 **SEC. 210. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER SYSTEM FLOOD RISK**  
17 **AND RESILIENCY STUDY.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
19 study to evaluate and recommend local and systemic meas-  
20 ures to improve flood resiliency and reduce flood risk in  
21 the floodplain, including the floodway, of the Upper Mis-  
22 sissippi River System.

23 (b) COMPONENTS.—In carrying out the study re-  
24 quired under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

1           (1) develop recommendations to reduce costs  
2           and damages associated with flooding and enable  
3           people located in areas adjacent to, and economies  
4           dependent on, the Upper Mississippi River System  
5           to be more resilient to flood events;

6           (2) identify opportunities to support navigation,  
7           environmental sustainability, and environmental res-  
8           toration goals for the Upper Mississippi River Sys-  
9           tem, including recommending measures that are in-  
10          cidental flood risk measures that may achieve such  
11          goals;

12          (3) describe the existing flood risk conditions of  
13          the Upper Mississippi River System;

14          (4) develop and recommend integrated, com-  
15          prehensive, and systems-based approaches for flood  
16          risk reduction and floodplain management to mini-  
17          mize the threat to life, health, safety, and property  
18          resulting from flooding by using structural and non-  
19          structural measures in the Upper Mississippi River  
20          System;

21          (5) investigate and provide recommendations  
22          for modifications to authorized water resources de-  
23          velopment projects in Upper Mississippi River States  
24          within the floodplain of the Upper Mississippi River  
25          System, including modifications to the authorized



1 purposes of such projects to further flood risk man-  
2 agement and resiliency;

3 (6) perform a systemic analysis of flood resil-  
4 iency and flood risk to determine the feasibility of  
5 protecting authorized water resources development  
6 projects for flood control and navigation in the  
7 Upper Mississippi River System;

8 (7) develop management plans and actions, to  
9 be carried out by the responsible Federal agency or  
10 State government, to reduce flood risk and improve  
11 resiliency in the Upper Mississippi River System;

12 (8) identify and provide recommendations for  
13 any necessary changes to Federal or State law to  
14 carry out recommendations provided pursuant to  
15 this section;

16 (9) recommend follow-up studies of problem  
17 areas in the Upper Mississippi River System for  
18 which data or technology does not allow immediate  
19 solutions; and

20 (10) recommend additional monitoring of, or  
21 systemic adaptive management measures for, au-  
22 thorized water resources development projects to re-  
23 spond to changing conditions in the Upper Mis-  
24 sissippi River System.

1 (c) COORDINATION AND CONSULTATION.—In car-  
2 rying out the study required under subsection (a), the Sec-  
3 retary shall—

4 (1) coordinate with the Upper Mississippi River  
5 States, including collectively through the Upper Mis-  
6 sissippi River Basin Association;

7 (2) consult with the appropriate Federal agen-  
8 cies, levee and drainage districts, and units of local  
9 government, and the Mississippi River Commission;  
10 and

11 (3) seek and consider input from the Upper  
12 Mississippi navigation industry, agriculture and con-  
13 servation organizations, and other interested parties  
14 in such States.

15 (d) CONTINUATION OF STUDY.—The following stud-  
16 ies shall be considered a continuation of the study carried  
17 out under subsection (a):

18 (1) Any study recommended to be carried out  
19 in a report that the Chief of Engineers prepares for  
20 the study conducted under this section.

21 (2) Any study spun off from the study con-  
22 ducted under this section before completion of such  
23 study.

24 (e) CORPS OF ENGINEERS DISTRICT.—The Secretary  
25 shall carry out the study required under subsection (a)

1 through the St. Louis District in the Mississippi Valley  
2 Division of the Corps of Engineers.

3 (f) COST SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost of  
4 the study carried out under subsection (a) and any study  
5 carried out pursuant to subsection (d) shall be 75 percent.

6 (g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

7 (1) UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER STATE.—The  
8 term “Upper Mississippi River State” means any of  
9 the States of Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, or  
10 Wisconsin.

11 (2) UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER SYSTEM.—The  
12 term “Upper Mississippi River System” has the  
13 meaning given the term in section 1103(b) of the  
14 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
15 U.S.C. 652(b)).

16 **SEC. 211. NEW JERSEY HOT SPOT EROSION MITIGATION.**

17 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct one  
18 or more studies on the effects of hot spot erosion on au-  
19 thorized coastal storm risk management projects in the  
20 State of New Jersey, which shall include, with respect to  
21 each affected project included in a study—

22 (1) the specific area of the project that is af-  
23 fected by hot spot erosion; and

1           (2) the impact of hot spot erosion on the effec-  
2           tiveness of the project in meeting the purpose of  
3           coastal storm risk management.

4           (b) FORM.—A study conducted under subsection (a)  
5           may be in the form of a general reevaluation report, an  
6           engineering documentation report, or any other method of  
7           assessment that the Secretary determines appropriate.

8           (c) RECOMMENDATIONS.—Based on the study or  
9           studies carried out under subsection (a), the Secretary  
10          shall develop recommendations for mitigating the effects  
11          of hot spot erosion on authorized coastal storm risk man-  
12          agement projects in the State of New Jersey, which may  
13          include recommendations relating to—

14                (1) the design and construction of seawalls, jet-  
15                ties, berms, groins, breakwaters, or other physical  
16                structures;

17                (2) the use of natural features and nature-  
18                based features, including living shorelines; and

19                (3) modifications to authorized project designs  
20                or renourishment schedules.

21          (d) HOT SPOT EROSION DEFINED.—In this section,  
22          the term “hot spot erosion” means the loss of sediment  
23          in a specific, concentrated area, significantly faster than  
24          in immediately surrounding areas, due to natural proc-  
25          esses.

1 **SEC. 212. OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA.**

2 The Secretary—

3 (1) shall—

4 (A) expedite the completion of the study of  
5 plans for mitigation and beach restoration au-  
6 thorized by section 414 of the Water Resources  
7 Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2636);  
8 and

9 (B) produce a report of the Chief of Engi-  
10 neers with a recommended plan for mitigation  
11 and beach restoration based on updated sedi-  
12 ment sampling and analysis; and

13 (2) may, if the Secretary determines that the  
14 mitigation and beach restoration plans described in  
15 such study are technically feasible and environ-  
16 mentally acceptable, proceed directly to  
17 preconstruction planning, engineering, and design of  
18 the mitigation and beach restoration work.

19 **SEC. 213. COASTAL WASHINGTON.**

20 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to  
21 carry out comprehensive studies for riverine and coastal  
22 flooding of coastal areas in the State of Washington.

23 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out a study under  
24 subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

25 (1) conduct a comprehensive analysis of current  
26 riverine and coastal flooding and corresponding risk

1 reduction measures with an emphasis on resiliency  
2 to maintain or enhance current levels of risk man-  
3 agement in response to changing conditions;

4 (2) establish a method of projecting sea level  
5 rise with limited tide gage information and develop  
6 applicable tools to address the unique coastal flood-  
7 ing process in the Pacific Northwest region;

8 (3) conduct research and development to under-  
9 stand the atmospheric, oceanic, geologic, and coastal  
10 forcing and response conditions necessary to develop  
11 a numerical modeling system that may be used for  
12 developing coastal hazard data, and how to best in-  
13 clude that information in such a modeling system;

14 (4) identify coastal vulnerabilities and risks in  
15 riverine and coastal areas due to sea level change,  
16 extreme weather, and increased coastal storm risk;

17 (5) identify Tribal and economically disadvan-  
18 taged communities (as defined by the Secretary  
19 under section 160 of the Water Resources Develop-  
20 ment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note) with  
21 riverine and coastal flooding vulnerabilities and  
22 risks; and

23 (6) recommend actions necessary to protect  
24 critical public infrastructure, communities, and crit-  
25 ical natural or cultural resources.

1           (c) DATA NEEDS.—In carrying out this section, the  
2 Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable and  
3 where appropriate, use existing data provided to the Sec-  
4 retary by Federal and State agencies, Indian Tribes, and  
5 other stakeholders, including data obtained through other  
6 Federal programs.

7 **SEC. 214. CHERRYFIELD DAM, NARRAGUAGUS RIVER,**  
8                                   **MAINE.**

9           (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a  
10 disposition study under section 216 of the Flood Control  
11 Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the deauthorization and  
12 potential removal of the Cherryfield Local Protection  
13 Project, Narraguagus River, Maine, constructed pursuant  
14 to section 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33  
15 U.S.C. 701s).

16           (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18  
17 months after the date of enactment of this section, the  
18 Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
19 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
20 and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of  
21 the Senate a report on the status of the disposition study  
22 required under subsection (a).

1 **SEC. 215. POOR FARM POND DAM, WORCESTER, MASSACHU-**  
2 **SETTS.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a  
4 disposition study under section 216 of the Flood Control  
5 Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the deauthorization and  
6 potential removal of the Poor Farm Pond Dam, Worces-  
7 ter, Massachusetts.

8 (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18  
9 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
10 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
11 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and  
12 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
13 Senate a report on the status of the disposition study re-  
14 quired under subsection (a).

15 **SEC. 216. NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES STUDY ON**  
16 **UPPER RIO GRANDE BASIN.**

17 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall seek to enter  
18 into an agreement with the National Academy of Sciences  
19 to prepare a report containing—

20 (1) the results of a study on the management  
21 and operations of the dams and reservoirs in the  
22 Upper Rio Grande Basin, including the Heron, El  
23 Vado, Abiquiu, Cochiti, Jemez Canyon, and Ele-  
24 phant Butte dams and reservoirs; and

25 (2) recommendations for future management  
26 and operation strategies for such dams and res-



1       ervoirs with a goal of optimizing currently author-  
2       ized project purposes and enhancing resiliency, in-  
3       cluding to drought and weather variations.

4       (b) CONSULTATION.—In preparing the report under  
5       subsection (a), the National Academy of Sciences shall  
6       consult with relevant Federal agencies.

7       (c) REPORT.—Not later than 2 years after the date  
8       of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall submit  
9       to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure  
10      of the House of Representatives and the Committee on  
11      Environment and Public Works of the Senate the report  
12      prepared under subsection (a).

13      **SEC. 217. CHAMBERS, GALVESTON, AND HARRIS COUNTIES,**  
14                                      **TEXAS.**

15      (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a  
16      disposition study under section 216 of the Flood Control  
17      Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the release, transfer,  
18      conveyance, or exchange of excess easements, or the ex-  
19      change of land, held for placement of dredged material  
20      for the project for navigation, Houston Ship Channel Ex-  
21      pansion Channel Improvement Project, Harris, Chambers,  
22      and Galveston Counties, Texas, authorized by section  
23      401(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020  
24      (134 Stat. 2734).

1 (b) ACTIONS.—In carrying out the study required  
2 under subsection (a) the Secretary shall—

3 (1) ensure that the relevant non-Federal inter-  
4 est is provided right of first refusal for any potential  
5 release, transfer, conveyance, or exchange of excess  
6 easements; and

7 (2) work alongside the non-Federal interest in  
8 identifying opportunities for land exchanges, where  
9 possible.

10 **SEC. 218. SEA SPARROW ACCOUNTING.**

11 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall share data  
12 and coordinate with relevant Federal, State, and local  
13 agencies to obtain an accurate count of Cape Sable Sea-  
14 side Sparrows in Florida during each year and, to the  
15 maximum extent practicable, during the 5-year period pre-  
16 ceding each such year.

17 (b) SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION TO CONGRESS.—  
18 Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this  
19 Act, and annually thereafter during the 10-year period be-  
20 ginning on such date of enactment, the Secretary shall  
21 submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-  
22 structure of the House of Representatives and the Com-  
23 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate  
24 the information obtained under subsection (a).

1 **TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS**  
2 **AND MODIFICATIONS**

3 **SEC. 301. DEAUTHORIZATION OF INACTIVE PROJECTS.**

4 Section 301 of the Water Resources Development Act  
5 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 579d–2) is amended by striking sub-  
6 sections (a) through (c) and inserting the following:

7 “(a) PURPOSES.—The purposes of this section are—

8 “(1) to identify water resources development  
9 projects, and separable elements of projects, author-  
10 ized by Congress that are no longer viable for con-  
11 struction due to—

12 “(A) a lack of local support;

13 “(B) a lack of available Federal or non-  
14 Federal resources; or

15 “(C) an authorizing purpose that is no  
16 longer relevant or feasible;

17 “(2) to create an expedited and definitive proc-  
18 ess for Congress to deauthorize water resources de-  
19 velopment projects and separable elements that are  
20 no longer viable for construction; and

21 “(3) to allow the continued authorization of  
22 water resources development projects and separable  
23 elements that are viable for construction.

24 “(b) PROPOSED DEAUTHORIZATION LIST.—

25 “(1) PRELIMINARY LIST OF PROJECTS.—

1           “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall  
2           develop a preliminary list of each water re-  
3           sources development project, or separable ele-  
4           ment of a project, authorized for construction  
5           before June 10, 2014, for which—

6                   “(i) planning, design, or construction  
7                   was not initiated before the date of enact-  
8                   ment of the Water Resources Development  
9                   Act of 2024; or

10                   “(ii) planning, design, or construction  
11                   was initiated before the date of enactment  
12                   of the Water Resources Development Act  
13                   of 2024, but for which no funds, Federal  
14                   or non-Federal, were obligated for plan-  
15                   ning, design, or construction of the project  
16                   or separable element of the project during  
17                   the current fiscal year or any of the 10  
18                   preceding fiscal years.

19           “(B) USE OF COMPREHENSIVE CONSTRU-  
20           TION BACKLOG AND OPERATION AND MAINTEN-  
21           NANCE REPORT.—The Secretary may develop  
22           the preliminary list from the comprehensive  
23           construction backlog and operation and mainte-  
24           nance reports developed pursuant to section

1           1001(b)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-  
2           ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 579a).

3           “(2) PREPARATION OF PROPOSED DEAUTHOR-  
4           IZATION LIST.—

5                   “(A) PROPOSED LIST AND ESTIMATED DE-  
6           AUTHORIZATION     AMOUNT.—The     Secretary  
7           shall—

8                           “(i) prepare a proposed list of projects  
9                           for deauthorization comprised of a subset  
10                          of projects and separable elements identi-  
11                          fied on the preliminary list developed  
12                          under paragraph (1) that are projects or  
13                          separable elements described in subsection  
14                          (a)(1), as determined by the Secretary;  
15                          and

16                           “(ii) include with such proposed list  
17                           an estimate, in the aggregate, of the Fed-  
18                           eral cost to complete such projects.

19                          “(B) DETERMINATION OF FEDERAL COST  
20           TO COMPLETE.—For purposes of subparagraph  
21           (A), the Federal cost to complete shall take into  
22           account any allowances authorized by section  
23           902 of the Water Resources Development Act  
24           of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2280), as applied to the  
25           most recent project schedule and cost estimate.

1 “(3) PUBLIC COMMENT AND CONSULTATION.—

2 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall  
3 solicit comments from the public and the Gov-  
4 ernors of each applicable State on the proposed  
5 deauthorization list prepared under paragraph  
6 (2)(A).

7 “(B) COMMENT PERIOD.—The public com-  
8 ment period shall be 90 days.

9 “(4) PREPARATION OF FINAL DEAUTHORIZA-  
10 TION LIST.—

11 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall  
12 prepare a final deauthorization list by—

13 “(i) considering any comments re-  
14 ceived under paragraph (3); and

15 “(ii) revising the proposed deauthor-  
16 ization list prepared under paragraph  
17 (2)(A) as the Secretary determines nec-  
18 essary to respond to such comments.

19 “(B) APPENDIX.—The Secretary shall in-  
20 clude as part of the final deauthorization list an  
21 appendix that—

22 “(i) identifies each project or sepa-  
23 rable element on the proposed deauthoriza-  
24 tion list that is not included on the final  
25 deauthorization list; and

1                   “(ii) describes the reasons why the  
2                   project or separable element is not in-  
3                   cluded on the final deauthorization list.

4                   “(c) SUBMISSION OF FINAL DEAUTHORIZATION LIST  
5 TO CONGRESS FOR CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW; PUBLICA-  
6 TION.—

7                   “(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days  
8                   after the date of the close of the comment period  
9                   under subsection (b)(3), the Secretary shall—

10                   “(A) submit the final deauthorization list  
11                   and appendix prepared under subsection (b)(4)  
12                   to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-  
13                   structure of the House of Representatives and  
14                   the Committee on Environment and Public  
15                   Works of the Senate; and

16                   “(B) publish the final deauthorization list  
17                   and appendix in the Federal Register.

18                   “(2) EXCLUSIONS.—The Secretary shall not in-  
19                   clude in the final deauthorization list submitted  
20                   under paragraph (1) any project or separable ele-  
21                   ment with respect to which Federal funds for plan-  
22                   ning, design, or construction are obligated after the  
23                   development of the preliminary list under subsection  
24                   (b)(1)(A) but prior to the submission of the final de-

1 authorization list under paragraph (1)(A) of this  
2 subsection.”.

3 **SEC. 302. GENERAL REAUTHORIZATIONS.**

4 (a) LAS VEGAS, NEVADA.—Section 529(b)(3) of the  
5 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat.  
6 2658; 119 Stat. 2255; 125 Stat. 865; 136 Stat. 4631)  
7 is amended by striking “\$40,000,000” and inserting  
8 “\$60,000,000”.

9 (b) INVASIVE SPECIES IN ALPINE LAKES PILOT PRO-  
10 GRAM.—Section 507(c) of the Water Resources Develop-  
11 ment Act of 2020 (16 U.S.C. 4701 note) is amended by  
12 striking “2028” and inserting “2030”.

13 (c) ENVIRONMENTAL BANKS.—Section 309(e) of the  
14 Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration  
15 Act (16 U.S.C. 3957(e)) is amended by striking “12” and  
16 inserting “14”.

17 (d) LEVEE SAFETY INITIATIVE.—Section  
18 9005(g)(2)(E)(i) of the Water Resources Development Act  
19 of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 3303a(g)(2)(E)(i)) is amended by  
20 striking “2028” and inserting “2033”.

21 (e) NON-FEDERAL IMPLEMENTATION PILOT PRO-  
22 GRAM.—Section 1043(b) of the Water Resources Reform  
23 and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note) is  
24 amended by striking “2026” each place it appears and  
25 inserting “2030”.



1 (f) ASIAN CARP PREVENTION AND CONTROL PILOT  
2 PROGRAM.—Section 509(a) of the Water Resources Devel-  
3 opment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note) is amended—

4 (1) in paragraph (2)(C)(ii), by striking “2024”  
5 and inserting “2030”; and

6 (2) in paragraph (7), by striking “2 years  
7 thereafter” and inserting “2 years after the date of  
8 enactment of the Water Resources Development Act  
9 of 2024”.

10 (g) TRANSFER OF EXCESS CREDIT.—Section 1020  
11 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of  
12 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2223) is amended by striking “2028”  
13 and inserting “2033” each place it appears.

14 (h) PILOT PROGRAMS ON THE FORMULATION OF  
15 CORPS OF ENGINEERS PROJECTS IN RURAL COMMU-  
16 NITIES AND ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED COMMU-  
17 NITIES.—Section 118 of the Water Resources Develop-  
18 ment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note) is amended—

19 (1) in subsection (e), by striking “5 years and  
20 10 years” and inserting “5 years, 10 years, and 15  
21 years”;

22 (2) in subsection (g), by striking “10 years”  
23 and inserting “15 years”; and

24 (3) by adding at the end the following:

1       “(h) PRIORITY PROJECTS.—In carrying out this sec-  
2 tion, the Secretary shall prioritize the following projects:

3           “(1) The project for flood risk management,  
4 city of Rialto, California, authorized by section 201  
5 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024.

6           “(2) The project for ecosystem restoration and  
7 recreation, Santa Ana River, Jurupa Valley, Cali-  
8 fornia, authorized by section 201 of the Water Re-  
9 sources Development Act of 2024.

10          “(3) The project for flood control and other  
11 purposes, Kentucky River and its tributaries, Ken-  
12 tucky, authorized by section 6 of the Act of August  
13 11, 1939 (chapter 699, 53 Stat. 1416).

14          “(4) The project for flood risk management,  
15 Kentucky River, Kentucky, authorized by section  
16 8201(a)(31) of the Water Resources Development  
17 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3746).

18          “(5) The project for navigation, Hagaman  
19 Chute, Lake Providence, Louisiana, authorized by  
20 section 201 of the Water Resources Development  
21 Act of 2024.

22          “(6) The project for flood risk management,  
23 Otero County, New Mexico authorized by section  
24 201 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
25 2024.

1           “(7) The project for flood control other pur-  
2           poses, Susquehanna River Basin, Williamsport,  
3           Pennsylvania, authorized by section 5 of the Act of  
4           June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1573).

5           “(8) The project for flood risk management and  
6           ecosystem restoration, Winooski River basin,  
7           Vermont, authorized by section 201 of the Water  
8           Resources Development Act of 2024.

9           “(9) The project for flood risk management and  
10          sediment management, Grays River, Wahkiakum  
11          County, Washington, authorized by section 201 of  
12          the Water Resources Development Act of 2024.”.

13          (i) REHABILITATION OF EXISTING LEVEES.—Section  
14          3017(e) of the Water Resources Reform and Development  
15          Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3303a note) is amended by strik-  
16          ing “2028” and inserting “2033”.

17          **SEC. 303. CONVEYANCES.**

18          (a) GENERALLY APPLICABLE PROVISIONS.—

19                  (1) SURVEY TO OBTAIN LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—  
20                  The exact acreage and the legal description of any  
21                  real property to be conveyed under this section shall  
22                  be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the  
23                  Secretary.

24                  (2) APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING  
25                  PROVISIONS.—Section 2696 of title 10, United

1 States Code, shall not apply to any conveyance  
2 under this section.

3 (3) COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—An entity to  
4 which a conveyance is made under this section shall  
5 be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs,  
6 including real estate transaction and environmental  
7 documentation costs, associated with the conveyance.

8 (4) LIABILITY.—An entity to which a convey-  
9 ance is made under this section shall hold the  
10 United States harmless from any liability with re-  
11 spect to activities carried out, on or after the date  
12 of the conveyance, on the real property conveyed.  
13 The United States shall remain responsible for any  
14 liability with respect to activities carried out, before  
15 such date, on the real property conveyed.

16 (5) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—  
17 The Secretary may require that any conveyance  
18 under this section be subject to such additional  
19 terms and conditions as the Secretary considers nec-  
20 essary and appropriate to protect the interests of the  
21 United States.

22 (b) CITY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA.—

23 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary  
24 is authorized to convey, without consideration, to the  
25 City of Los Angeles, California, all right, title, and

1 interest of the United States in and to the real prop-  
2 erty described in paragraph (2), for the purpose of  
3 housing a fire station, swiftwater rescue facility, and  
4 firefighter training facility.

5 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed  
6 under this subsection is the approximately 11.25  
7 acres of land, including improvements on that land,  
8 located at 5101 Sepulveda Boulevard, Sherman  
9 Oaks, California.

10 (3) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines  
11 at any time that the property conveyed under para-  
12 graph (1) is not being used in accordance with the  
13 purpose specified in such paragraph, all right, title,  
14 and interest in and to the property shall revert, at  
15 the discretion of the Secretary, to the United States.

16 (c) SALINAS DAM AND RESERVOIR, CALIFORNIA.—

17 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary  
18 shall convey, without consideration, to the County of  
19 San Luis Obispo, California, all right, title, and in-  
20 terest of the United States in and to the real prop-  
21 erty described in paragraph (2).

22 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed  
23 under this subsection is Salinas Dam and Reservoir  
24 (Santa Margarita Lake), California.

1           (3) SAFETY REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary  
2 shall, in consultation with appropriate Federal and  
3 non-Federal entities, ensure the property described  
4 in paragraph (2) meets applicable State and Federal  
5 dam safety requirements before conveying such  
6 property under this subsection.

7           (4) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines  
8 that the property conveyed under this subsection is  
9 not used for a public purpose, all right, title, and in-  
10 terest in and to the property shall revert, at the dis-  
11 cretion of the Secretary, to the United States

12 (d) PORT OF SKAMANIA COUNTY, WASHINGTON.—

13           (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary  
14 may convey, without consideration, to the Port of  
15 Skamania County, Washington, all right, title, and  
16 interest of the United States in and to the real prop-  
17 erty described in paragraph (2).

18           (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed  
19 under this subsection is the approximately 1.6 acres  
20 of land, including improvements on that land, con-  
21 sisting of the following: Lot I-2 in the Fifth Addi-  
22 tion to the Plats of Relocated North Bonneville re-  
23 corded in Volume B of Plat Records, Pages 51 and  
24 52, Skamania County Auditor's File No. 94016.

1           (3) WAIVER OF PROPERTY SCREENING PROVI-  
2           SION.—Section 401(e) of Public Law 100–581 (102  
3           Stat. 2944) shall not apply to the conveyance under  
4           this subsection.

5           (4) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines  
6           that the property conveyed under this subsection is  
7           not used for a public purpose, all right, title, and in-  
8           terest in and to the property shall revert, at the dis-  
9           cretion of the Secretary, to the United States.

10 **SEC. 304. LAKES PROGRAM.**

11           Section 602(a) of the Water Resources Development  
12           Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4148; 104 Stat. 4646; 110 Stat.  
13           3758; 118 Stat. 295; 121 Stat. 1076; 134 Stat. 2703; 136  
14           Stat. 3778) is amended—

15           (1) in paragraph (33), by striking “and” at the  
16           end;

17           (2) in paragraph (34) by striking the period at  
18           the end and inserting a semicolon; and

19           (3) by adding at the end the following:

20           “(35) East Lake Tohopekaliga, Florida;

21           “(36) Dillon Lake, Ohio;

22           “(37) Hillcrest Pond, Pennsylvania;

23           “(38) Falcon Lake, Zapata County, Texas; and

24           “(39) Lake Casa Blanca, Webb County,  
25           Texas.”.

1 **SEC. 305. MAINTENANCE OF NAVIGATION CHANNELS.**

2 Section 509(a) of the Water Resources Development  
3 Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3759; 113 Stat. 339; 114 Stat.  
4 2679; 136 Stat. 3779) is amended by adding at the end  
5 the following:

6 “(23) West Dundalk Branch Channel and Dun-  
7 dalk-Seagirt Connecting Channel, Baltimore Harbor  
8 Anchorages and Channels, Maryland.

9 “(24) Crown Bay Marina Channel, United  
10 States Virgin Islands.

11 “(25) Pidgeon Industrial Area Harbor, Mem-  
12 phis, Tennessee.

13 “(26) McGriff Pass Channel, Florida.

14 “(27) Oak Harbor Channel and Breakwater,  
15 Washington.

16 “(28) Ediz Hook, Port Angeles, Washington.”.

17 **SEC. 306. ASSET DIVESTITURE.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 109 of the River and Har-  
19 bor Act of 1950 (33 U.S.C. 534) is amended—

20 (1) by striking “That the Secretary of the  
21 Army” and inserting the following:

22 “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Army”;

23 (2) by striking “with or without consideration”  
24 and all that follows through the period at the end  
25 and inserting the following: “with or without consid-  
26 eration if, prior to any transfer or conveyance of a



1 bridge, the Secretary and the State authority, or po-  
2 litical subdivision thereof, execute an agreement con-  
3 taining the following terms and conditions:

4 “(1) The State authority, or political subdivi-  
5 sion thereof, shall assume responsibility for the oper-  
6 ation, maintenance, repair, replacement, and reha-  
7 bilitation of the bridge, including the preservation,  
8 protection, inspection and evaluation of, and future  
9 construction on, the bridge.

10 “(2) Operation of the bridge shall be consistent  
11 with the purposes of, and may not constrain or  
12 change, the operation and maintenance of the water  
13 resources development project in connection to which  
14 the bridge was constructed or acquired.

15 “(3) The State authority, or political subdivi-  
16 sion thereof, shall hold the United States harmless  
17 from any liability with respect to the operation,  
18 maintenance, repair, replacement, and rehabilitation  
19 of the bridge, including preservation, protection, in-  
20 spection and evaluation of, and future construction  
21 on, the bridge.

22 “(4) Any additional terms or conditions that  
23 the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the  
24 interests of the United States.”; and

25 (3) by adding at the end the following:

1       “(b) FUNDS.—The Secretary may transfer to the  
2 State authority, or political subdivision thereof, to which  
3 a bridge is transferred or conveyed under this section any  
4 funds made available to the Secretary for necessary re-  
5 placement or rehabilitation of the bridge.”.

6       (b) REPORT ON BRIDGE INVENTORY.—

7           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after  
8 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
9 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation  
10 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
11 and the Committee on Environment and Public  
12 Works of the Senate a report on bridges owned, op-  
13 erated, and maintained by the Corps of Engineers.

14           (2) REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary shall in-  
15 clude in the report required under paragraph (1)—

16           (A) a list of bridges carrying passengers  
17 that are—

18                   (i) not located in recreational areas;

19                   and

20                   (ii) not required to be owned, oper-  
21 ated, and maintained by the Corps of En-  
22 gineers for the proper functioning of water  
23 resources development projects;

24           (B) a description of the location of such  
25 bridges and applicable State authority or polit-

1           ical subdivision to which such bridges may be  
2           transferred or conveyed under section 109 of  
3           the River and Harbor Act of 1950 (33 U.S.C.  
4           534) (as amended by this section); and

5                   (C) a description of measures taken by the  
6           Corps of Engineers to reduce the number of  
7           bridges owned, operated, and maintained by the  
8           Corps of Engineers.

9   **SEC. 307. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER RESTORATION PRO-**  
10                   **GRAM.**

11           Section 1103(e)(4) of the Water Resources Develop-  
12           ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 652(e)(4)) is amended by  
13           striking “\$15,000,000 for fiscal year 1999 and each fiscal  
14           year thereafter” and inserting “\$15,000,000 for fiscal  
15           year 2024 and \$20,000,000 for each fiscal year there-  
16           after”.

17   **SEC. 308. COASTAL COMMUNITY FLOOD CONTROL AND**  
18                   **OTHER PURPOSES.**

19           Section 103(k)(4) of the Water Resources Develop-  
20           ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(k)(4)) is amended—

21                   (1) in subparagraph (A)—

22                           (A) in clause (i), by striking “makes” and  
23                           inserting “made”; and

24                           (B) in clause (ii), by striking “repays an  
25                           amount equal to  $\frac{2}{3}$  of the remaining principal

1 by” and inserting “made a payment of an addi-  
2 tional \$200,000,000 for that eligible deferred  
3 payment agreement on or before”;

4 (2) in subparagraph (B) by inserting “inter-  
5 est’s” after “non-Federal”; and

6 (3) by adding at the end the following:

7 “(C) REFUND OF CREDIT.—Any agree-  
8 ment made that applied credits to satisfy the  
9 terms of a pre-payment made under subsection  
10 (k)(4)(A) that resulted in total payment in ex-  
11 cess of the amount now required under sub-  
12 section (k)(4)(A) shall be modified to indicate  
13 that the excess credits continue to apply toward  
14 any remaining principal of the respective  
15 project, or at the request of the non-Federal in-  
16 terest, the agreement shall be modified to retro-  
17 actively transfer back those excess credits to the  
18 non-Federal interest such that those credits  
19 may be applied by the non-Federal interest to  
20 any cost-shared project identified by the non-  
21 Federal interest.”.

22 **SEC. 309. SHORE PROTECTION AND RESTORATION.**

23 Section 8327 of the Water Resources Development  
24 Act of 2002 (136 Stat. 3788) is amended—

1 (1) in the section heading, by striking “**DELA-**  
2 **WARE**”; and

3 (2) in subsection (b)—

4 (A) in the heading, by striking “DELA-  
5 WARE”;

6 (B) by striking “the State of Delaware”  
7 and inserting “the covered geographic area”  
8 each place it appears; and

9 (C) in paragraph (7), by adding at the end  
10 the following:

11 “(C) COVERED GEOGRAPHIC AREA.—The  
12 term ‘covered geographic area’ means—

13 “(i) the State of Delaware;

14 “(ii) Fire Island National Seashore,  
15 New York; and

16 “(iii) the hamlets of Massapequa  
17 Park, Massapequa, Amityville, Copiague,  
18 Lindenhurst, West Babylon, Babylon, West  
19 Islip, West Bay Shore, Brightwaters, Bay  
20 Shore, Islip, East Islip, Great River,  
21 Oakdale, West Sayville, Saville, Bayport,  
22 Blue Point, Patchogue, East Patchogue,  
23 Bellport, Brookhaven, Shirley, Mastic  
24 Beach, Mastic, Moriches, Center Moriches,  
25 East Moriches, and Eastport, New York.”.

1 **SEC. 310. HOPPER DREDGE MCFARLAND REPLACEMENT.**

2 If the Secretary replaces the Federal hopper dredge  
3 McFarland referred to in section 563 of the Water Re-  
4 sources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3784; 121  
5 Stat. 1105) with another Federal hopper dredge, the Sec-  
6 retary shall—

7 (1) place the replacement Federal hopper  
8 dredge in a ready reserve status;

9 (2) periodically perform routine underway  
10 dredging tests of the equipment (not to exceed 70  
11 days per year) of the replacement Federal hopper  
12 dredge in a ready reserve status to ensure the ability  
13 of the replacement Federal hopper dredge to per-  
14 form urgent and emergency work; and

15 (3) in consultation with affected stakeholders,  
16 place the replacement Federal hopper dredge in ac-  
17 tive status in order to perform dredging work if the  
18 Secretary determines that private industry has  
19 failed—

20 (A) to submit a responsive and responsible  
21 bid for work advertised by the Secretary; or

22 (B) to carry out a project as required pur-  
23 suant to a contract between the industry and  
24 the Secretary.

1 **SEC. 311. ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEMS.**

2 Section 1113 of the Water Resources Development  
3 Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4232; 110 Stat. 3719, 136 Stat.  
4 3781) is amended—

5 (1) in subsection (d)—

6 (A) by striking “The non-Federal” and in-  
7 serting the following:

8 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal”; and

9 (B) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(2) RECONNAISSANCE STUDY.—Notwith-  
11 standing paragraph (1), the Federal share of a re-  
12 connaissance study carried out by the Secretary  
13 under this section shall be 100 percent.”; and

14 (2) in subsection (e), by striking “\$80,000,000”  
15 and inserting “\$90,000,000”.

16 **SEC. 312. PACIFIC REGION.**

17 Section 444 of the Water Resources Development Act  
18 of 1996 (110 Stat. 3747; 113 Stat. 286) is amended by  
19 inserting “Hawaii,” after “Guam,”.

20 **SEC. 313. SELMA, ALABAMA.**

21 The Federal share of the cost of the project for flood  
22 risk management, Selma Flood Risk Management and  
23 Bank Stabilization, Alabama, authorized by section  
24 8401(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022  
25 (136 Stat. 3838), shall be 100 percent.

1 **SEC. 314. BARROW, ALASKA.**

2 For purposes of implementing the coastal erosion  
3 project, Barrow, Alaska, authorized pursuant to section  
4 116 of the Energy and Water Development and Related  
5 Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010 (123 Stat. 2851) the  
6 Secretary may consider the North Slope Borough to be  
7 in compliance with section 402(a) of the Water Resources  
8 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 701b–12(a)) on  
9 adoption by the North Slope Borough Assembly of a flood-  
10 plain management plan to reduce the impacts of flood  
11 events in the immediate floodplain area of the project, if  
12 the plan—

13 (1) was developed in consultation with the Sec-  
14 retary and the Administrator of the Federal Emer-  
15 gency Management Agency in accordance with the  
16 guidelines developed under section 402(e) of such  
17 Act; and

18 (2) is approved by the Secretary.

19 **SEC. 315. SAN FRANCISCO BAY, CALIFORNIA.**

20 Section 142 of the Water Resources Development Act  
21 of 1976 (90 Stat. 2930; 100 Stat. 4158) is amended—

22 (1) by striking “The Secretary” and inserting  
23 “(a) The Secretary”;

24 (2) by inserting “, Contra Costa,” before “and  
25 Solano”; and

26 (3) by adding at the end the following:



1       “(b) ADDITIONAL PURPOSES.—In carrying out sub-  
2 section (a), the Secretary shall—

3           “(1) include the ocean shorelines of each coun-  
4 ty;

5           “(2) with respect to the bay and ocean shore-  
6 lines of each county—

7           “(A) investigate measures to adapt to ris-  
8 ing sea levels;

9           “(B) consider the needs of economically  
10 disadvantaged communities within the study  
11 area, including identification of areas in which  
12 infrastructure for transportation, wastewater,  
13 housing, and other economic assets of such  
14 communities are most vulnerable to flood or  
15 shoreline risks; and

16           “(C) to the maximum extent practicable,  
17 consider the use of natural features or nature-  
18 based features and the beneficial use of dredged  
19 materials; and

20           “(3) with respect to the bay and ocean shore-  
21 lines, and streams running to the bay and ocean  
22 shorelines, of each county, investigate the effects of  
23 proposed flood or shoreline protection, coastal storm  
24 risk reduction, environmental infrastructure, and  
25 other measures or improvements on—

1           “(A) the local economy, including recre-  
2           ation;

3           “(B) aquatic ecosystem restoration, en-  
4           hancement, or expansion efforts or opportuni-  
5           ties;

6           “(C) public infrastructure protection and  
7           improvement;

8           “(D) stormwater runoff capacity and con-  
9           trol measures, including those that may miti-  
10          gate flooding;

11          “(E) erosion of beaches and coasts; and

12          “(F) any other measures or improvements  
13          relevant to adapting to rising sea levels.”.

14 **SEC. 316. SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CALIFORNIA.**

15       (a) SANTA ANA CREEK, INCLUDING SANTIAGO  
16 CREEK.—

17           (1) MODIFICATION.—The project for flood con-  
18       trol, Santa Ana River Mainstem Project, including  
19       Santiago Creek, California, authorized by section  
20       401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
21       1986 (100 Stat. 4113; 101 Stat. 1329–111; 104  
22       Stat. 4611; 110 Stat. 3713; 121 Stat. 1115), is  
23       modified to require the Secretary to treat construc-  
24       tion of the Santiago Creek Channel as a separable  
25       element of the project.

1           (2) PROHIBITION.—The Secretary may not con-  
2           struct the Santiago Creek Channel unless such con-  
3           struction minimizes the impacts to existing trees in,  
4           or adjacent to, the Santiago Creek Channel.

5           (3) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this  
6           subsection shall affect the authorization for other  
7           portions of the project described in paragraph (1).

8           (4) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

9           (A) SANTIAGO CREEK CHANNEL.—The  
10           term “Santiago Creek Channel” means the por-  
11           tion of the project for flood control, Santa Ana  
12           River Mainstem Project, including Santiago  
13           Creek, California, authorized by section 401(a)  
14           of the Water Resources Development Act of  
15           1986 (100 Stat. 4113; 101 Stat. 1329–111;  
16           104 Stat. 4611; 110 Stat. 3713; 121 Stat.  
17           1115), consisting of Santiago Creek down-  
18           stream of the I-5 Interstate Highway to the  
19           confluence with the Santa Ana River.

20           (B) SEPARABLE ELEMENT.—The term  
21           “separable element” has the meaning given  
22           such term in section 103 of the Water Re-  
23           sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.  
24           2213).

25           (b) REPORT.—

1           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after  
2 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
3 shall provide the Committee on Transportation and  
4 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and  
5 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of  
6 the Senate with an update on implementation of the  
7 project for flood control, Santa Ana River Mainstem,  
8 including Santiago Creek, California, authorized by  
9 section 401(a) of the Water Resources Development  
10 Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4113; 101 Stat. 1329–111;  
11 104 Stat. 4611; 110 Stat. 3713; 121 Stat. 1115).

12           (2) SPECIFICATIONS.—In providing the update  
13 required under paragraph (1), the Secretary is di-  
14 rected to provide specific information on—

15           (A) efforts by the Secretary and the non-  
16 Federal interest for the project to acquire the  
17 lands or interests in lands necessary to imple-  
18 ment the project;

19           (B) the status of potential reimbursement  
20 requests by the non-Federal interest for such  
21 lands or interests; and

22           (C) the status of ongoing requests by the  
23 non-Federal interest for approval by the Sec-  
24 retary of pending land (or interest in land) ap-

1 praisals and litigation settlements associated  
2 with such lands or interests in lands.

3 **SEC. 317. FAULKNER ISLAND, CONNECTICUT.**

4 Section 527 of the Water Resources Development Act  
5 of 1996 (110 Stat. 3767) is amended by striking  
6 “\$4,500,000” and inserting “\$8,000,000”.

7 **SEC. 318. BROADKILL BEACH, DELAWARE.**

8 The project for hurricane and storm damage risk re-  
9 duction, Delaware Beneficial Use of Dredged Material for  
10 the Delaware River, Delaware, authorized by section  
11 401(3) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020  
12 (134 Stat. 2736; 136 Stat. 3788) is modified to include  
13 the project for hurricane and storm damage reduction,  
14 Delaware Bay coastline, Delaware and New Jersey–  
15 Broadkill Beach, Delaware, authorized by section  
16 101(a)(11) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
17 1999 (113 Stat. 275).

18 **SEC. 319. FEDERAL TRIANGLE AREA, WASHINGTON, DIS-**  
19 **TRICT OF COLUMBIA.**

20 In carrying out the feasibility study for the project  
21 for flood risk management, Federal Triangle Area, Wash-  
22 ington, District of Columbia, authorized by section  
23 8201(a)(12) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
24 2022 (136 Stat. 3745), the Secretary may accept and ex-

1 pend funds contributed by other Federal agencies within  
2 the study area.

3 **SEC. 320. WASHINGTON AQUEDUCT.**

4 Section 8146(d) of the Water Resources Development  
5 Act of 2022 (40 U.S.C. 9501 note; 136 Stat. 3729) is  
6 amended—

7 (1) in paragraph (1), by inserting “Water and  
8 Sewer Authority” after “District of Columbia”; and

9 (2) in paragraph (3), by striking “Fairfax  
10 County” and inserting “the Fairfax County Water  
11 Authority”.

12 **SEC. 321. WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA, WASH-**  
13 **INGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, MARY-**  
14 **LAND, AND VIRGINIA.**

15 The Federal share of the cost of the feasibility study  
16 for the project for water supply, Washington, District of  
17 Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia, authorized by section  
18 8201(a)(14) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
19 2022 (136 Stat. 3745) shall be 100 percent.

20 **SEC. 322. NORTHERN ESTUARIES ECOSYSTEM RESTORA-**  
21 **TION, FLORIDA.**

22 Section 8215(b) of the Water Resources Development  
23 Act of 2022 is amended by adding at the end the fol-  
24 lowing:

1           “(6) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of  
2           the cost of carrying out paragraph (1) shall be 100  
3           percent.”.

4 **SEC. 323. CHICAGO SHORELINE PROTECTION, ILLINOIS.**

5           Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment  
6 of this Act, the Secretary, in coordination with the applica-  
7 ble non-Federal interest, shall complete a review of a  
8 modified locally preferred plan for the project for storm  
9 damage reduction and shoreline erosion protection, Lake  
10 Michigan, Illinois, from Wilmette, Illinois, to the Illinois-  
11 Indiana State line, authorized by section 101(a)(12) of the  
12 Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.  
13 3664; 136 Stat. 3793), for the construction of the fol-  
14 lowing segments of the project:

15           (1) Shoreline revetment at Morgan Shoal.

16           (2) Shoreline revetment at Promontory Point.

17 **SEC. 324. DILLARD ROAD, PATOKA LAKE, INDIANA.**

18           (a) TRANSFER AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary is au-  
19 thorized to transfer, without consideration, to the State  
20 of Indiana, all right, title, and interest of the United  
21 States in and to the real property interests described in  
22 subsection (b).

23           (b) PROPERTY.—The real property interests to be  
24 transferred under this section are any easements on the  
25 approximately 11.85 acres of land associated with Dillard

1 Road, located in Patoka Township, Crawford County, In-  
2 diana, that is subject to the Department of the Army li-  
3 cense granted to the State of Indiana numbered  
4 DACW27-3-22-690, as described in Exhibit A of such li-  
5 cense, including improvements on that land.

6 (c) DISPOSAL.—The Secretary may, under sub-  
7 chapter III of chapter 5 of title 40, United States Code,  
8 dispose of any portion of the real property interests de-  
9 scribed in subsection (b) of which the State of Indiana  
10 does not accept transfer.

11 (d) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines that  
12 the land described in subsection (b) ceases to be used as  
13 a road, all right, title, and interest in and to the real prop-  
14 erty interests shall revert, at the discretion of the Sec-  
15 retary, to the United States.

16 (e) COSTS OF TRANSFER.—The State of Indiana  
17 shall be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs,  
18 including real estate transaction and environmental docu-  
19 mentation costs, associated with the transfer under this  
20 section.

21 (f) LIABILITY.—The State of Indiana shall hold the  
22 United States harmless from any liability with respect to  
23 activities carried out, on or after the date of the convey-  
24 ance, on the land described in subsection (b).



1 (g) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The  
2 Secretary may require that the transfer under this section  
3 be subject to such additional terms and conditions as the  
4 Secretary considers necessary and appropriate to protect  
5 the interests of the United States.

6 **SEC. 325. PORT FOURCHON BELLE PASS CHANNEL, LOU-**  
7 **ISIANA.**

8 (a) STUDY REQUEST.—If the non-Federal interest  
9 for the Port Fourchon project requests to undertake a fea-  
10 sibility study for a modification to the project under sec-  
11 tion 203(a)(1)(B) of the Water Resources Development  
12 Act of 1986 (as amended by this Act), the Secretary shall  
13 provide to the non-Federal interest, not later than 30 days  
14 after the date on which the Secretary receives such re-  
15 quest, a determination in accordance with section  
16 203(a)(1)(3) of such Act (as amended by this Act).

17 (b) NOTIFICATION OF ADDITIONAL ANALYSES AND  
18 REVIEWS.—Not later than 30 days after receiving a feasi-  
19 bility study for modification to the Port Fourchon project  
20 submitted by the non-Federal interest for the project  
21 under section 203(a) of the Water Resources Development  
22 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(a)), the Secretary shall—

23 (1) review the study and determine, in accord-  
24 ance with section 203(b)(3)(C) such Act (as amend-  
25 ed by this Act), whether additional information is

1 needed for the Secretary to perform the required  
2 analyses, reviews, and compliance processes;

3 (2) provide the non-Federal interest with a  
4 comprehensive list of additional information needs,  
5 as applicable; and

6 (3) if additional information is not needed, in-  
7 form the non-Federal interest that the study submis-  
8 sion is complete.

9 (c) ANALYSIS, REVIEW, AND COMPLIANCE.—

10 (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraphs (2)  
11 and (3), not later than 180 days after the Secretary  
12 receives the study for the Port Fourchon project de-  
13 scribed in subsection (b), the Secretary shall com-  
14 plete the analyses, review, and compliance processes  
15 for the project required under section 203(b) of the  
16 Water Resources Development Act of 1986, issue a  
17 finding of no significant impact or a record of deci-  
18 sion, and submit such finding or decision to the non-  
19 Federal interest.

20 (2) EXCEPTION.—The Secretary may delay the  
21 issuance of the finding or record of decision required  
22 under paragraph (1) if—

23 (A) the Secretary has not received nec-  
24 essary information or approvals from another  
25 entity, including the non-Federal interest, in a

1 manner that affects the ability of the Secretary  
2 to meet any requirements under State local or  
3 Federal law; or

4 (B) significant new information or cir-  
5 cumstances, including a major modification to  
6 an aspect of the Port Fourchon project, re-  
7 quires additional analysis by the Secretary.

8 (3) NOTIFICATION OF ADDITIONAL TIME.—If  
9 the Secretary determines that more than 180 days  
10 will be required to carry out paragraph (1), the Sec-  
11 retary shall notify the Committee on Transportation  
12 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives,  
13 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of  
14 the Senate, and the non-Federal interest and de-  
15 scribe the basis for requiring additional time.

16 (d) PORT FOURCHON PROJECT DEFINED.— In this  
17 section, the term “Port Fourchon project” means the  
18 project for navigation, Port Fourchon Belle Pass Channel,  
19 Louisiana, authorized by section 403(a)(4) of the Water  
20 Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2743).

21 **SEC. 326. UPPER ST. ANTHONY FALLS LOCK AND DAM, MIN-**  
22 **NESOTA.**

23 The Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and Dam (as such  
24 term is defined in section 2010 of the Water Resources  
25 Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1270;

1 136 Stat. 3795)) is modified to remove navigation as an  
2 authorized purpose.

3 **SEC. 327. MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, MISSOURI.**

4 Section 111 of the Energy and Water Development  
5 and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2009 (123 Stat.  
6 607) is amended by striking “\$7,000,000” and inserting  
7 “\$65,000,000”.

8 **SEC. 328. TABLE ROCK LAKE, MISSOURI AND ARKANSAS.**

9 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall permit the  
10 ongoing presence of an eligible structure at the Table  
11 Rock Lake project.

12 (b) PRIVATELY OWNED SEWER AND SEPTIC SYS-  
13 TEM.—The Secretary shall permit the ongoing presence  
14 of an eligible structure that is a privately owned sewer  
15 and septic system at the Table Rock Lake project until—

16 (1) the abandonment of such system by the  
17 holder of a license for right-of-way for such system;

18 (2) the transfer or sale of the property by the  
19 holder of a license for right-of-way for such system;

20 or

21 (3) the death of the holder of a license for  
22 right-of-way for such system and the legal spouse of  
23 such holder, as applicable.

24 (c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1           (1) ELIGIBLE STRUCTURE.—The term “eligible  
2 structure” means a privately owned sewer and septic  
3 system, dwelling unit, shed, retaining wall, deck,  
4 patio, gazebo, driveway, or fence—

5           (A) that is located on fee land or land sub-  
6 ject to a flowage easement;

7           (B) for which a license for right-of-way is  
8 in effect on the date of enactment of this Act;  
9 and

10           (C) that does not impact the reservoir level  
11 or pose a failure risk to the dam of the Table  
12 Rock Lake project.

13           (2) FEE LAND.—The term “fee land” means  
14 the land acquired in fee title by the United States  
15 for the Table Rock Lake project.

16           (3) TABLE ROCK LAKE PROJECT.—The term  
17 “Table Rock Lake project” means the Table Rock  
18 Lake project of the Corps of Engineers, located in  
19 Missouri and Arkansas, authorized as one of the  
20 multi-purpose reservoir projects in the White River  
21 Basin by section 4 of the Act of June 28, 1938 (52  
22 Stat. 1218).

1 **SEC. 329. MISSOURI RIVER MITIGATION, MISSOURI, KAN-**  
2 **SAS, IOWA, AND NEBRASKA.**

3 (a) ACQUISITION OF LANDS.—In acquiring any land,  
4 or interests in land, to satisfy the total number of acres  
5 required for the covered project, the Secretary—

6 (1) may only acquire land, or an interest in  
7 land, that—

8 (A) is on the riverward side of levees; or

9 (B) will contribute to future flood risk re-  
10 siliency projects;

11 (2) may only acquire land, or an interest in  
12 land, with the approval of the Governor of the State  
13 in which the land is located; and

14 (3) may not acquire land, or an interest in land,  
15 by eminent domain.

16 (b) APPLICATION OF LANDS.—The Secretary shall  
17 apply all covered land towards the number of acres re-  
18 quired for the covered project in accordance with section  
19 334 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999  
20 (113 Stat. 306; 136 Stat. 3799).

21 (c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

22 (1) COVERED LAND.—The term “covered land”  
23 means any land or interests in land that—

24 (A) is acquired by a Federal agency other  
25 than the Corps of Engineers;

1 (B) is located within the meander belt of  
2 the lower Missouri River; and

3 (C) the Secretary, in consultation with the  
4 head of any Federal agency that has acquired  
5 the land or interest in land, determines meets  
6 the purposes of the covered project.

7 (2) COVERED PROJECT.—The term “covered  
8 project” means the project for mitigation of fish and  
9 wildlife losses, Missouri River Bank Stabilization  
10 and Navigation Project, Missouri, Kansas, Iowa, and  
11 Nebraska, authorized by section 601(a) of the Water  
12 Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.  
13 4143; 113 Stat. 306; 121 Stat. 1155; 136 Stat.  
14 2395).

15 **SEC. 330. NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR AND TRIB-**  
16 **UTARIES, NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY.**

17 (a) IN GENERAL.—The study for flood and storm  
18 damage reduction for the New York and New Jersey Har-  
19 bor and Tributaries project, authorized by the Act of June  
20 15, 1955 (chapter 140, 69 Stat. 132, 134 Stat. 2676) and  
21 being carried out pursuant to the Disaster Relief Appro-  
22 priations Act, 2013 (Public Law 113–2), is modified to  
23 require the Secretary, upon the request of the non-Federal  
24 interest for the project, to include within the scope of such  
25 study an investigation of, and recommendations relating

1 to, projects and activities to maximize the net public bene-  
2 fits, including ecological benefits and societal benefits,  
3 from the reduction of the comprehensive flood risk within  
4 the geographic scope of the project from the isolated and  
5 compound effects of factors described in section 8106(a)  
6 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (33  
7 U.S.C. 2282g).

8 (b) ASSOCIATED PROJECTS.—The Secretary is au-  
9 thorized to carry out projects and activities recommended  
10 pursuant to subsection (a) if such projects and activities  
11 otherwise meet the criteria for projects carried out under  
12 a continuing authority program (as defined in section  
13 7001(c)) of the Water Resources Reform and Develop-  
14 ment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d(e)).

15 (c) CONTINUATION.—Any study recommended to be  
16 carried out in a report that the Chief of Engineers pre-  
17 pares for such study shall be considered a continuation  
18 of the study described in subsection (a).

19 (d) CONSIDERATION; CONSULTATION.—In developing  
20 recommendations pursuant to subsection (a), the Sec-  
21 retary shall—

22 (1) consider the use of natural and nature-  
23 based features;



1           (2) consult with applicable Federal and State  
2 agencies and other stakeholders within the geo-  
3 graphic scope of the project; and

4           (3) solicit public comments.

5           (e) INTERIM PROGRESS; REPORT TO CONGRESS.—

6 Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this  
7 Act, the Secretary shall transmit to the Committee on  
8 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-  
9 resentatives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
10 lic Works of the Senate a report detailing—

11           (1) any recommendations made pursuant to  
12 subsection (a);

13           (2) any projects or activities carried out under  
14 subsection (b);

15           (3) any additional, site-specific areas within the  
16 geographic scope of the project for which additional  
17 study is recommended by the Secretary; and

18           (4) any interim actions related to reduction of  
19 comprehensive flood risk within the geographic scope  
20 of the project undertaken by the Secretary during  
21 the study period.

22           (f) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Any additional action author-  
23 ized by this section shall not delay any existing study, en-  
24 gineering, or planning work underway as of the date of  
25 enactment of this Act.

1 **SEC. 331. WESTERN LAKE ERIE BASIN, OHIO, INDIANA, AND**  
2 **MICHIGAN.**

3 Section 441 of the Water Resources Development Act  
4 of 1999 (113 Stat. 328) is amended—

5 (1) in subsection (a), by striking “flood con-  
6 trol,” and inserting “flood risk management, hurri-  
7 cane and storm damage risk reduction,”;

8 (2) in subsection (b), by striking “the study”  
9 and inserting “any study under this section”; and

10 (3) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the  
11 following:

12 “(c) TREATMENT OF STUDIES.—Any study carried  
13 out by the Secretary under this section after the date of  
14 enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of  
15 2024 shall be treated as a continuation of the initial study  
16 carried out under this section.

17 “(d) PROJECTS.—A project resulting from a study  
18 carried out under this section may be implemented pursu-  
19 ant to section 212.”.

20 **SEC. 332. WILLAMETTE VALLEY, OREGON.**

21 The Secretary may not complete its review of, and  
22 consultation with other Federal agencies on, the operation  
23 and maintenance of the projects for flood control, naviga-  
24 tion, and other purposes, Willamette River Basin, Oregon,  
25 authorized by section 4 of the Act of June 28, 1938 (chap-  
26 ter 795, 52 Stat. 1222; 62 Stat. 1178; 64 Stat. 177; 68

1 Stat. 1264; 74 Stat. 499; 100 Stat. 4144), until the Sec-  
2 retary prepares and formally analyzes an alternative that  
3 ceases hydropower operations at the projects, notwith-  
4 standing hydropower being an authorized purpose of such  
5 projects.

6 **SEC. 333. COLUMBIA RIVER CHANNEL, OREGON AND WASH-**  
7 **INGTON.**

8 In carrying out maintenance activities on the project  
9 for navigation, Columbia River Channel, Oregon and  
10 Washington, authorized by section 101(b)(13) of the  
11 Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.  
12 280), the Secretary is authorized to include, as part of  
13 the full operating costs of the Cutter Suction Dredge pro-  
14 vided by the non-Federal interest for the project, any costs  
15 of replacing the Cutter Suction Dredge that the Secretary  
16 and the non-Federal interest agree are necessary.

17 **SEC. 334. BUFFALO BAYOU TRIBUTARIES AND RESILIENCY**  
18 **STUDY, TEXAS.**

19 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite com-  
20 pletion of the Buffalo Bayou Tributaries and Resiliency  
21 Study, Texas, carried out pursuant to title IV of the Bi-  
22 partisan Budget Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 76).

23 (b) REPORTS.—The final report of the Chief of Engi-  
24 neers for the study described in subsection (a) shall con-  
25 tain recommendations for projects that—

- 1 (1) align with community objectives;
- 2 (2) avoid or minimize adverse effects on the en-  
3 vironment and community; and
- 4 (3) promote the resiliency of infrastructure.

5 (c) DEADLINE.—Not later than December 31, 2025,  
6 the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-  
7 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
8 and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of  
9 the Senate the final report described in subsection (b).

10 **SEC. 335. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL JETTY DEFICIENCY,**  
11 **PORT LAVACA, TEXAS.**

12 (a) IN GENERAL.—The project for navigation,  
13 Matagorda Ship Channel, Port Lavaca, Texas, authorized  
14 by section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958 (72  
15 Stat. 298), is modified to authorize the Secretary to carry  
16 out the repairs for the Matagorda Ship Channel Jetty De-  
17 ficiency, as described in the report titled “Matagorda Ship  
18 Channel Project Deficiency Report” and published by the  
19 Secretary in the June 2020 Matagorda Ship Channel  
20 Project Deficiency Report.

21 (b) COST SHARE.—The non-Federal share of the cost  
22 of the repairs carried out pursuant to subsection (a) shall  
23 be 10 percent.

1 **SEC. 336. SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL, SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS.**

2       The project for flood control, San Antonio channel  
3 improvement, Texas, authorized by section 203 of the  
4 Flood Control Act of 1954 as part of the project for flood  
5 protection on the Guadalupe and San Antonio Rivers,  
6 Texas (68 Stat. 1259; 90 Stat. 2921; 114 Stat. 2611),  
7 is modified to require the Secretary to carry out the  
8 project substantially in accordance with Alternative 7, as  
9 identified in the final General Re-evaluation Report and  
10 Environmental Assessment for the project, dated January  
11 2014.

12 **SEC. 337. WESTERN WASHINGTON STATE, WASHINGTON.**

13       (a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary  
14 may establish a program to provide environmental assist-  
15 ance to non-Federal interests Chelan County, Island  
16 County, King County, Kittitas County, Pierce County,  
17 San Juan County, Snohomish County, Skagit County, and  
18 Whatcom County, Washington.

19       (b) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance provided  
20 under this section may be in the form of design and con-  
21 struction assistance for water-related environmental infra-  
22 structure and resource protection and development  
23 projects in Western Washington State, as described in  
24 subsection (a), including projects for wastewater treat-  
25 ment and related facilities, water supply and related facili-

1 ties, environmental restoration, and surface water re-  
2 source protection and development.

3 (c) OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may  
4 provide assistance for a project under this section only if  
5 the project is publicly owned.

6 (d) PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—

7 (1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance  
8 under this section to a non-Federal interest, the Sec-  
9 retary shall enter into a partnership agreement  
10 under section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970  
11 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b) with the non-Federal interest  
12 with respect to the project to be carried out with  
13 such assistance.

14 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each partnership agree-  
15 ment for a project entered into under this subsection  
16 shall provide for the following:

17 (A) Development by the Secretary, in con-  
18 sultation with appropriate Federal and State of-  
19 ficials, of a facilities or resource protection and  
20 development plan, including appropriate engi-  
21 neering plans and specifications.

22 (B) Establishment of such legal and insti-  
23 tutional structures as are necessary to ensure  
24 the effective long-term operation of the project  
25 by the non-Federal interest.

1 (3) COST SHARING.—

2 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of  
3 the cost of a project under this section—

4 (i) shall be 75 percent; and

5 (ii) may be provided in the form of  
6 grants or reimbursements of project costs.

7 (B) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a  
8 delay in the funding of the Federal share of a  
9 project that is the subject of an agreement  
10 under this section, the non-Federal interest  
11 shall receive credit for reasonable interest in-  
12 curred in providing the non-Federal share of  
13 the project cost.

14 (C) CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND  
15 RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—Notwithstanding section  
16 221(a)(4)(G) of the Flood Control Act of 1970  
17 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(a)(4)(G)), the non-Fed-  
18 eral interest shall receive credit for land, ease-  
19 ments, rights-of-way, and relocations toward  
20 the non-Federal share of project cost (including  
21 all reasonable costs associated with obtaining  
22 permits necessary for the construction, oper-  
23 ation, and maintenance of the project on pub-  
24 licly owned or controlled land), but the credit

1           may not exceed 25 percent of total project  
2           costs.

3                   (D) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
4           non-Federal share of operation and mainte-  
5           nance costs for projects constructed with assist-  
6           ance provided under this section shall be 100  
7           percent.

8           (e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

9                   (1) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be  
10          appropriated \$242,000,000 to carry out this section.

11                   (2) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not  
12          more than 10 percent of the amounts made available  
13          to carry out this section may be used by the Corps  
14          of Engineers district offices to administer projects  
15          under this section at Federal expense.

16           (f)        CONFORMING        AMENDMENTS.—Section  
17   219(f)(404) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
18   1992 is repealed.

19   **SEC. 338. ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

20           (a) NEW PROJECTS.—Section 219(f) of the Water  
21   Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113  
22   Stat. 336; 121 Stat. 1258; 136 Stat. 3808) is amended  
23   by adding at the end the following:



1           “(405) BUCKEYE, ARIZONA.—\$12,000,000 for  
2           water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
3           water reclamation, City of Buckeye, Arizona.

4           “(406) FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA.—\$5,000,000 for  
5           water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
6           water reclamation, City of Flagstaff, Arizona.

7           “(407) PAGE, ARIZONA.—\$10,000,000 for  
8           water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
9           water reclamation, City of Page, Arizona.

10          “(408) SAHUARITA, ARIZONA.—\$4,800,000 for  
11          water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
12          water reclamation, in the town of Sahuarita, Ari-  
13          zona.

14          “(409) TUCSON, ARIZONA.—\$20,000,000 for  
15          water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
16          water reclamation, City of Tucson, Arizona.

17          “(410) WINSLOW, ARIZONA.—\$3,000,000 for  
18          water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
19          water reclamation, City of Winslow, Arizona.

20          “(411) ADELANTO, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000  
21          for water and wastewater infrastructure in the City  
22          of Adelanto, California.

23          “(412) APTOS, CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000 for  
24          water and wastewater infrastructure in the town of  
25          Aptos, California.

1           “(413) BISHOP, CALIFORNIA.—\$2,500,000 for  
2 water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of  
3 Bishop, California.

4           “(414) BLOOMINGTON, CALIFORNIA.—  
5 \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
6 ture, including stormwater management, in Bloom-  
7 ington, California.

8           “(415) BUTTE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
9 \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
10 ture, including stormwater management, water sup-  
11 ply, environmental restoration, and surface water re-  
12 source protection in Butte County, California.

13           “(416) CALIFORNIA CITY, CALIFORNIA.—  
14 \$1,902,808 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
15 including water supply, in the city of California City,  
16 California.

17           “(417) CARSON, CALIFORNIA.—\$11,000,000 for  
18 water and water supply infrastructure in the City of  
19 Carson, California.

20           “(418) CEDAR GLEN, CALIFORNIA.—  
21 \$35,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
22 ture, including water supply and water storage, in  
23 Cedar Glen, California.

24           “(419) CULVER CITY, CALIFORNIA.—  
25 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-

1       ture, including water supply and drinking water, in  
2       City of Culver City, California.

3           “(420) COLTON, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000  
4       for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
5       stormwater management, in the city of Colton, Cali-  
6       fornia.

7           “(421) EAST SAN FERNANDO VALLEY, CALI-  
8       FORNIA.—\$50,000,000 for water and wastewater in-  
9       frastructure, including stormwater management,  
10      drinking water, and water supply, in the City of Los  
11      Angeles, California, including Sun Valley.

12          “(422) FRESNO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
13      \$20,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-  
14      ture, including stormwater management, surface  
15      water resource protection, and environmental res-  
16      toration, in Fresno County, California.

17          “(423) GEORGETOWN DIVIDE PUBLIC UTILITY  
18      DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,500,000 for water and  
19      wastewater infrastructure, including water supply  
20      and water storage, for communities served by the  
21      Georgetown Divide Public Utility District, Cali-  
22      fornia.

23          “(424) GRAND TERRACE, CALIFORNIA.—  
24      \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-

1       ture, including stormwater management, in the city  
2       of Grand Terrace, California.

3           “(425) HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA.—\$15,000,000  
4       for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
5       related environmental infrastructure, in the city of  
6       Hayward, California.

7           “(426) HOLLISTER, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,000,000  
8       for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city  
9       of Hollister, California.

10          “(427) INDIAN WELLS, CALIFORNIA.—  
11       \$50,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-  
12       ture in the city of Indian Wells, California.

13          “(428) LAKE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
14       \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
15       ture, including stormwater management, in Lake  
16       County, California.

17          “(429) LAKE TAHOE BASIN.—\$20,000,000 for  
18       water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
19       water supply, in the communities within the Lake  
20       Tahoe Basin in Nevada and California.

21          “(430) LA QUINTA, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000  
22       for water and wastewater infrastructure, in the City  
23       of La Quinta, California.

1           “(431) LAKEWOOD, CALIFORNIA.—\$8,000,000  
2           for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city  
3           of Lakewood, California.

4           “(432) LAWDALE, CALIFORNIA.—\$6,000,000  
5           for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
6           stormwater management, and environmental infra-  
7           structure, in the city of Lawndale, California.

8           “(433) LONE PINE, CALIFORNIA.—\$7,000,000  
9           for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
10          stormwater management, in the town of Lone Pine,  
11          California.

12          “(434) LOMITA, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,500,000 for  
13          water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
14          water supply and stormwater management, in the  
15          city of Lomita, California.

16          “(435) LOS BANOS, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000  
17          for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
18          stormwater management, in the city of Los Banos,  
19          California.

20          “(436) LOS OLIVOS, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000  
21          for water and wastewater infrastructure in the town  
22          of Los Olivos, California.

23          “(437) LYNWOOD, CALIFORNIA.—\$12,000,000  
24          for water and water supply infrastructure in the city  
25          of Lynwood, California.

1           “(438) MADERA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
2           \$27,500,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-  
3           ture in Madera County, California.

4           “(439) MILPITAS, CALIFORNIA.—\$15,000,000  
5           for water and water supply infrastructure in the city  
6           of Milpitas, California.

7           “(440) MONTECITO, CALIFORNIA.—  
8           \$18,250,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
9           ture, including water supply and stormwater man-  
10          agement, in the town of Montecito, California.

11          “(441) OAKLAND-ALAMEDA ESTUARY, CALI-  
12          FORNIA.—\$30,000,000 for water and wastewater in-  
13          frastructure, including stormwater management, in  
14          the cities of Oakland and Alameda, California.

15          “(442) OXNARD, CALIFORNIA.—\$40,000,000  
16          for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
17          water supply, conservation, water reuse and related  
18          facilities, environmental restoration, and surface  
19          water resource protection, in the city of Oxnard,  
20          California.

21          “(443) PATTERSON, CALIFORNIA.—  
22          \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
23          ture, including water supply and environmental res-  
24          toration, in the city of Patterson, California.

1           “(444) POMONA, CALIFORNIA.—\$35,000,000  
2 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
3 water supply and drinking water, in Pomona, Cali-  
4 fornia.

5           “(445) ROHNERT PARK, CALIFORNIA.—  
6 \$10,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-  
7 ture in the city of Rohnert Park, California.

8           “(446) SALINAS, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000  
9 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
10 water supply, in the city of Salinas, California.

11           “(447) SAN BENITO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
12 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
13 ture, including water supply, in San Benito County,  
14 California.

15           “(448) SAN BUENAVENTURA, CALIFORNIA.—  
16 \$18,250,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
17 ture, including water reclamation, City of San  
18 Buenaventura, California.

19           “(449) SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
20 \$200,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
21 ture, including water supply, in San Diego County,  
22 California.

23           “(450) SOUTH GATE, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,000,000  
24 for water and water supply infrastructure in the city  
25 of South Gate, California.

1           “(451) SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CALI-  
2           FORNIA.—\$5,000,000 for water and wastewater in-  
3           frastructure, including drinking water and water  
4           supply, in San Luis Obispo County, California.

5           “(452) STANISLAUS COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
6           \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
7           ture, including water supply and stormwater man-  
8           agement, in Stanislaus County, California.

9           “(453) TULARE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
10          \$20,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-  
11          ture, including stormwater management, surface  
12          water resource protection, and environmental res-  
13          toration, in Tulare County, California.

14          “(454) WATSONVILLE, CALIFORNIA.—  
15          \$28,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
16          ture in the city of Watsonville, California.

17          “(455) YOLO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
18          \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
19          ture, including water supply and stormwater man-  
20          agement, in Yolo County, California.

21          “(456) YORBA LINDA WATER DISTRICT, CALI-  
22          FORNIA.—\$6,500,000 for water and water supply in-  
23          frastructure in communities served by the Yorba  
24          Linda Water District, California.



1           “(457)   FREMONT   COUNTY,   COLORADO.—  
2           \$50,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-  
3           ture, in Fremont County, Colorado.

4           “(458)   EAST   HAMPTON,   CONNECTICUT.—  
5           \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
6           ture, including water supply, in the town of East  
7           Hampton, Connecticut.

8           “(459)   EAST   LYME,   CONNECTICUT.—  
9           \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
10          ture, including water supply, in the town of East  
11          Lyme, Connecticut.

12          “(460)   BETHANY   BEACH   TO   REHOBOTH  
13          BEACH,   DELAWARE.—\$25,000,000 for water and  
14          wastewater infrastructure, including stormwater  
15          management, water storage and treatment, and envi-  
16          ronmental restoration in the town of Bethany Beach,  
17          Delaware and the city of Rehoboth Beach, Delaware.

18          “(461)   WILMINGTON,   DELAWARE.—  
19          \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
20          ture, including stormwater management, water stor-  
21          age and treatment, and environmental restoration in  
22          the City of Wilmington, Delaware.

23          “(462)   BROWARD   COUNTY,   FLORIDA.—  
24          \$50,000,000 for water and water-related infrastruc-  
25          ture, including stormwater management, water stor-

1 age and treatment, surface water protection, and en-  
2 vironmental restoration, in Broward County, Flor-  
3 ida.

4 “(463) DELTONA, FLORIDA.—\$31,200,000 for  
5 water and wastewater infrastructure in the City of  
6 Deltona, Florida.

7 “(464) LONGBOAT KEY, FLORIDA.—\$2,000,000  
8 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
9 stormwater management, in the Town of Longboat  
10 Key, Florida.

11 “(465) MARION COUNTY, FLORIDA.—  
12 \$10,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-  
13 ture, including water supply, in Marion County,  
14 Florida.

15 “(466) OVIEDO, FLORIDA.—\$10,000,000 for  
16 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
17 water storage and treatment, in the city of Oviedo,  
18 Florida.

19 “(467) OSCEOLA COUNTY, FLORIDA.—  
20 \$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
21 including water supply, and environmental restora-  
22 tion, in Osceola County, Florida.

23 “(468) CENTRAL FLORIDA.—\$45,000,000 for  
24 water and wastewater infrastructure, including

1 water supply, in Brevard County, Orange County,  
2 and Osceola County, Florida.

3 “(469) CENTRAL COASTAL GEORGIA, GEOR-  
4 GIA.—\$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-  
5 structure, including stormwater management and  
6 water supply, in Bryan, Camden, Chatham,  
7 Effingham, Glynn, and McIntosh counties, Georgia.

8 “(470) DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA.—  
9 \$40,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
10 ture, including drinking water and water treatment,  
11 in DeKalb County, Georgia.

12 “(471) PORTERDALE, GEORGIA.—\$10,000,000  
13 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
14 stormwater management, water supply, and environ-  
15 mental restoration in the City of Porterdale, Geor-  
16 gia.

17 “(472) BURLEY, IDAHO.—\$20,000,000 for  
18 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
19 water treatment, in the city of Burley, Idaho.

20 “(473) BELVIDERE, ILLINOIS.—\$17,000,000  
21 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city  
22 of Belvidere, Illinois.

23 “(474) DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—  
24 \$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,

1 including water supply and drinking water, in the  
2 village of Clarendon Hills, Illinois.

3 “(475) FOX RIVER, ILLINOIS.—\$9,500,000 for  
4 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
5 water storage and treatment, in the villages of  
6 Lakemoor, Island Lake, and Volo, and McHenry  
7 County, Illinois.

8 “(476) GERMAN VALLEY, ILLINOIS.—  
9 \$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
10 including drinking water and water treatment, in the  
11 village of German Valley, Illinois.

12 “(477) LASALLE, ILLINOIS.—\$4,000,000 for  
13 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
14 stormwater management, drinking water, water  
15 treatment, and environmental restoration, in the city  
16 of LaSalle, Illinois.

17 “(478) ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS.—\$4,000,000 for  
18 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
19 drinking water and water treatment, in the city of  
20 Rockford, Illinois.

21 “(479) SAVANNA, ILLINOIS.—\$2,000,000 for  
22 water and water supply infrastructure, including  
23 drinking water, in the city of Savanna, Illinois.

24 “(480) SHERRARD, ILLINOIS.—\$7,000,000 for  
25 water and wastewater infrastructure, including

1 drinking water and water treatment, in the village of  
2 Sherrard, Illinois.

3 “(481) BROWNSVILLE, KENTUCKY.—  
4 \$14,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
5 ture, including water supply and drinking water, in  
6 the city of Brownsville, Kentucky.

7 “(482) MONROE, LOUISIANA.—\$7,000,000 for  
8 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
9 stormwater management, water supply, and drinking  
10 water, in the city of Monroe, Louisiana.

11 “(483) POINT CELESTE, LOUISIANA.—  
12 \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
13 ture, including pump stations, in Point Celeste, Lou-  
14 isiana.

15 “(484) FRANKLIN, MASSACHUSETTS.—  
16 \$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
17 including stormwater management, in the town of  
18 Franklin, Massachusetts.

19 “(485) WINTHROP, MASSACHUSETTS.—  
20 \$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
21 including stormwater management, in the town of  
22 Winthrop, Massachusetts.

23 “(486) MILAN, MICHIGAN.—\$3,000,000 for  
24 water and wastewater infrastructure, including

1 water supply and drinking water, in the city of  
2 Milan, Michigan.

3 “(487) SOUTHEAST MICHIGAN.—\$58,000,000  
4 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
5 stormwater management and water supply, in Gen-  
6 esee, Macomb, Oakland, Wayne, and Washtenaw  
7 counties, Michigan.

8 “(488) ELYSIAN, MINNESOTA.—\$5,000,000 for  
9 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
10 water supply, in the city of Elysian, Minnesota.

11 “(489) LE SUEUR, MINNESOTA.—\$3,200,000  
12 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
13 water supply, in the city of Le Sueur, Minnesota.

14 “(490) COLUMBIA, MISSISSIPPI.—\$4,000,000  
15 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
16 water quality enhancement and water supply, in the  
17 city of Columbia, Mississippi.

18 “(491) LAUREL, MISSISSIPPI.—\$5,000,000 for  
19 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
20 stormwater management, in the city of Laurel, Mis-  
21 sissippi.

22 “(492) MOSS POINT, MISSISSIPPI.—  
23 \$11,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
24 ture, including stormwater management, in the city  
25 of Moss Point, Mississippi.

1           “(493) OLIVE BRANCH, MISSISSIPPI.—  
2           \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
3           ture, including stormwater management, water qual-  
4           ity enhancement, and water supply, in the city of  
5           Olive Branch, Mississippi.

6           “(494) PICAYUNE, MISSISSIPPI.—\$5,000,000  
7           for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
8           stormwater management, in the city of Picayune,  
9           Mississippi.

10          “(495) STARKVILLE, MISSISSIPPI.—\$6,000,000  
11          for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
12          drinking water, water treatment, water quality en-  
13          hancement, and water supply, in the city of  
14          Starkville, Mississippi.

15          “(496) LAUGHLIN, NEVADA.—\$29,000,000 for  
16          water infrastructure, including water supply, in the  
17          town of Laughlin, Nevada.

18          “(497) PAHRUMP, NEVADA.—\$4,000,000 for  
19          water and wastewater infrastructure in the town of  
20          Pahrump, Nevada.

21          “(498) NEW HAMPSHIRE.—\$25,000,000 for  
22          water and wastewater infrastructure, and related en-  
23          vironmental infrastructure, in the counties of  
24          Belknap, Carroll, Hillsborough, Merrimack, Rocking-  
25          ham, and Strafford, New Hampshire.

1           “(499) BELMAR, NEW JERSEY.—\$10,000,000  
2           for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
3           related environmental infrastructure and stormwater  
4           management in Belmar Township, New Jersey.

5           “(500) CAPE MAY, NEW JERSEY.—\$40,000,000  
6           for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
7           water supply and desalination, for the city of Cape  
8           May, the boroughs of West Cape May and Cape May  
9           Point, and Lower Township, New Jersey.

10          “(501) COLESVILLE, NEW JERSEY.—  
11          \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
12          ture in Colesville, New Jersey.

13          “(502) DEPTFORD TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.—  
14          \$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure  
15          in Deptford Township, New Jersey.

16          “(503) LACEY TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.—  
17          \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
18          ture, including related environmental infrastructure  
19          and stormwater management, in Lacey Township,  
20          New Jersey.

21          “(504) MERCHANTVILLE, NEW JERSEY.—  
22          \$18,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
23          ture in the borough of Merchantville, New Jersey.



1           “(505) PARK RIDGE, NEW JERSEY.—  
2           \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
3           ture in the borough of Park Ridge, New Jersey.

4           “(506) WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP, NEW JER-  
5           SEY.—\$3,200,000 for water and wastewater infra-  
6           structure in Washington Township, Gloucester  
7           County, New Jersey.

8           “(507) BERNALILLO, NEW MEXICO.—  
9           \$20,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure in the  
10          town of Bernalillo, New Mexico.

11          “(508) BOSQUE FARMS, NEW MEXICO.—  
12          \$10,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure in the vil-  
13          lage of Bosque Farms, New Mexico.

14          “(509) CARMEL, NEW YORK.—\$3,450,000 for  
15          water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
16          stormwater management, in the town of Carmel,  
17          New York.

18          “(510) DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK.—  
19          \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
20          ture in Dutchess County, New York.

21          “(511) KINGS COUNTY, NEW YORK.—  
22          \$100,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
23          ture, including stormwater management (including  
24          combined sewer overflows), in Kings County, New  
25          York.

1           “(512) MOHAWK RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,  
2           NEW YORK.—\$100,000,000 for water and waste-  
3           water infrastructure, including stormwater manage-  
4           ment, surface water resource protection, environ-  
5           mental restoration, and related infrastructure, in the  
6           vicinity of the Mohawk River and tributaries, includ-  
7           ing the counties of Albany, Delaware, Fulton,  
8           Greene, Hamilton, Herkimer, Lewis, Madison, Mont-  
9           gomery, Oneida, Otsego, Saratoga, Schoharie, and  
10          Schenectady, New York.

11          “(513) MOUNT PLEASANT, NEW YORK.—  
12          \$2,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
13          including stormwater management, in the town of  
14          Mount Pleasant, New York.

15          “(514) NEWTOWN CREEK, NEW YORK.—  
16          \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
17          ture, including stormwater management (including  
18          combined sewer overflows), in the vicinity of New-  
19          town Creek, New York City, New York.

20          “(515) NEW YORK COUNTY, NEW YORK.—  
21          \$60,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
22          ture, including stormwater management (including  
23          combined sewer overflows), in New York County,  
24          New York.

1           “(516) ORANGE COUNTY, NEW YORK.—  
2           \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
3           ture in Orange County, New York.

4           “(517) SLEEPY HOLLOW, NEW YORK.—  
5           \$2,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
6           including stormwater management, in the village of  
7           Sleepy Hollow, New York.

8           “(518) ULSTER COUNTY, NEW YORK.—  
9           \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
10          ture in Ulster County, New York.

11          “(519) RAMAPO, NEW YORK.—\$4,000,000 for  
12          water infrastructure, including related environmental  
13          infrastructure, in the town of Ramapo, New York.

14          “(520) RIKERS ISLAND, NEW YORK.—  
15          \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
16          ture, including stormwater management (including  
17          combined sewer overflows) on Rikers Island, New  
18          York.

19          “(521) YORKTOWN, NEW YORK.—\$10,000,000  
20          for water and wastewater infrastructure in the town  
21          of Yorktown, New York.

22          “(522) CANTON, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
23          \$41,025,650 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
24          ture, including stormwater management, in the town  
25          of Canton, North Carolina.

1           “(523) FAIRMONT, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
2           \$7,137,500 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
3           in the town of Fairmont, North Carolina.

4           “(524) MURPHY, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
5           \$1,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
6           including water supply, in the town of Murphy,  
7           North Carolina.

8           “(525) ROBBINSVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
9           \$3,474,350 for water and wastewater infrastructure  
10          in the town of Robbinsville, North Carolina.

11          “(526) WEAVERVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
12          \$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure  
13          in the town of Weaverville, North Carolina.

14          “(527) APPLE CREEK, OHIO.—\$350,000 for  
15          water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
16          stormwater management, in the village of Apple  
17          Creek, Ohio.

18          “(528) BROOKLYN HEIGHTS, OHIO.—\$170,000  
19          for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
20          stormwater management, in the village of Brooklyn  
21          Heights, Ohio.

22          “(529) CHAGRIN FALLS REGIONAL WATER SYS-  
23          TEM, OHIO.—\$3,500,000 for water and wastewater  
24          infrastructure in the villages of Bentleyville, Chagrin  
25          Falls, Moreland Hills, and South Russell, and the

1 Townships of Bainbridge, Chagrin Falls, and Rus-  
2 sell, Ohio.

3 “(530) CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO.—  
4 \$11,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
5 ture in Cuyahoga County, Ohio.

6 “(531) ERIE COUNTY, OHIO.—\$16,000,000 for  
7 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
8 stormwater management (including combined sewer  
9 overflows) in Erie County, Ohio.

10 “(532) HURON, OHIO.—\$7,100,000 for water  
11 and wastewater infrastructure in the city of Huron,  
12 Ohio.

13 “(533) KELLEYS ISLAND, OHIO.—\$1,000,000  
14 for wastewater infrastructure in the village of  
15 Kelleys Island, Ohio.

16 “(534) NORTH OLMSTED, OHIO.—\$1,175,165  
17 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city  
18 of North Olmsted, Ohio.

19 “(535) PAINESVILLE, OHIO.—\$11,800,000 for  
20 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
21 stormwater management, in the City of Painesville,  
22 Ohio.

23 “(536) SOLON, OHIO.—\$14,137,341 for water  
24 and wastewater infrastructure, including stormwater

1 management (including combined sewer overflows),  
2 in the city of Solon, Ohio.

3 “(537) SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO.—\$25,000,000  
4 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
5 related environmental infrastructure, in Summit  
6 County, Ohio.

7 “(538) STARK COUNTY, OHIO.—\$24,000,000  
8 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
9 related environmental infrastructure, in Stark Coun-  
10 ty, Ohio.

11 “(539) TOLEDO AND OREGON, OHIO.—  
12 \$10,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
13 ture in the cities of Toledo and Oregon, Ohio.

14 “(540) VERMILION, OHIO.—\$15,400,000 for  
15 wastewater infrastructure in the city of Vermilion,  
16 Ohio.

17 “(541) WESTLAKE, OHIO.—\$750,000 for water  
18 and wastewater infrastructure, including stormwater  
19 management, in the city of Westlake, Ohio.

20 “(542) STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA.—  
21 \$30,000,000 for water infrastructure, including re-  
22 lated environmental infrastructure and water stor-  
23 age, transmission, treatment, and distribution, in the  
24 city of Stillwater, Oklahoma.

1           “(543) BEAVERTON, OREGON.—\$10,000,000  
2 for water supply in the city of Beaverton, Oregon.

3           “(544) CLACKAMAS COUNTY, OREGON.—  
4 \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
5 ture, including combined sewer overflows, in  
6 Clackamas County, Oregon.

7           “(545) WASHINGTON COUNTY, OREGON.—  
8 \$50,000,000 for water infrastructure and water sup-  
9 ply in Washington County, Oregon.

10          “(546) BERKS COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
11 \$7,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
12 including water supply, stormwater management,  
13 drinking water, and water treatment, in Berks  
14 County, Pennsylvania.

15          “(547) CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
16 \$7,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
17 including water supply, stormwater management,  
18 drinking water, and water treatment, in Chester  
19 County, Pennsylvania.

20          “(548) FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLV-  
21 ANIA.—\$2,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-  
22 structure, including stormwater management, in  
23 Franklin Township, Pennsylvania.

24          “(549) INDIAN CREEK, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
25 \$50,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure in the

1        boroughs of Telford, Franconia, and Lower Safford,  
2        Pennsylvania.

3           “(550)     PEN     ARGYL,     PENNSYLVANIA.—  
4        \$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure  
5        in the borough of Pen Argyl, Pennsylvania.

6           “(551)     CHESTERFIELD,     SOUTH     CAROLINA.—  
7        \$1,200,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure  
8        in the town of Chesterfield, South Carolina.

9           “(552)     CHERAW,     SOUTH     CAROLINA.—  
10       \$8,800,000 for water, wastewater, and other envi-  
11       ronmental infrastructure in the town of Cheraw,  
12       South Carolina.

13           “(553)     FLORENCE     COUNTY,     SOUTH     CARO-  
14       LINA.—\$40,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-  
15       structure in Florence County, South Carolina.

16           “(554)     LAKE     CITY,     SOUTH     CAROLINA.—  
17       \$15,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
18       ture, including stormwater management in the city  
19       of Lake City, South Carolina.

20           “(555)     TIPTON,     HAYWOOD,     AND     FAYETTE  
21       COUNTIES,     TENNESSEE.—\$50,000,000 for water and  
22       wastewater infrastructure, including related environ-  
23       mental infrastructure and water supply, in Tipton,  
24       Haywood, and Fayette Counties, Tennessee.



1           “(556) AUSTIN, TEXAS.—\$50,000,000 for  
2 water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of  
3 Austin, Texas.

4           “(557) AMARILLO, TEXAS.—\$38,000,000 for  
5 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
6 stormwater management and water storage and  
7 treatment systems, in the City of Amarillo, Texas.

8           “(558) BROWNSVILLE, TEXAS.—\$40,000,000  
9 for water and wastewater infrastructure, in the City  
10 of Brownsville, Texas.

11           “(559) CLARENDON, TEXAS.—\$5,000,000 for  
12 water infrastructure, including water storage, in the  
13 city of Clarendon, Texas.

14           “(560) QUINLAN, TEXAS.—\$1,250,000 for  
15 water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of  
16 Quinlan, Texas.

17           “(561) RUNAWAY BAY, TEXAS.—\$7,000,000 for  
18 water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
19 stormwater management and water storage and  
20 treatment systems, in the city of Runaway Bay,  
21 Texas.

22           “(562) WEBB COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$20,000,000  
23 for wastewater infrastructure and water supply in  
24 Webb County, Texas.

1           “(563) ZAPATA COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$20,000,000  
2 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
3 water supply, in Zapata County, Texas.

4           “(564) KING WILLIAM COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—  
5 \$1,300,000 for wastewater infrastructure in King  
6 William County, Virginia.

7           “(565) POTOMAC RIVER, VIRGINIA.—  
8 \$1,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, environ-  
9 mental infrastructure, and water quality improve-  
10 ments, in the vicinity of the Potomac River, Vir-  
11 ginia.

12           “(566) CHELAN, WASHINGTON.—\$9,000,000  
13 for water infrastructure, including water supply,  
14 storage, and distribution, in the city of Chelan,  
15 Washington.

16           “(567) COLLEGE PLACE, WASHINGTON.—  
17 \$5,000,000 for water infrastructure, including water  
18 supply and storage, in the city of College Place,  
19 Washington.

20           “(568) FERNDALE, WASHINGTON.—\$4,000,000  
21 for water, wastewater, and environmental infrastruc-  
22 ture, in the city of Ferndale, Washington.

23           “(569) LYNDEN, WASHINGTON.—\$4,000,000  
24 for water, wastewater, and environmental infrastruc-  
25 ture, in the city of Lynden, Washington.

1           “(570) OTHELLO, WASHINGTON.—\$14,000,000  
2           for water and wastewater infrastructure, including  
3           water supply and aquifer storage and recovery, in  
4           the city of Othello, Washington.”.

5           (b) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—

6           (1) CONSISTENCY WITH REPORTS.—Congress  
7           finds that the project modifications described in this  
8           subsection are in accordance with the reports sub-  
9           mitted to Congress by the Secretary under section  
10          7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Develop-  
11          ment Act (33 U.S.C. 2282d), titled “Report to Con-  
12          gress on Future Water Resources Development”, or  
13          have otherwise been reviewed by Congress.

14          (2) MODIFICATIONS.—

15           (A) ALAMEDA AND CONTRA COSTA COUN-  
16           TIES, CALIFORNIA.—Section 219(f)(80) of the  
17           Water Resources Development Act of 1992  
18           (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat.  
19           1258) is amended by striking “\$25,000,000”  
20           and inserting “\$45,000,000”.

21           (B) CALAVERAS COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
22           Section 219(f)(86) of the Water Resources De-  
23           velopment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113  
24           Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1259; 136 Stat. 3816) is

1 amended by striking “\$13,280,000” and insert-  
2 ing “\$16,300,000”.

3 (C) CONTRA COSTA WATER DISTRICT,  
4 CALIFORNIA.—Section 219(f)(87) of the Water  
5 Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.  
6 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1259) is  
7 amended—

8 (i) by inserting “\$80,000,000, of  
9 which not less than” before  
10 “\$23,000,000”; and

11 (ii) by inserting “shall be for” after  
12 “\$23,000,000”; and

13 (iii) by inserting “service area, and of  
14 which not less than \$57,000,000 shall be  
15 for water and wastewater infrastructure,  
16 including stormwater management and  
17 water supply, within the service areas for  
18 the Delta Diablo Sanitation District and  
19 the Ironhouse Sanitary District, Contra  
20 Costa County” after “Water District”.

21 (D) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALI-  
22 FORNIA.—Section 219(f)(93) of the Water Re-  
23 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.  
24 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1259; 136 Stat.  
25 3816) is amended—

1 (i) by striking “\$103,000,000” and  
2 inserting “\$128,000,000”; and

3 (ii) by striking “Santa Clarity Valley”  
4 and inserting “Santa Clarita Valley”.

5 (E) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA  
6 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.—Sec-  
7 tion 8319(e)(1) of the Water Resources Devel-  
8 opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3785) is amend-  
9 ed by striking “\$50,000,000” and inserting  
10 “\$100,000,000”.

11 (F) LOS OSOS, CALIFORNIA.—

12 (i) PROJECT DESCRIPTION.—Section  
13 219(c)(27) of the Water Resources Devel-  
14 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 114  
15 Stat. 2763A–219; 121 Stat. 1209) is  
16 amended by striking “Wastewater” and in-  
17 serting “Water and wastewater”.

18 (ii) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-  
19 TIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION ASSISTANCE.—  
20 Section 219(e)(15) of the Water Resources  
21 Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835;  
22 110 Stat. 3757; 121 Stat. 1192) is amend-  
23 ed by striking “\$35,000,000” and insert-  
24 ing “\$43,000,000”.

1 (G) SAN BERNADINO COUNTY, CALI-  
2 FORNIA.—Section 219(f)(101) of the Water Re-  
3 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.  
4 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1260) is modi-  
5 fied by striking “\$9,000,000” and inserting  
6 “\$24,000,000”.

7 (H) SOUTH PERRIS, CALIFORNIA.—Section  
8 219(f)(52) of the Water Resources Development  
9 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336;  
10 114 Stat. 2763A–220; 134 Stat. 2718) is  
11 amended by striking “\$50,000,000” and insert-  
12 ing “\$100,000,000”.

13 (I) PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Sec-  
14 tion 219(f)(129) of the Water Resources Devel-  
15 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
16 334; 121 Stat. 1261) is amended by striking  
17 “\$7,500,000” and inserting “\$57,500,000”.

18 (J) ATLANTA, GEORGIA.—Section  
19 219(e)(5) of the Water Resources Development  
20 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 110 Stat. 3757;  
21 113 Stat. 334) is amended by striking  
22 “\$75,000,000” and inserting “\$100,000,000”.

23 (K) EAST POINT, GEORGIA.—Section  
24 219(f)(136) of the Water Resources Develop-  
25 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.

1           334; 121 Stat. 1261; 136 Stat. 3817) is  
2           amended by striking “\$15,000,000” and insert-  
3           ing “\$20,000,000”.

4           (L) GUAM.—Section 219(f)(323) of the  
5           Water Resources Development Act of 1992  
6           (136 Stat. 3811) is amended by striking  
7           “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$35,000,000”.

8           (M) MAUI, HAWAII.—Section 219(f)(328)  
9           of the Water Resources Development Act of  
10          1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat.  
11          3811) is modified by striking “\$20,000,000”  
12          and inserting “50,000,000”.

13          (N) COOK COUNTY AND LAKE COUNTY, IL-  
14          LINOIS.—Section 219(f)(54) of the Water Re-  
15          sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.  
16          4835; 113 Stat. 336; 114 Stat. 2763A-221) is  
17          amended by striking “\$100,000,000” and in-  
18          serting “\$149,000,000”.

19          (O) FOREST PARK, ILLINOIS.—Section  
20          219(f)(330) of the Water Resources Develop-  
21          ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
22          334; 136 Stat. 3811) is amended by striking  
23          “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

24          (P) MADISON AND ST. CLAIR COUNTIES,  
25          ILLINOIS.—Section 219(f)(55) of the Water Re-

1 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.  
2 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 114 Stat. 2763A–221;  
3 134 Stat. 2718; 136 Stat. 3817) is amended—

4 (i) by inserting “(including  
5 stormwater)” after “wastewater”; and

6 (ii) by striking “\$100,000,000” and  
7 inserting “\$150,000,000”.

8 (Q) SOUTH CENTRAL ILLINOIS.—Section  
9 219(f)(333) of the Water Resources Develop-  
10 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
11 334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended—

12 (i) in the paragraph heading, by strik-  
13 ing “MONTGOMERY AND CHRISTIAN COUN-  
14 TIES, ILLINOIS” and inserting “SOUTH  
15 CENTRAL ILLINOIS”; and

16 (ii) by striking “Montgomery County  
17 and Christian County” and inserting  
18 “Montgomery County, Christian County,  
19 Fayette County, Shelby County, Jasper  
20 County, Richland County, Crawford Coun-  
21 ty, and Lawrence County”.

22 (R) BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA.—Section  
23 219(f)(21) of the Water Resources Development  
24 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336;  
25 114 Stat. 2763A–220; 121 Stat. 1226; 136



1 Stat. 3817) is amended by striking  
2 “\$90,000,000” and inserting “\$100,000,000”.

3 (S) EAST ATCHAFALAYA BASIN AND AMITE  
4 RIVER BASIN REGION, LOUISIANA.—Section  
5 5082(i) of the Water Resources and Develop-  
6 ment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1226) is amended  
7 by striking “\$40,000,000” and inserting  
8 “\$45,000,000”.

9 (T) LAFOURCHE PARISH, LOUISIANA.—  
10 Section 219(f)(146) of the Water Resources  
11 Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113  
12 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1262) is amended by strik-  
13 ing “\$2,300,000” and inserting “\$7,300,000”.

14 (U) SOUTH CENTRAL PLANNING AND DE-  
15 VELOPMENT COMMISSION, LOUISIANA.—Section  
16 219(f)(153) of the Water Resources Develop-  
17 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
18 336; 121 Stat. 1262; 136 Stat. 3817) is  
19 amended by striking “\$12,500,000” and insert-  
20 ing “\$17,500,000”.

21 (V) SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA REGION, LOU-  
22 ISIANA.—Section 5085(i) of the Water Re-  
23 sources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat.  
24 1228) is amended by striking “\$17,000,000”  
25 and inserting “\$22,000,000”.

1           (W) FITCHBURG, MASSACHUSETTS.—Sec-  
2           tion 219(f)(336) of the Water Resources Devel-  
3           opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
4           334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking  
5           “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

6           (X) HAVERHILL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Sec-  
7           tion 219(f)(337) of the Water Resources Devel-  
8           opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
9           334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking  
10          “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

11          (Y) LAWRENCE, MASSACHUSETTS.—Sec-  
12          tion 219(f)(338) of the Water Resources Devel-  
13          opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
14          334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking  
15          “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

16          (Z) LOWELL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section  
17          219(f)(339) of the Water Resources Develop-  
18          ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
19          334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking  
20          “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

21          (AA) METHUEN, MASSACHUSETTS.—Sec-  
22          tion 219(f)(340) of the Water Resources Devel-  
23          opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
24          334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking  
25          “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

1 (BB) MACOMB COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—Sec-  
2 tion 219(f)(345) of the Water Resources Devel-  
3 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
4 334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking  
5 “\$40,000,000” and inserting “\$90,000,000”.

6 (CC) MICHIGAN.—Section 219(f)(157) of  
7 the Water Resources Development Act of 1992  
8 (106 Stat. 4825; 113 Stat. 336; 121 Stat.  
9 1262; 136 Stat. 3818) is amended—

10 (i) in the paragraph heading, by strik-  
11 ing “MICHIGAN COMBINED SEWER OVER-  
12 FLOWS” and inserting “MICHIGAN”; and

13 (ii) in subparagraph (A) by striking  
14 “\$85,000,000” and inserting  
15 “\$160,000,000”.

16 (DD) BILOXI, MISSISSIPPI.—Section  
17 219(f)(163) of the Water Resources Develop-  
18 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat, 4835; 113 Stat.  
19 334; 121 Stat. 1263) is amended by striking  
20 “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$10,000,000”.

21 (EE) DESOTO COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Sec-  
22 tion 219(f)(30) of the Water Resources Devel-  
23 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
24 336; 114 Stat. 2763A–220; 119 Stat. 282; 119  
25 Stat. 2257; 122 Stat. 1623; 134 Stat. 2718) is

1 amended by striking “\$130,000,000” and in-  
2 serting “\$170,000,000”.

3 (FF) MADISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—  
4 Section 219(f)(351) of the Water Resources  
5 and Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat, 4835;  
6 113 Stat. 336; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by  
7 striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting  
8 “\$22,000,000”.

9 (GG) MERIDIAN, MISSISSIPPI.—Section  
10 219(f)(352) of the Water Resources and Devel-  
11 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat, 4835; 113 Stat.  
12 336; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking  
13 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$26,000,000”.

14 (HH) RANKIN COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Sec-  
15 tion 219(f)(254) of the Water Resources and  
16 Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat, 4835; 113  
17 Stat. 336; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by strik-  
18 ing “\$10,000,000” and inserting  
19 “\$22,000,000”.

20 (II) ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.—Section  
21 219(f)(32) of the Water Resources Development  
22 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 337;  
23 121 Stat. 1233; 134 Stat. 2718) is amended by  
24 striking “\$70,000,000” and inserting  
25 “\$100,000,000”.

1           (JJ) CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY.—Section  
2           219(f)(357) of the Water Resources Develop-  
3           ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
4           336; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking  
5           “\$119,000,000” and inserting “\$143,800,000”.

6           (KK) CENTRAL NEW MEXICO.—Section  
7           593(h) of the Water Resources Development  
8           Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 380; 119 Stat. 2255;  
9           136 Stat. 3820) is amended by striking  
10          “\$100,000,000” and inserting “\$150,000,000”.

11          (LL) KIRYAS JOEL, NEW YORK.—Section  
12          219(f)(184) of the Water Resources Develop-  
13          ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
14          334; 121 Stat. 1264) is amended by striking  
15          “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”.

16          (MM) QUEENS, NEW YORK.—Section  
17          219(f)(377) of the Water Resources Develop-  
18          ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
19          334; 136 Stat. 3814) is amended by striking  
20          “\$119,200,000” and inserting “\$190,000,000”.

21          (NN) NEW YORK CITY WATERSHED.—Sec-  
22          tion 552(a) of the Water Resources Develop-  
23          ment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3780; 136 Stat.  
24          3821) is amended by adding at the end the fol-  
25          lowing:

1           “(3) CONSIDERATIONS.—In carrying out this  
2 section, the Secretary may consider natural and na-  
3 ture-based infrastructure.”.

4           (OO) NORTH CAROLINA.—Section 5113 of  
5 the Water Resources Development Act of 2007  
6 (121 Stat. 1237) is amended in subsection (f)  
7 by striking “\$13,000,000” and inserting  
8 “\$50,000,000”.

9           (PP) CLEVELAND, OHIO.—Section  
10 219(f)(207) of the Water Resources Develop-  
11 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
12 334; 121 Stat. 1265) is amended by striking  
13 “\$2,500,000 for Flats East Bank” and insert-  
14 ing “\$25,500,000”.

15           (QQ) CINCINNATI, OHIO.—Section  
16 219(f)(206) of the Water Resources Develop-  
17 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
18 334; 121 Stat. 1265) is amended by striking  
19 “\$1,000,000” and inserting “\$31,000,000”.

20           (RR) OHIO.—Section 594 of the Water  
21 Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.  
22 381; 119 Stat. 2261; 121 Stat. 1140; 121 Stat.  
23 1944; 136 Stat. 3821) is amended in subsection  
24 (h) by striking “\$250,000,000” and inserting  
25 “\$300,000,000”.

1 (SS) MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA.—Section  
2 219(f)(231) of the Water Resources Develop-  
3 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
4 334; 121 Stat. 1266; 134 Stat 2719) is amend-  
5 ed by striking “\$5,000,000” and inserting  
6 “\$15,000,000”.

7 (TT) WOODWARD, OKLAHOMA.—Section  
8 219(f)(236) of the Water Resources Develop-  
9 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
10 334; 121 Stat. 1266) is amended by striking  
11 “\$1,500,000” and inserting “\$3,000,000”.

12 (UU) SOUTHWESTERN OREGON.—Section  
13 8359 of the Water Resources Development Act  
14 of 2022 (136 Stat. 3802) is amended—

15 (i) in subsection (e)(1), by striking  
16 “\$50,000,000” and inserting  
17 “\$100,000,000” ; and

18 (ii) in subsection (f), by inserting  
19 “Lincoln,” after “Lane,”.

20 (VV) HATFIELD BOROUGH, PENNSYL-  
21 VANIA.—Section 219(f)(239) of the Water Re-  
22 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.  
23 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1266) is  
24 amended by striking “\$310,000” and inserting  
25 “\$3,000,000”.

1 (WW) NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA.—Sec-  
2 tion 219(f)(11) of the Water Resources Devel-  
3 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
4 334) is amended—

5 (i) by striking “\$20,000,000 for water  
6 related infrastructure” and inserting  
7 “\$70,000,000 for water and wastewater in-  
8 frastructure, including water supply”; and

9 (ii) by inserting “Luzerne,” after  
10 “Lackawanna,”.

11 (XX) PHOENIXVILLE BOROUGH, CHESTER  
12 COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—Section 219(f)(68)  
13 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
14 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 114 Stat.  
15 2763A–221) is amended by striking  
16 “\$2,400,000 for water and sewer infrastruc-  
17 ture” and inserting “\$10,000,000 for water and  
18 wastewater infrastructure, including stormwater  
19 infrastructure and water supply”.

20 (YY) LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE,  
21 SOUTH CAROLINA.—Section 219(f)(25) of the  
22 Water Resources Development Act of 1992  
23 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 114 Stat.  
24 2763A–220; 117 Stat. 1838; 130 Stat. 1677;  
25 132 Stat. 3818; 134 Stat. 2719; 136 Stat.



1 3818) is amended by striking “\$165,000,000”  
2 and inserting “\$235,000,000”.

3 (ZZ) MOUNT PLEASANT, SOUTH CARO-  
4 LINA.—Section 219(f)(393) of the Water Re-  
5 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.  
6 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat. 3815) is  
7 amended by striking “\$7,822,000” and insert-  
8 ing “\$20,000,000”.

9 (AAA) SMITH COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—Sec-  
10 tion 219(f)(395) of the Water Resources Devel-  
11 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
12 334; 136 Stat. 3815) is amended by striking  
13 “\$19,500,000” and inserting “\$69,500,000”.

14 (BBB) DALLAS COUNTY REGION,  
15 TEXAS.—Section 5140 of the Water Resources  
16 Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1251) is  
17 amended in subsection (i) by striking  
18 “\$40,000,000” and inserting “\$100,000,000”.

19 (CCC) TEXAS.—Section 5138 of the Water  
20 Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat.  
21 1250; 136 Stat. 3821) is amended in subsection  
22 (i) by striking “\$80,000,000” and inserting  
23 “\$200,000,000”.

24 (DDD) WESTERN RURAL WATER.—Section  
25 595 of the Water Resources Development Act

1 of 1999 (113 Stat. 383; 117 Stat. 139; 117  
2 Stat. 142; 117 Stat. 1836; 118 Stat. 440; 121  
3 Stat. 1219; 123 Stat. 2851; 128 Stat. 1316;  
4 130 Stat. 1681; 134 Stat. 2719; 136 Stat.  
5 3822) is amended—

6 (i) in subsection (c)(1)—

7 (I) by inserting by inserting “,  
8 including natural and nature-based in-  
9 frastructure” after “water-related en-  
10 vironmental infrastructure,”;

11 (II) in subparagraph (C), by  
12 striking “and” at the end; and

13 (III) by adding at the end the  
14 following:

15 “(E) drought resilience measures; and”;

16 and

17 (ii) in subsection (i)—

18 (I) in paragraph (1), by striking  
19 “\$800,000,000” and inserting  
20 “\$850,000,000”; and

21 (II) in paragraph (2), by striking  
22 “\$200,000,000” and inserting  
23 “\$250,000,000”.

24 (EEE) MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN.—Section  
25 219(f)(405) of the Water Resources Develop-

1           ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.  
2           334; 136 Stat. 3816) is amended by striking  
3           “\$4,500,000” and inserting “\$11,000,000”.

4           (3) EFFECT ON AUTHORIZATION.—Notwith-  
5           standing the operation of section 6001(e) of the  
6           Water Resources Reform and Development Act of  
7           2014 (as in effect on the day before the date of en-  
8           actment of the Water Resources Development Act of  
9           2016), any project included on a list published by  
10          the Secretary pursuant to such section the author-  
11          ization for which is amended by this subsection re-  
12          mains authorized to be carried out by the Secretary.

13 **SEC. 339. SPECIFIC DEAUTHORIZATIONS.**

14          (a) DEAUTHORIZATION OF DESIGNATED PORTIONS  
15          OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CALI-  
16          FORNIA.—

17           (1) IN GENERAL.—The portion of the project  
18          for flood risk management, Los Angeles County  
19          Drainage Area, California, authorized by section 5  
20          of the Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat.  
21          1589; 50 Stat. 167; 52 Stat. 1215; 55 Stat. 647; 64  
22          Stat. 177; 104 Stat. 4611; 136 Stat. 3785), con-  
23          sisting of the flood channels described in paragraph  
24          (2), are no longer authorized beginning on the date

1 that is 18 months after the date of enactment of  
2 this Act.

3 (2) FLOOD CHANNELS DESCRIBED.—The flood  
4 channels referred to in paragraph (1) are the fol-  
5 lowing flood channels operated and maintained by  
6 the Los Angeles County Flood Control District, as  
7 generally defined in Corps of Engineers operations  
8 and maintenance manuals and as may be further de-  
9 scribed in an agreement entered into under para-  
10 graph (3):

11 (A) Arcadia Wash Channel (Auburn  
12 Branch Channel).

13 (B) Arcadia Wash Channel (Baldwin Ave.  
14 Branch Channel).

15 (C) Arcadia Wash Channel (East Branch  
16 Channel).

17 (D) Arcadia Wash Channel (Lima St.  
18 Branch Channel).

19 (E) Bel Aire Dr./Sunset Canyon Channel.

20 (F) Big Dalton Wash Channel.

21 (G) Big Dalton Wash Channel (East  
22 Branch Inlet Channel).

23 (H) Blanchard Canyon Channel.

24 (I) Blue Gum Canyon Channel.

25 (J) Brand Canyon Channel.

- 1 (K) Childs Canyon Channel.
- 2 (L) Dead Horse Canyon Channel.
- 3 (M) Dunsmuir Canyon Channel.
- 4 (N) Eagle Canyon Channel.
- 5 (O) Elmwood Canyon Channel.
- 6 (P) Emerald Wash Channel.
- 7 (Q) Emerald Wash Channel (West
- 8 Branch).
- 9 (R) Hay Canyon Channel.
- 10 (S) Higgins and Coldwater Canyon.
- 11 (T) Hillcrest Canyon Channel.
- 12 (U) La Tuna Canyon Channel.
- 13 (V) Little Dalton Diversion Channel.
- 14 (W) Little Dalton Wash Channel.
- 15 (X) Live Oak Wash Channel.
- 16 (Y) Mansfield St. Channel.
- 17 (Z) Marshall Creek Channel.
- 18 (AA) Marshall Creek Channel (West
- 19 Branch).
- 20 (BB) Rexford-Monte Mar Branch.
- 21 (CC) Royal Boulevard Channel.
- 22 (DD) Rubio Canyon Diversion Channel.
- 23 (EE) San Dimas Wash Channel.
- 24 (FF) Sawtelle Channel.
- 25 (GG) Shields Canyon Channel.

- 1 (HH) Sierra Madre Villa Channel.
- 2 (II) Sierra Madre Wash.
- 3 (JJ) Sierra Madre Wash Inlet.
- 4 (KK) Snover Canyon Channel.
- 5 (LL) Stough Canyon Channel.
- 6 (MM) Thompson Creek Channel.
- 7 (NN) Walnut Creek Channel.
- 8 (OO) Webber Canyon Channel.
- 9 (PP) Westwood Branch Channel.
- 10 (QQ) Wilson Canyon Channel.
- 11 (RR) Winery Canyon Channel.

12 (3) AGREEMENT.—Not later than 90 days after  
13 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
14 shall seek to enter into an agreement with the Los  
15 Angeles County Flood Control District to ensure  
16 that the Los Angeles County Flood Control Dis-  
17 trict—

18 (A) will continue to operate, maintain, re-  
19 pair, rehabilitate, and replace as necessary, the  
20 flood channels described in paragraph (2)—

21 (i) in perpetuity at no cost to the  
22 United States; and

23 (ii) in a manner that does not reduce  
24 the level of flood protection of the project  
25 described in paragraph (1);

1 (B) will retain public ownership of all real  
2 property required for the continued functioning  
3 of the flood channels described in paragraph  
4 (2), consistent with authorized purposes of the  
5 project described in paragraph (1);

6 (C) will allow the Corps of Engineers to  
7 continue to operate, maintain, repair, rehabili-  
8 tate, and replace any appurtenant structures,  
9 such as rain and stream gages, existing as of  
10 the date of enactment of this Act and located  
11 within the flood channels subject to deauthor-  
12 ization under paragraph (1) as necessary to en-  
13 sure the continued functioning of the project  
14 described in paragraph (1); and

15 (D) will hold and save the United States  
16 harmless from damages due to floods, breach,  
17 failure, operation, or maintenance of the flood  
18 channels described in paragraph (2).

19 (4) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Secretary  
20 may accept and expend funds voluntarily contributed  
21 by the Los Angeles County Flood Control District to  
22 cover the administrative costs incurred by the Sec-  
23 retary to—

24 (A) enter into an agreement under para-  
25 graph (3); and

1 (B) monitor compliance with such agree-  
2 ment.

3 (b) THAMES RIVER, CONNECTICUT.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-  
5 actment of this Act, the 25-foot-deep channel por-  
6 tion of the project for navigation, Thames River,  
7 Connecticut, authorized by the first section of the  
8 Act of July 3, 1930 (chapter 847, 46 Stat. 918),  
9 consisting of the area described in paragraph (2), is  
10 no longer authorized.

11 (2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in  
12 paragraph (1) is the area—

13 (A) beginning at a point N706550.83,  
14 E1179497.53;

15 (B) running southeasterly about 808.28  
16 feet to a point N705766.32, E1179692.10;

17 (C) running southeasterly about 2219.17  
18 feet to a point N703725.88, E1180564.64;

19 (D) running southeasterly about 1594.84  
20 feet to a point N702349.59, E1181370.46;

21 (E) running southwesterly about 483.01  
22 feet to a point N701866.63, E1181363.54;

23 (F) running northwesterly about 2023.85  
24 feet to a point N703613.13, E1180340.96;



1 (G) running northwesterly about 2001.46  
2 feet to a point N705453.40, E1179554.02; and

3 (H) running northwesterly about 1098.89  
4 feet to the point described in paragraph (1).

5 (c) SAINT PETERSBURG HARBOR, FLORIDA.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-  
7 actment of this Act, the portion of the project for  
8 navigation, Saint Petersburg Harbor, Florida, au-  
9 thorized by section 101 the River and Harbor Act of  
10 1950 (64 Stat. 165), consisting of the area described  
11 in paragraph (2) is no longer authorized.

12 (2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in  
13 paragraph (1) is the portion of the Federal channel  
14 located within Bayboro Harbor, at approximately  
15 -82.635353 W and 27.760977 N, south of the  
16 Range 300 line and west of the Station 71+00 line.

17 (d) NORTH BRANCH, CHICAGO RIVER, ILLINOIS.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-  
19 actment of this Act, the portion of the project for  
20 navigation North Branch channel, Chicago River, Il-  
21 linois, authorized by section 22 of the Act of March  
22 3, 1899 (chapter 425, 30 Stat. 1156), consisting of  
23 the area described in paragraph (2) is no longer au-  
24 thorized.

1           (2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in  
2           paragraph (1) is the approximately one-mile long  
3           segment of the North Branch Channel on the east  
4           side of Goose Island, Chicago River, Illinois.

5           (e) PAPILLION CREEK WATERSHED, NEBRASKA.—  
6           Beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, the  
7           project for flood protection and other purposes in the Pa-  
8           pillion Creek Basin, Nebraska, authorized by section 203  
9           of the Flood Control Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 743) is modi-  
10          fied to deauthorize the portions of the project known as  
11          Dam Site 7 and Dam Site 12.

12          (f) TRUCKEE RIVER, NEVADA.—Beginning on the  
13          date of enactment of this Act, the project for flood risk  
14          management, Truckee Meadows, Nevada, authorized by  
15          section 7002(2) of the Water Resources Reform and De-  
16          velopment Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1366), is no longer au-  
17          thorized.

18          (g) NEWTOWN CREEK, NEW YORK.—

19                 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-  
20                 actment of this Act, the portions of the project for  
21                 navigation, Newtown Creek, New York, Federal  
22                 Navigation Channel, authorized by the first section  
23                 of the Act of March 2, 1919 (chapter 95, 40 Stat.  
24                 1276; 50 Stat. 845), consisting of the areas de-  
25                 scribed in paragraph (2) are no longer authorized.

1           (2) AREAS DESCRIBED.—The areas referred to  
2           in paragraph (1) are—

3                   (A) the portions of Reaches E1 and G ad-  
4           jacent to Reach F east of a line formed by  
5           points 40.724204, -73.924649; 40.723419,  
6           -73.925904; and 40.722344, -73.925369;

7                   (B) Reach F;

8                   (C) Reach I; and

9                   (D) the portions of Reach K from approxi-  
10          mately 500 feet upstream of Metropolitan Ave-  
11          nue Bridge to a point located approximately  
12          1750 feet upstream.

13          (h) MONROE BAY AND CREEK FEDERAL CHANNEL,  
14          VIRGINIA.—

15                (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-  
16          actment of this Act, the portion of the project for  
17          navigation, Monroe Bay and Creek, Virginia, author-  
18          ized by the first section of the Act of July 3, 1930  
19          (chapter 847, 46 Stat. 922), consisting of the area  
20          described in paragraph (2) is no longer authorized.

21                (2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in  
22          paragraph (1) is the roughly 300 feet of the length  
23          of the Federal turning and anchorage basin in the  
24          vicinity of the property located at 829 Robin Grove  
25          Ln., Colonial Beach, Virginia, 22443.

1 (i) SEATTLE HARBOR, WASHINGTON.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-  
3 actment of this Act, the project for navigation, Se-  
4 attle Harbor, Washington, authorized by the first  
5 section of the Act of August 30, 1935 (chapter 831,  
6 49 Stat. 1039), is modified to deauthorize the por-  
7 tion of the project within the East Waterway con-  
8 sisting of the area described in paragraph (2).

9 (2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in  
10 paragraph (1) is the area—

11 (A) beginning at the southwest corner of  
12 Block 386, Plat of Seattle Tidelands (said cor-  
13 ner also being a point on the United States  
14 pierhead line);

15 (B) thence north  $90^{\circ}00'00''$  west along the  
16 projection of the south line of Block 386,  
17 206.58 feet to the centerline of the East Water-  
18 way;

19 (C) thence north  $14^{\circ}30'00''$  east along the  
20 centerline and parallel with the northwesterly  
21 line of Block 386, 64.83 feet;

22 (D) thence north  $33^{\circ}32'59''$  east, 235.85  
23 feet;

24 (E) thence north  $39^{\circ}55'22''$  east, 128.70  
25 feet;

1 (F) thence north 14°30'00" east parallel  
2 with the northwesterly line of Block 386,  
3 280.45 feet;

4 (G) thence north 90°00'00" east, 70.00  
5 feet to the pierhead line and the northwesterly  
6 line of Block 386; and

7 (H) thence south 14°30'00" west, 650.25  
8 feet along said pierhead line and northwesterly  
9 line of Block 386 to the point of beginning.

## 10 **TITLE IV—WATER RESOURCES** 11 **INFRASTRUCTURE**

### 12 **SEC. 401. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.**

13 The following projects for water resources develop-  
14 ment and conservation and other purposes, as identified  
15 in the reports titled “Report to Congress on Future Water  
16 Resources Development” submitted to Congress pursuant  
17 to section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Devel-  
18 opment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise re-  
19 viewed by Congress, are authorized to be carried out by  
20 the Secretary substantially in accordance with the plans,  
21 and subject to the conditions, described in the respective  
22 reports or decision documents designated in this section:

23 (1) NAVIGATION.—

<b>A. State</b>	<b>B. Name</b>	<b>C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers</b>	<b>D. Estimated Costs</b>
1. CA	Oakland Harbor Turning Basins Widening, Oak- land	May 30, 2024	Federal: \$408,164,600 Non-Federal: \$200,780,400 Total: \$608,945,000
2. MD	Baltimore Harbor Anchorage and Channels Modi- fication of Seagirt Loop Channel, City of Baltimore, Deep Draft Navigation	June 22, 2023	Federal: \$47,956,500 Non-Federal: \$15,985,500 Total: \$63,942,000

1                   (2) HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE RISK RE-  
2                   DUCTION.—

<b>A. State</b>	<b>B. Name</b>	<b>C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers</b>	<b>D. Estimated Costs</b>
1. DC, VA	Metropolitan Washington, District of Co- lumbia, Coastal Storm Risk Management	June 17, 2024	Federal: \$9,899,000 Non-Federal: \$5,330,500 Total: \$15,230,000
2. FL	St. Johns County, Ponte Vedra Beach Coastal Storm Risk Management	April 18, 2024	Initial Federal: \$24,591,000 Initial Non-Federal: \$35,533,000 Total: \$60,124,000 Renourishment Federal: \$24,632,000 Renourishment Non-Federal: \$53,564,000 Renourishment Total: \$78,196,000

<b>A. State</b>	<b>B. Name</b>	<b>C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers</b>	<b>D. Estimated Costs</b>
3. NY	South Shore Staten Island, Fort Wadsworth to Oakwood Beach, Richmond County, Coastal Storm Risk Management	February 6, 2024	Federal: \$1,730,973,900 Non-Federal: \$363,228,100 Total: \$2,094,202,000
4. RI	Rhode Island Coastline, Coastal Storm Risk Management	September 28, 2023	Federal: \$188,353,750 Non-Federal: \$101,421,250 Total: \$289,775,000

1                   (3) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND HURRI-  
2                   CANE AND STORM DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION.—

<b>A. State</b>	<b>B. Name</b>	<b>C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers</b>	<b>D. Estimated Costs</b>
1. LA	St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana Coastal Storm and Flood Risk Management	May 28, 2024	Federal: \$3,653,346,450 Non-Federal: \$2,240,881,550 Total: \$5,894,229,000

3                   (4) NAVIGATION AND HURRICANE AND STORM  
4                   DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION.—

<b>A. State</b>	<b>B. Name</b>	<b>C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers</b>	<b>D. Estimated Costs</b>
1. TX	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Coastal Resilience Study, Brazoria and Matagorda Counties	June 2, 2023	Total: \$314,221,000

1 (5) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND ECO-  
2 SYSTEM RESTORATION.—

<b>A. State</b>	<b>B. Name</b>	<b>C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers</b>	<b>D. Estimated Costs</b>
1. MS	Memphis Metropolitan Stormwater - North DeSoto County Feasibility Study, DeSoto County, Flood Risk Management and Ecosystem Restoration	December 18, 2023	Federal: \$44,295,000 Non-Federal: \$23,851,000 Total: \$68,146,000

3 (6) MODIFICATIONS AND OTHER PROJECTS.—

<b>A. State</b>	<b>B. Name</b>	<b>C. Date of Decision Document</b>	<b>D. Estimated Costs</b>
1. AZ	Tres Rios, Arizona Ecosystem Restoration Project	May 28, 2024	Federal: \$215,840,300 Non-Federal: \$116,221,700 Total: \$332,062,000
2. KS	Manhattan, Kansas Federal Levee System	May 6, 2024	Federal: \$29,454,750 Non-Federal: \$15,860,250 Total: \$45,315,000



<b>A. State</b>	<b>B. Name</b>	<b>C. Date of Decision Document</b>	<b>D. Estimated Costs</b>
3. MO	University City Branch, River Des Peres, Uni- versity City, St. Louis County, Flood Risk Management	February 9, 2024	Federal: \$9,094,000 Non-Federal: \$4,897,000 Total: \$13,990,000

1 **SEC. 402. FACILITY INVESTMENT.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (b), using  
3 amounts available in the revolving fund established by the  
4 first section of the Civil Functions Appropriations Act,  
5 1954 (33 U.S.C. 576) that are not otherwise obligated,  
6 the Secretary may—

7 (1) design and construct the new building for  
8 operations and maintenance in Galveston, Texas, de-  
9 scribed in the prospectus submitted to the Com-  
10 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the  
11 House of Representatives and the Committee on En-  
12 vironment and Public Works of the Senate on May  
13 22, 2024, pursuant to subsection (c) of such Act (33  
14 U.S.C. 576(c)), substantially in accordance with  
15 such prospectus;

16 (2) design and construct the new warehouse fa-  
17 cility at the Longview Lake Project near Lee’s Sum-  
18 mit, Missouri, described in the prospectus submitted  
19 to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-

1       ture of the House of Representatives and the Com-  
2       mittee on Environment and Public Works of the  
3       Senate on May 22, 2024, pursuant to subsection (c)  
4       of such Act (33 U.S.C. 576(c)), substantially in ac-  
5       cordance with such prospectus;

6           (3) design and construct the joint facility for  
7       the resident office for the Corpus Christi Resident  
8       Office (Construction) and the Corpus Christi Regu-  
9       latory Field Office on existing federally owned prop-  
10      erty at the Naval Air Station, in Corpus Christi,  
11      Texas, described in the prospectus submitted to the  
12      Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of  
13      the House of Representatives and the Committee on  
14      Environment and Public Works of the Senate on  
15      June 6, 2023, pursuant to subsection (c) of such Act  
16      (33 U.S.C. 576(c)), substantially in accordance with  
17      such prospectus; and

18           (4) carry out such construction and infrastruc-  
19      ture improvements as are required to support such  
20      building and facilities, including any necessary dem-  
21      olition of the existing infrastructure.

22      (b) REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out subsection (a),  
23      the Secretary shall ensure that the revolving fund estab-  
24      lished by the first section of the Civil Functions Appro-  
25      priations Act, 1954 (33 U.S.C. 576) is appropriately reim-

- 1 bursed from funds appropriated for Corps of Engineers
- 2 programs that benefit from the building and facilities con-
- 3 structed under this section.

○